

(January 14, 2009)

[20.1] Prove the *expansion by minors* formula for determinants, namely, for an n -by- n matrix A with entries a_{ij} , letting A^{ij} be the matrix obtained by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column, for any fixed row index i ,

$$\det A = (-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j a_{ij} \det A^{ij}$$

and symmetrically for expansion along a column.

[iou: prove that this formula is linear in each row/column, and invoke the uniqueness of determinants]

[20.2] Let M and N be free R -modules, where R is a commutative ring with identity. Prove that $M \otimes_R N$ is free and

$$\text{rank } M \otimes_R N = \text{rank } M \cdot \text{rank } N$$

Let M and N be free on generators $i : X \rightarrow M$ and $j : Y \rightarrow N$. We claim that $M \otimes_R N$ is free on a set map

$$\ell : X \times Y \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$$

To verify this, let $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a set map. For each fixed $y \in Y$, the map $x \rightarrow \varphi(x, y)$ factors through a unique R -module map $B_y : M \rightarrow Z$. For each $m \in M$, the map $y \rightarrow B_y(m)$ gives rise to a unique R -linear map $n \rightarrow B(m, n)$ such that

$$B(m, j(y)) = B_y(m)$$

The linearity in the second argument assures that we still have the linearity in the first, since for $n = \sum_t r_t j(y_t)$ we have

$$B(m, n) = B(m, \sum_t r_t j(y_t)) = \sum_t r_t B_{y_t}(m)$$

which is a linear combination of linear functions. Thus, there is a unique map to Z induced on the tensor product, showing that the tensor product with set map $i \times j : X \times Y \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ is free. ///

[20.3] Let M be a free R -module of rank r , where R is a commutative ring with identity. Let S be a commutative ring with identity containing R , such that $1_R = 1_S$. Prove that as an S module $M \otimes_R S$ is free of rank r .

We prove a bit more. First, instead of simply an *inclusion* $R \subset S$, we can consider any ring homomorphism $\psi : R \rightarrow S$ such that $\psi(1_R) = 1_S$.

Also, we can consider arbitrary sets of generators, and give more details. Let M be free on generators $i : X \rightarrow M$, where X is a set. Let $\tau : M \times S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ be the canonical map. We claim that $M \otimes_R S$ is free on $j : X \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ defined by

$$j(x) = \tau(i(x) \times 1_S)$$

Given an S -module N , we can be a little forgetful and consider N as an R -module via ψ , by $r \cdot n = \psi(r)n$. Then, given a set map $\varphi : X \rightarrow N$, since M is free, there is a unique R -module map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\varphi = \Phi \circ i$. That is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & & \\ \uparrow i & \searrow \Phi & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \end{array}$$

commutes. Then the map

$$\psi : M \times S \rightarrow N$$

by

$$\psi(m \times s) = s \cdot \Phi(m)$$

induces (by the defining property of $M \otimes_R S$) a unique $\Psi : M \otimes_R S \rightarrow N$ making a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & M \otimes_R S & \\
 & \uparrow \tau & \searrow \Psi \\
 & M \times S & \\
 i \times \text{inc} \uparrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 X \times \{1_S\} & & \\
 t \uparrow & & \searrow \varphi \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N
 \end{array}$$

where inc is the inclusion map $\{1_S\} \rightarrow S$, and where $t : X \rightarrow X \times \{1_S\}$ by $x \rightarrow x \times 1_S$. Thus, $M \otimes_R S$ is free on the composite $j : X \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ defined to be the composite of the vertical maps in that last diagram. This argument does not depend upon finiteness of the generating set. ///

[20.4] For finite-dimensional vectorspaces V, W over a field k , prove that there is a natural isomorphism

$$(V \otimes_k W)^* \approx V^* \otimes W^*$$

where $X^* = \text{Hom}_k(X, k)$ for a k -vectorspace X .

