

(March 11, 2015)

Discriminant of cubics

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is

http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/notes_2014-15/10b_cubic_discriminant.pdf]

We give a reproducible proof for the expression of the discriminant of a cubic in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials:

[0.0.1] **Claim:** Given $(x - \alpha)(x - \beta)(x - \gamma) = x^3 - s_1x^2 + s_2x - s_3$, the *discriminant* is expressible as

$$\Delta = (\alpha - \beta)^2(\alpha - \gamma)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2 = (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2 + s_3(-4s_1^3 + 18s_1s_2 - 27s_3)$$

Proof: Imitating part of the proof that every symmetric polynomial is expressible in terms of the elementary ones, first set $\gamma = 0$, and observe that the discriminant degenerates into

$$(\alpha - \beta)^2\alpha^2\beta^2 = (\bar{s}_1^2 - 4\bar{s}_2)\bar{s}_2^2$$

where the \bar{s}_j are the corresponding elementary symmetric functions $\bar{s}_1 = \alpha + \beta$ and $\bar{s}_2 = \alpha\beta$. Then $\Delta - (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2$ is symmetric and vanishes at $\gamma = 0$, thus, vanishes at $s_3 = 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, s_3]$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in three variables, it is a unique factorization domain, by Gauss and Eisenstein. Thus, s_3 divides $\Delta - (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2$.

The *homogeneity* properties

$$t\alpha + t\beta + t\gamma = t \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \quad (t\alpha)(t\beta) + (t\alpha)(t\gamma) + (t\beta)(t\gamma) = t^2 \cdot (\alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma) \quad (t\alpha)(t\beta)(t\gamma) = t^3 \cdot \alpha\beta\gamma$$

of the elementary symmetric functions and of Δ shows that for some constants a, b, c

$$\frac{\Delta - (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2}{s_3} = as_1^3 + bs_1s_2 + cs_3$$

that is,

$$\Delta = (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2 + (as_1^3 + bs_1s_2 + cs_3)s_3$$

Successive simple choices of α, β, γ give linear equations solvable for a, b, c .

First, $x^3 - 1 = (x - 1)(x - \omega)(x - \omega^2)$ for cube root of unity ω conveniently makes $s_1 = s_2 = 0$ and $s_3 = 1$, so the expression for Δ directly gives

$$c = (1 - \omega)^2(1 - \omega^2)^2(\omega - \omega^2)^2 = -27$$

Second, $(x - 1)^3 = x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x - 1$ gives $s_1 = s_2 = 3$ and $s_3 = 1$, while $\Delta = 0$. Thus,

$$0 = (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2 + (as_1^3 + bs_1s_2 + cs_3)s_3 = (3^2 - 4 \cdot 3)3^2 + (a \cdot 3^3 + b \cdot 3 \cdot 3 - 27)$$

Dividing through by 9 and rearranging,

$$6 = 3a + b$$

Third, to get another linear relation, use $(x^2 + 1)(x - 1) = x^3 - x^2 + x - 1$, so $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1$. The discriminant is $\Delta = (1 - i)^2(1 + i)^2(i + i)^2 = -16$, so

$$-16 = (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2 + (as_1^3 + bs_1s_2 + cs_3)s_3 = (1 - 4) + (a + b - 27)$$

or

$$14 = a + b$$

Substituting $b = 14 - a$ into $6 = 3a + b$ gives $6 = 3a + 14 - a$, so $-8 = 2a$, and $a = -4$. Thus, $b = 14 - (-4) = 18$, and

$$\Delta = (s_1^2 - 4s_2)s_2^2 + (-4s_1^3 + 18s_1s_2 - 27s_3)s_3$$

///

[0.0.2] Remark: Another convenient data point is $(x^2 - 1)(x - 1) = x^3 - x^2 - x + 1$, with $s_1 = 1$, $s_2 = s_3 = -1$, to provide a check: the discriminant is 0, so we test whether or not

$$0 = (1 - 4(-1)) + (-4 + 18(-1) - 27(-1))(-1) \quad (?)$$

Indeed,

$$(1 - 4(-1)) + (-4 + 18(-1) - 27(-1))(-1) = 5 - (-4 - 18 + 27) = 5 + 4 + 18 - 27 = 0$$

as hoped.
