

Real Analysis – April 2011

Part A

1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $g(x) = \int_x^\infty f(t) dt$. Show that $g(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and define $h_n(t) := \chi_{[x_n, \infty)} f(t)$.

Now, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some N such that $|h_n(t)| = |\chi_{[x_n, \infty)} f(t)| = 0 < \varepsilon$. Thus,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t) = 0$. Also, notice that $|h_n(t)| \leq |f(t)|$, so since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x_n}^\infty f(t) dt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[x_n, \infty)} f(t) dt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0 dt = 0, \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

■

2. Prove or disprove: If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is nondecreasing and continuous, then f is absolutely continuous.

False. Counterexample: Cantor function

Let C be the Cantor set. That is, let

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n 3^{-n}, \text{ where each } a_n = 0 \text{ or } 2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^{m-1}-1} \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m} \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \setminus \text{“open middle thirds”} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mu(C) = 0$, since C is totally disconnected, where μ is the Lebesgue measure.

Define the Cantor function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2} 2^{-n}, & \text{if } x \in C \text{ as defined above} \\ p 2^{-k}, \text{ for some } p, k \in \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \setminus C \end{cases}$$

so that if $x \in [0, 1] \setminus C$, then $f(x)$ is equal to the value of f at the endpoints of the “open middle third” interval that x is in.

Notice: $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = 1$, (i.e. f goes from 0 to 1 as x goes from 0 to 1). Also, f is continuous everywhere.

Now, since $\mu(C) = 0$ and $f'(x) = 0$ for every $x \notin C$, f is differentiable almost everywhere with $f' \equiv 0$. Thus, f is nondecreasing, also. So f satisfies the hypotheses.

However,

$$\int_0^1 f'(x) dx = \int_0^1 0 dx = 0 \neq 1 = f(1) - f(0).$$

So, by the contrapositive of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, f cannot be absolutely continuous. ■

3. Let E be a closed Lebesgue measurable subset of $[0, 1]$. Prove or disprove:

a. If E^c is dense, then E has measure 0.

b. If E has measure 0, then E^c is dense.

a. False. Counterexample:

Let $E^c = \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$. Notice: E^c is dense in $[0, 1]$ since the closure of E^c is $[0, 1]$ and since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . Also, notice that E is closed since E^c is open.

But, $0 \leq \mu(E^c) \leq \mu(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, so, $\mu(E) = \mu([0, 1]) - \mu(E^c) = 1 - 0 = 1$, if μ is the Lebesgue measure.

b. True. Proof by contrapositive:

If E^c is not dense, then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $(y, y + \delta)$ is not in E^c , for some $y \in E^c$.

Thus, $(y, y + \delta) \in E$ and $\mu(E) \geq \mu((y, y + \delta)) = \delta > 0$. ■

4. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be absolutely continuous, and assume that $f' \in L^4([0,1])$ and that $f(0) = 0$. Find all values of α so that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} f(x) = 0$$

for all such f .

Since f is absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} , it is also absolutely continuous on $[0, x]$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, but let's only consider $x \in [0, 1]$. Also, f' exists almost everywhere and is integrable on $[0, x]$, and, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, $\int_0^x f'(t) dt = f(x) - f(0) = f(x)$.

Also, note that $f' \in L^4([0,1])$ implies that $\left(\int_0^1 |f'(t)|^4 dt \right)^{1/4} < \infty$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \left| \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} f(x) \right| &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |x^{-\alpha}| \cdot |f(x)| \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} \left| \int_0^x f'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x |1 \cdot f'(t)| dt \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} \left\| 1 \cdot f'(t) \right\|_1 \quad \text{on } [0, x] \\ &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} \|1\|_{4/3} \cdot \|f'(t)\|_4 \quad \text{By Hölder's Inequality} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} \left(\int_0^x |1|^{4/3} dt \right)^{3/4} \left(\int_0^x |f'(t)|^4 dt \right)^{1/4} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} x^{3/4} \left(\int_0^x |f'(t)|^4 dt \right)^{1/4} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{3/4 - \alpha} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,x]} |f'(t)|^4 dt \right)^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers in $[0, 1]$ such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define $g_n(x) := \chi_{[0,x_n]} |f'(x)|^4$. Then, $g_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $g_n(x) \leq |f'(x)|^4$, which is integrable, so by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have the following:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,x]} |f'(t)|^4 dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0 dt = 0.$$