For finite-dimensional V and W , since $V \otimes_k W$ is free on the cartesian product of the generators for V and W , the dimensions of the two sides match. We make an isomorphism from right to left. Create a bilinear map

$$V^* \times W^* \rightarrow (V \otimes_k W)^*$$

as follows. Given $\lambda \in V^*$ and $\mu \in W^*$, as usual make $\Lambda_{\lambda, \mu} \in (V \otimes_k W)^*$ from the bilinear map

$$B_{\lambda, \mu} : V \times W \rightarrow k$$

defined by

$$B_{\lambda, \mu}(v, w) = \lambda(v) \cdot \mu(w)$$

This induces a unique functional $\Lambda_{\lambda, \mu}$ on the tensor product. This induces a unique linear map

$$V^* \otimes W^* \rightarrow (V \otimes_k W)^*$$

as desired.

Since everything is finite-dimensional, bijectivity will follow from injectivity. Let e_1, \dots, e_m be a basis for V , f_1, \dots, f_n a basis for W , and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n corresponding dual bases. We have shown that a basis of a tensor product of free modules is free on the cartesian product of the generators. Suppose that $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} \lambda_i \otimes \mu_j$ gives the 0 functional on $V \otimes W$, for some scalars c_{ij} . Then, for every pair of indices s, t , the function is 0 on $e_s \otimes f_t$. That is,

$$0 = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} \lambda_i(e_s) \lambda_j(f_t) = c_{st}$$

Thus, all constants c_{ij} are 0, proving that the map is injective. Then a dimension count proves the isomorphism. ///

[20.5] For a finite-dimensional k -vectorspace V , prove that the bilinear map

$$B : V \times V^* \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$$

by

$$B(v \times \lambda)(x) = \lambda(x) \cdot v$$

gives an isomorphism $V \otimes_k V^* \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$. Further, show that the composition of endomorphisms is the same as the map induced from the map on

$$(V \otimes V^*) \times (V \otimes V^*) \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$$

given by

$$(v \otimes \lambda) \times (w \otimes \mu) \rightarrow \lambda(w)v \otimes \mu$$

The bilinear map $v \times \lambda \rightarrow T_{v,\lambda}$ given by

$$T_{v,\lambda}(w) = \lambda(w) \cdot v$$

induces a *unique* linear map $j : V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$.

To prove that j is injective, we may use the fact that a basis of a tensor product of free modules is free on the cartesian product of the generators. Thus, let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for V , and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ a dual basis for V^* . Suppose that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} e_i \otimes \lambda_j \rightarrow 0 \text{End}_k(V)$$

That is, for every e_ℓ ,

$$\sum_{ij} c_{ij} \lambda_j(e_\ell) e_i = 0 \in V$$

This is

$$\sum_i c_{ij} e_i = 0 \quad (\text{for all } j)$$

Since the e_i s are linearly independent, all the c_{ij} s are 0. Thus, the map j is injective. Then counting k -dimensions shows that this j is a k -linear isomorphism.

Composition of endomorphisms is a bilinear map

$$\text{End}_k(V) \times \text{End}_k(V) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{End}_k(V)$$

by

$$S \times T \rightarrow S \circ T$$

Denote by

$$c : (v \otimes \lambda) \times (w \otimes \mu) \rightarrow \lambda(w)v \otimes \mu$$

the allegedly corresponding map on the tensor products. The induced map on $(V \otimes V^*) \otimes (V \otimes V^*)$ is an example of a **contraction map** on tensors. We want to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_k(V) \times \text{End}_k(V) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \text{End}_k(V) \\ \uparrow j \times j & & \uparrow j \\ (V \otimes_k V^*) \times (V \otimes_k V^*) & \xrightarrow{c} & V \otimes_k V^* \end{array}$$

commutes. It suffices to check this starting with $(v \otimes \lambda) \times (w \otimes \mu)$ in the lower left corner. Let $x \in V$. Going up, then to the right, we obtain the endomorphism which maps x to

$$j(v \otimes \lambda) \circ j(w \otimes \mu)(x) = j(v \otimes \lambda)(j(w \otimes \mu)(x)) = j(v \otimes \lambda)(\mu(x)w) = \mu(x)j(v \otimes \lambda)(w) = \mu(x)\lambda(w)v$$

