

Before group reps, let me mention what kind of fin-dim algebras are "farthest" from being semisimple.  $k$  alg-closed  $\Lambda$ -f.d  $k$ -alg.

Recall:  $\text{rad } \Lambda$  is the unique smallest ideal in  $\Lambda$  s.t.  $\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda$  is semisimple. In particular,  $\Lambda$  is semisimple  $\iff \text{rad } \Lambda = 0$ .

Defn:  $\Lambda$  is local if  $\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda \cong k$ .

for an algebra  
Being local is a very special property. Being the antithesis of a semisimple alg. makes it look like it's a "dark side of the force" kind of special alg, but they do come up! Definitely in alg. geo but also in rep theory

Prop: Let  $\Lambda$  be any f.d. algebra, and  $M \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ .  
The f.d. algebra  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)$  is local  $\iff M$  is indecomposable  
means left module, small "n" in mod means finite-dimensional

Prop:  $\Lambda$  is semisimple and local  $\iff \Lambda = k$ .

Analogy: Semisimple vs. solvable Lie algebras.

Prop: TFAE:

- (1)  $\Lambda$  is local
- (1')  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$  is local
- (2)  $\Lambda$  has a unique maximal left ideal.
- (3)  $\Lambda$  has a unique maximal right ideal.
- (4) Noninvertible elements in  $\Lambda$  form an ideal.
- (5)  $\Lambda$  has a unique simple module.

Why (5)? Remember simples of  $\Lambda \iff$  simples of  $\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda = k$ .

Alternatively,  $\Lambda^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda \Lambda)$  is local, so  ${}_\Lambda \Lambda$  is indecomposable. Hence only one indec. proj, so only one simple.

$$(R_Q^1 \subseteq I \subseteq R_Q^2)$$

Prop: Let  $Q$  be a finite quiver, and  $I$  an admissible ideal of  $kQ$

(1)  $kQ/I$  is semisimple  $\iff Q$  has no edges

(2)  $kQ/I$  is local  $\iff Q$  has a single vertex

Matches the intuition I think. Local  $\iff$  only one vertex to focus.

Finally groups. Let  $G$  - finite group.

Prop: (1)  $kG$  is semisimple  $\iff \text{char } k \nmid |G|$ .

(2)  $kG$  is local  $\iff |G| = (\text{char } k)^n$

I'm deliberately not using the letter 'p' because both statements hold when  $\text{char } k = 0$ ! Exercise: Convince yourself that  $l$  is a power of 0.

If  $kG$  is local,  $\text{rad } kG =$  the augmentation ideal  $:= \langle g-1 : g \in G - \{1\} \rangle_k$

Thm (Brauer): simple  $kG$ -modules  $\leftrightarrow$  conj. classes  $[g]$  such that  $\text{char } k \nmid |g|$

Brauer characters:  $p$ -prime  $\text{char } k = p$ .  $g \in G$  is called  $p$ -regular if  $p \nmid |g|$ . Idea: Try to "save" the character theory in characteristic 0 by restricting to  $p$ -regular elements.

Find a local PID  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$  and the field of fractions  $F$  of  $R$  has  $\text{char } F = 0$ , and  $F$  has  $|G|$ -th root of unity.

Ex:  $k = \mathbb{F}_2 \leftarrow R = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : 2 \nmid b \right\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  Not an appropriate example actually

I tried to find a reference for how to do this when  $k = \mathbb{F}$ , everyone seems to cite a book of Serre, which is French. Alg. closed is actually overkill, but we at least want some roots of unity in  $k$  and  $F$ .

Let  $a = \text{lcm} \{ |g| : p \nmid |g| \}$ .

Then there is a bijection  $\left\{ a^{\text{th}} \text{ roots of unity in } F \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ a^{\text{th}} \text{ roots of unity in } k \right\}$

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_k(V)$  be a repn of  $G$  over  $k$ .

Given  $p$ -regular  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable, because we may regard  $V$  as a  $k\langle g \rangle$ -repn and  $k\langle g \rangle$  is semisimple.

The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are  $a$ th roots of unity

Define  $\chi_U: \{p\text{-regular elements}\} \rightarrow F$   
 $g \mapsto \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$

Why not use  $\lambda$ 's in  $k$ ?  
 Taking trace in positive characteristic is a bad idea.

Satisfies a lot of the properties of usual characters. row/column

orthogonality is a little different.

What fails?  $\chi_U = \chi_V$  does not imply  $U \cong V$ .

e.g. We'd like to have  $\chi_U(1) = \dim U$   
 could be divisible by  $p$ !

$\chi_U = \chi_V \iff U$  and  $V$  have the same multiset

inner product on  $p$ -regulars — of composition factors — pairs indec. proj with

Note: Brauer character theory is useless in the local case  $|G| = p^n$ . simples

Only  $1 \in G$  is  $p$ -regular!

Application: Let  $U$  be a simple  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module, with character  $\chi_U$ , s.t.  $|G|_p$  divides  $\dim U = \chi_U(1)$ . Then for every  $g \in G$  whose order is divisible by  $p$  (i.e.  $g \in G - \{p\text{-regular elts}\}$ )  $\chi_U(g) = 0$ .

$S_3$ :

	1	(12)	(123)
1	1	1	1
sgn	1	-1	1
$V$	2	0	-1

↙