

Last week, we discussed tangent hyperplanes - locally approximate a manifold, itself
 locally graph of diff. function (in fact C^1)

Next natural question:

How good is local approximation? Give some quantitative measure of accuracy.

(Taylor's theorem with remainder).

Begin with discussion of Taylor polynomials in several variables.

In one-variable, Taylor polynomial to f at $x=a$ is the polynomial (of deg. k)

whose first k derivatives match those of f at $x=a$:

$$P_{f,a}^k(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2}(x-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}(x-a)^k$$

Nice way to formulate precisely our intuitive notion that $P_{f,a}^k$ is close to f near $x=a$:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - P_{f,a}^k(a+h)}{h^k} = 0. \quad (\text{sketch pf. of more general result later...})$$

How should we generalize to several variables?

$P_{f,a}^1$: tangent hyperplane

$$[Df(\underline{a})](\underline{x}-\underline{a}) = D_1 f(\underline{a}) \cdot (x_1 - a_1) + \dots + D_n f(\underline{a}) \cdot (x_n - a_n)$$

so first partials of tangent hyperplane at $\underline{x}=\underline{a}$ match those of f .

And tangent space to a point, only interesting information in tangent hyperplane, is easily computed.

Given zero locus $F=0$

compute $\text{Ker}[DF(\underline{z}_0)]$

Given parametrization

$\gamma: U \rightarrow M$

compute $\text{Im}[D\gamma(u)]$

More generally, ask for polynomial to match partials of higher degree.

so $P_{f,a}^2$ would match all partials up to degree 2 -

all combinations $D_i(D_j(f))$ with $i, j \in [1, \dots, n]$ (n^2 derivatives)

A lot of book keeping. Use multi-indices.

$D^{(e_1, \dots, e_n)}$ means $D_1^{e_1} \dots D_n^{e_n}$, so $D^{(3,0,1)}$ means

$D_1^3 \cdot D_2$ (ordered)

problem: No way to write $D_2 D_1^3$.

So this would be really not useful notation except that...

Thm: for $f \in D^2$ (twice diff.) ; mixed partials are equal!

for any i, j : $D_j D_i (f|_a) = D_i (D_j f)(a)$ for any $a \in U$
with $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
in $D^2(U)$

so $D_2 \circ D_1^3 = D_2 \circ D_1 \circ D_1 \circ D_1$
 $= D_1 \circ D_2 \circ D_1 \circ D_1 = \dots = D_1^3 \circ D_2$

We can always order derivatives in this way.

pf. of thm: check this component by component for $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$,

so assume $m=1$.

Write out $D_j(D_i f)(a) := D_j \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\underline{x} + s \underline{e}_i) - f(\underline{x})}{s} \right) \Big|_{\underline{x}=a}$
 $= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\underline{a} + s \underline{e}_i + t \underline{e}_j) - f(\underline{a} + t \underline{e}_j) - f(\underline{a} + s \underline{e}_i) + f(\underline{a})}{s \cdot t} \quad (*)$

if we could set $s=t$ then done, then just one limit whose expression is symmetric when we reverse roles of i and j .

strategy is to regroup terms; familiar trick in product rule, etc.,
 justify the above by ~~using~~ Mean Value Theorem.

$$g_t(s) := f(\underline{a} + s\vec{e}_i + t\vec{e}_j) - f(\underline{a} + s\vec{e}_i).$$

Then $g_t(s) - g_t(0)$ is numerator in (*), want to

show the limit in (*) matches limit in $t \rightarrow 0$ with $\frac{g_t(t) - g_t(0)}{t}$

replacing $\frac{g_t(s) - g_t(0)}{s}$

For this MVT $\Rightarrow \exists c_t \in (0, t)$
 such that this equals $g'(c_t)$.
 (g is diff. since it is made from f diff.)

First compute $g'_t(c_t)$ in terms of f:

$$= D_i f(\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i + t \vec{e}_j) - D_i f(\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i)$$

call it c_t to emphasize its dependence on t .

$D_i f$ is differentiable. From definition,
 approach \underline{a} from $\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i + t \vec{e}_j$:

$$0 = \lim_{(c_t, t) \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|(c_t, t)|} D_i (f(\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i + t \vec{e}_j) - D_i f(\underline{a}))$$

In other words, we are reduced to showing

$$D_j (D_i f)(\underline{a}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(c_t)}{t}$$

$$= \underbrace{[DD_i f(\underline{a})]}_{1 \times n \text{ matrix}} (c_t \vec{e}_i + t \vec{e}_j)$$

that records mult. in i th, j th component only, so get

$$c_t \cdot D_i^2 f(\underline{a}) + t \underbrace{D_j D_i f(\underline{a})}_{\text{second mixed partial we wanted.}}$$

since $c_t < t$,
 can replace $|(c_t, t)|$

with $|(t, t)|$, conclude limit is 0 with $\frac{1}{|t|}$.

second mixed partial we wanted.

Now approach \underline{a} from $\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i$ with limit as $t \rightarrow 0$. (so $c_t \rightarrow 0$)

$$0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|c_t|} (D_i f(\underline{a} + c_t \vec{e}_i) - D_i f(\underline{a}) - \underbrace{[D \cdot D_i f(\underline{a})] c_t \vec{e}_i}_{c D_i^2 f(\underline{a})})$$

Compare these two: ↖ again $c_t < t$,
so replace with $|t|$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(c_t)}{t} = D_j D_i f(\underline{a}) \text{ as desired.}$$

Now have essential fact about mixed partials AND our multi-index is well defined.

Then define:

$$P_{f, \underline{a}}^k(\underline{x}) = \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in I_n^m} \frac{1}{l_1! \dots l_n!} D^{(l_1, \dots, l_n)} f(\underline{a}) \cdot (\underline{x} - \underline{a})^{(l_1, \dots, l_n)}$$

clear that its partial derivs of order $\leq k$ will match those of $f(\underline{x})$ at $\underline{x} = \underline{a}$.
at $\underline{x} = \underline{a}$