Going the other way around, to the right then up, we obtain the endomorphism which maps x to

$$j(c((v \otimes \lambda) \times (w \otimes \mu)))(x) = j(\lambda(w)(v \otimes \mu))(x) = \lambda(w) \mu(x) v$$

These two outcomes are the same. ///

[20.6] Via the isomorphism $\text{End}_k(V) \approx V \otimes_k V^*$, show that the linear map

$$\text{tr} : \text{End}_k(V) \rightarrow k$$

is the linear map

$$V \otimes V^* \rightarrow k$$

induced by the bilinear map $v \times \lambda \rightarrow \lambda(v)$.

Note that the induced map

$$V \otimes_k V^* \rightarrow k \quad \text{by} \quad v \otimes \lambda \rightarrow \lambda(v)$$

is another **contraction map** on tensors. Part of the issue is to compare the coordinate-bound trace with the induced (contraction) map $t(v \otimes \lambda) = \lambda(v)$ determined uniquely from the bilinear map $v \times \lambda \rightarrow \lambda(v)$. To this end, let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for V , with dual basis $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. The corresponding matrix coefficients $T_{ij} \in k$ of a k -linear endomorphism T of V are

$$T_{ij} = \lambda_i(Te_j)$$

(Always there is the worry about interchange of the indices.) Thus, in these coordinates,

$$\text{tr} T = \sum_i \lambda_i(Te_i)$$

Let $T = j(e_s \otimes \lambda_t)$. Then, since $\lambda_t(e_i) = 0$ unless $i = t$,

$$\text{tr} T = \sum_i \lambda_i(Te_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i(j(e_s \otimes \lambda_t)e_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i(\lambda_t(e_i) \cdot e_s) = \lambda_t(\lambda_t(e_t) \cdot e_s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (s = t) \\ 0 & (s \neq t) \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$t(e_s \otimes \lambda_t) = \lambda_t(e_s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (s = t) \\ 0 & (s \neq t) \end{cases}$$

Thus, these two k -linear functionals agree on the monomials, which span, they are equal. ///

[20.7] Prove that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ for two endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field k , with trace defined as just above.

Since the maps

$$\text{End}_k(V) \times \text{End}_k(V) \rightarrow k$$

by

$$A \times B \rightarrow \text{tr}(AB) \quad \text{and/or} \quad A \times B \rightarrow \text{tr}(BA)$$

are bilinear, it suffices to prove the equality on (images of) monomials $v \otimes \lambda$, since these span the endomorphisms over k . Previous examples have converted the issue to one concerning $V_k^\otimes V^*$. (We have already shown that the isomorphism $V \otimes_k V^* \approx \text{End}_k(V)$ is converts a *contraction* map on tensors to composition of endomorphisms, and that the trace on tensors defined as another contraction corresponds to the trace of matrices.) Let tr now denote the contraction-map trace on tensors, and (temporarily) write

$$(v \otimes \lambda) \circ (w \otimes \mu) = \lambda(w) v \otimes \mu$$

for the contraction-map composition of endomorphisms. Thus, we must show that

$$\text{tr} (v \otimes \lambda) \circ (w \otimes \mu) = \text{tr} (w \otimes \mu) \circ (v \otimes \lambda)$$

The left-hand side is

$$\text{tr} (v \otimes \lambda) \circ (w \otimes \mu) = \text{tr} (\lambda(w) v \otimes \mu) = \lambda(w) \text{tr} (v \otimes \mu) = \lambda(w) \mu(v)$$

The right-hand side is

$$\text{tr} (w \otimes \mu) \circ (v \otimes \lambda) = \text{tr} (\mu(v) w \otimes \lambda) = \mu(v) \text{tr} (w \otimes \lambda) = \mu(v) \lambda(w)$$