Thus, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} f(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{3/4 - \alpha} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n(t) dt \right)^{1/4} = 0$, provided $x_n^{3/4 - \alpha} \not\rightarrow \infty$. So, since $x_n \rightarrow 0$, it must be that $\frac{3}{4} - \alpha \geq 0$.

Therefore, if $\alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\alpha} f(x) = 0$, as required. ■

Part B

5. Recall that, if (X, ρ) and (Y, σ) are metric spaces, a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\sigma(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda \rho(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Let X be a compact metric space, and let $Y = \mathbb{R}$. Show that the Lipschitz continuous functions are dense in the space of continuous functions with the uniform norm.

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem:

Suppose X is a compact Hausdorff space and A is a subalgebra of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$, which contains a non-zero constant function. Then A is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ if, and only if, it separates points.

In our case: $A = Lip(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is Lipschitz continuous}\}$.

We will need to show the following:

1. $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a subalgebra of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$:
 - (a) $Lip(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$.
 - (b) $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear space.
 - (c) $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ is closed under multiplication.
2. There is a non-zero constant function in $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$.
3. $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ separates points.
4. X is Hausdorff.

Now, let's get to work...

1. (a) Let $x, y \in X$ and $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \lambda \rho(x, y)$.
Now, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}$, such that $\rho(x, x_0) < \delta$.
Then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \lambda \rho(x, x_0) < \lambda \delta = \varepsilon$. Thus, $Lip(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

- (b) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$, $x, y \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (af + bg)(x) - (af + bg)(y) \right| &= \left| a[f(x) - f(y)] + b[g(x) - g(y)] \right| \\ &\leq |a| |f(x) - f(y)| + |b| |g(x) - g(y)| \\ &\leq |a| \lambda_1 \rho(x, y) + |b| \lambda_2 \rho(x, y) \\ &= \left(|a| \lambda_1 + |b| \lambda_2 \right) \rho(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $(|a| \lambda_1 + |b| \lambda_2) \geq 0$.

Thus, $(af + bg) \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$, so $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear space.

(c) Let $x, y \in X$, $f, g \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$. Since X is compact and $f, g \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, there is some $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(t)| \leq M$ and $|g(t)| \leq M$, for every $t \in X$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |fg(x) - fg(y)| &= |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| \\
 &= |f(x)g(x) - [f(y)g(x) - f(y)g(x)] - f(y)g(y)| \\
 &= |g(x)[f(x) - f(y)] - f(y)[g(x) - g(y)]| \\
 &\leq M|f(x) - f(y)| + M|g(x) - g(y)| \\
 &\leq M\lambda_1\rho(x, y) + M\lambda_2\rho(x, y) \\
 &= M(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\rho(x, y), \text{ where } M(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $fg \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$, so $Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ is closed under multiplication.

2. Let $x, y \in X$, and let $f = c \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $|f(x) - f(y)| = |c - c| = 0 = \lambda\rho(x, y)$, for $\lambda = 0 \leq 0$. Thus, $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$.

3. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, and suppose $f(t) = \rho(t, q)$, for every $t \in X$, where $q \in X$. Then $|f(x) - f(y)| = |\rho(x, q) - \rho(y, q)| = |\rho(x, q) - \rho(q, y)| \leq \rho(x, y)$. Thus, $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Also, for $r \in X$ such that $r \neq q$, we have that $f(r) = \rho(r, q) > 0$, whereas $f(q) = \rho(q, q) = 0$. Thus, f separates points.

4. A topological space T is *Hausdorff* if for $x, y \in T$ such that $x \neq y$, there are disjoint open sets $U, V \subseteq T$ with $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Now, given that X is compact and \mathbb{R} is Hausdorff, let $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(x) \neq f(y)$ in \mathbb{R} . Then, $f(x) \in U$ and $f(y) \in V$ for open $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Since $Lip(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$ we know that $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are both open in X .

