

Indecomposable modules: A  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  is indecomposable if  $M \neq 0$  and  $M = U \oplus V$  implies  $U = 0$  or  $V = 0$ .

There can be only many!

Thm:  $M$  is indecomposable iff the fda  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$  is a local ring. (unique maximal left ideal = unique maximal right ideal)

Krull-Schmidt: For any  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ , if

$$M \cong U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r, M \cong V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s$$

with  $U_i, V_j$  indec., then  $r = s$  and after reordering  $U_i \cong V_i$ .

Thm:  $M$  - a  $\Lambda$ -module. Expressions  $M = U \oplus V$  biject with expressions  $1 = e + f$  in  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$  where  $e, f$  are idempotents s.t.  $ef = fe = 0$ ,  $e, f$  are orthogonal idempotents

Cor: Expressions  $M = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$  biject with expressions  $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_r$  where  $e_i$ 's are orthogonal idempotents. If  $U_i$ 's are indec.,  $e_i$ 's are primitive, i.e.  $e_i$  can not be written as a nontrivial sum of orthogonal idempotents.  $U_i = e_i(M)$

Fact: Every indec. projective is <sup>isomorphic to</sup> a summand of  ${}_\Lambda \Lambda$ , so can be realized as  $\Lambda e_i$  for a primitive orthogonal idempotent  $e$  of  $\Lambda^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda \Lambda)$