These elements of k are the same. ///

[20.8] Prove that tensor products are *associative*, in the sense that, for R -modules A, B, C , we have a *natural isomorphism*

$$A \otimes_R (B \otimes_R C) \approx (A \otimes_R B) \otimes_R C$$

In particular, *do* prove the *naturality*, at least the one-third part of it which asserts that, for every R -module homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_R (B \otimes_R C) & \xrightarrow{\approx} & (A \otimes_R B) \otimes_R C \\ \downarrow f \otimes (1_B \otimes 1_C) & & \downarrow (f \otimes 1_B) \otimes 1_C \\ A' \otimes_R (B \otimes_R C) & \xrightarrow{\approx} & (A' \otimes_R B) \otimes_R C \end{array}$$

commutes, where the two horizontal isomorphisms are those determined in the first part of the problem. (One might also consider maps $g : B \rightarrow B'$ and $h : C \rightarrow C'$, but these behave similarly, so there's no real compulsion to worry about them, apart from awareness of the issue.)

Since all tensor products are over R , we drop the subscript, to lighten the notation. As usual, to make a (linear) map *from* a tensor product $M \otimes N$, we induce uniquely from a bilinear map on $M \times N$. We have done this enough times that we will suppress this part now.

The thing that is slightly less trivial is construction of maps *to* tensor products $M \otimes N$. These are always obtained by composition with the canonical bilinear map

$$M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$$

Important at present is that we can create n -fold tensor products, as well. Thus, we prove the indicated isomorphism by proving that both the indicated iterated tensor products are (naturally) isomorphic to the un-parenthesis'd tensor product $A \otimes B \otimes C$, with canonical map $\tau : A \times B \times C \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes C$, such that for every trilinear map $\varphi : A \times B \times C \rightarrow X$ there is a unique linear $\Phi : A \otimes B \otimes C \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes B \otimes C & & \\ \uparrow \tau & \searrow \Phi & \\ A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \end{array}$$

The set map

$$A \times B \times C \approx (A \times B) \times C \rightarrow (A \otimes B) \otimes C$$

by

$$a \times b \times c \rightarrow (a \times b) \times c \rightarrow (a \otimes b) \otimes c$$

is linear in each single argument (for fixed values of the others). Thus, we are assured that there is a unique induced linear map

$$A \otimes B \otimes C \rightarrow (A \otimes B) \otimes C$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes B \otimes C & & \\ \uparrow & \dashrightarrow i & \\ A \times B \times C & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes B) \otimes C \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, from the set map

$$(A \times B) \times C \approx A \times B \times C \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes C$$

by

$$(a \times b) \times c \rightarrow a \times b \times c \rightarrow a \otimes b \otimes c$$

is linear in each single argument (for fixed values of the others). Thus, we are assured that there is a unique induced linear map

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes C$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \otimes B) \otimes C & & \\ \uparrow & \dashrightarrow j & \\ (A \times B) \times C & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B \otimes C \end{array}$$

commutes.

Then $j \circ i$ is a map of $A \otimes B \otimes C$ to itself compatible with the canonical map $A \times B \times C \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes C$. By uniqueness, $j \circ i$ is the identity on $A \otimes B \otimes C$. Similarly (just very slightly more complicatedly), $i \circ j$ must be the identity on the iterated tensor product. Thus, these two maps are mutual inverses.

To prove naturality in one of the arguments A, B, C , consider $f : C \rightarrow C'$. Let j_{ABC} be the isomorphism for a fixed triple A, B, C , as above. The diagram of maps of cartesian products (of sets, at least)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \times B) \times C & \xrightarrow{j_{ABC}} & A \times B \times C \\ \downarrow (1_A \times 1_B) \times f & & \downarrow 1_A \times 1_B \times f \\ (A \times B) \times C & \xrightarrow{j} & A \times B \times C \end{array}$$

does commute: going down, then right, is

$$j_{ABC'}((1_A \times 1_B) \times f)((a \times b) \times c) = j_{ABC'}((a \times b) \times f(c)) = a \times b \times f(c)$$

Going right, then down, gives

$$(1_A \times 1_B \times f)(j_{ABC}((a \times b) \times c)) = (1_A \times 1_B \times f)(a \times b \times c) = a \times b \times f(c)$$

These are the same.

///