If $t \in f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$, then $t \in f^{-1}(U)$ and $t \in f^{-1}(V)$, which implies that $f(t) \in U$ and $f(t) \in V$, but $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus, $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$.

Therefore, X is Hausdorff.

Thus, by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, the Lipschitz continuous functions are dense in the space of continuous functions with the uniform norm, as required. ■

6. Use an appropriate Fourier series to compute $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2}$.

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is periodic with period one and which satisfies

$$f(x) = \chi_{(0,1/2)}(x) - \chi_{(-1/2,0)}(x) \quad \text{for } |x| < \frac{1}{2}$$

The Fourier series for f is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ c_n &= \left[\frac{e^{-2\pi i n x}}{-2\pi i n} \right]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left[\frac{e^{-2\pi i n x}}{-2\pi i n} \right]_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 0. \\ &= \frac{e^{-\pi i n} - 1}{-2\pi i n} + \frac{1 - e^{\pi i n}}{2\pi i n} \\ &= \frac{2 - (e^{-\pi i n} + e^{\pi i n})}{2\pi i n} \\ &= \frac{2 - (\cos(\pi n) - i \sin(\pi n) + \cos(\pi n) + i \sin(\pi n))}{2\pi i n} \\ &= \frac{1 - \cos(\pi n)}{\pi i n}. \\ c_0 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 dx - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 1 dx \\ &= \left[x \right]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left[x \right]_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - 0 \right) - \left(0 - \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So the Fourier series for f is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} \frac{1 - \cos(\pi n)}{\pi i n} e^{2\pi i n x} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{\pi i n} e^{2\pi i n x}$$

Notice: if n is odd, then $1 - (-1)^n = 1 - (-1) = 2$, but if n is even, then $1 - (-1)^n = 1 - (1) = 0$.

So the Fourier series for f is:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2}{\pi i (2n-1)} e^{2\pi i (2n-1)x}.$$

Now, by Parseval's Formula, $\|f\|_{L^2} = \|\hat{f}\|_{l^2}$ and so $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_{l^2}^2$
 Well,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |1|^2 dx + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 |-1|^2 dx \\ &= [x]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + [x]_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - 0\right) + \left(0 - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{l^2}^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{2}{\pi i(2n-1)} e^{2\pi i(2n-1)x} \right|^2 \quad \text{from part (a).} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4}{\pi^2(2n-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2(-n)-1)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(-1)^2(2n+1)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left(2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{l^2}^2 = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} = 1 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}.$$

■

7. Assume that E is a compact Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} , and let

$$f(t) = \int_E \cos(tx) dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Prove or disprove:

- a. f has compact support.
- b. f is infinitely differentiable.

a. False. Counterexample:

Let $E = [0, 2\pi]$, then E is a compact and Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} . Then

$$f(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(tx) dx = \frac{1}{t} \sin(tx) \Big|_{x=0}^{2\pi} = \frac{1}{t} \sin(2\pi t) - 0, \quad \text{provided } t \neq 0.$$

If $t = 0$ then $f(0) = \int_0^{2\pi} 1 dx = 2\pi.$

Thus, $f(t) = 0$ when $\frac{1}{t} \sin(2\pi t) = 0$, so $\sin(2\pi t) = 0$, which happens only when $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, the support of f is the closure of the set of all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t) \neq 0$, is the closure of the complement of \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{R} , which is all of \mathbb{R} , which is NOT compact.

b. True.

One method (probably not the best method): Proof by induction.

$$f^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases} \int_E (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} x^n \cos(tx) dx & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \int_E (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} x^n \sin(tx) dx & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Using the Mean Value Theorem and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem (as in April 2013 #6b and September 2011 #7b), first show true for base cases: $n = 1$ and $n = 1$, then assuming true for the n^{th} case, show true for the $(n + 1)^{\text{st}}$ and $(n + 2)^{\text{nd}}$ cases. ■