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1. General ideas about asymptotic expansions

The basic notion of asymptotic $F(s)$ for a given function $f(s)$ as $s$ goes to $+\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}$, or in a sector in $\mathbb{C}$, is a simpler function $F(s)$ such that $\lim_{s \to +\infty} f(s)/F(s) = 1$, written $f \sim F$. One might want an error estimate, for example,

$$f \sim F \iff f(s) = F(s) \cdot (1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right))$$

That is,

$$f(s) \sim f_0(s) \cdot \left(\frac{c_0}{s^\alpha} + \frac{c_1}{s^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{c_2}{s^{\alpha+2}} + \ldots\right)$$

(with an auxiliary function $f_0$) is an asymptotic expansion for $f$ when

$$f(s) = f_0(s) \cdot \left(\frac{c_0}{s^\alpha} + \frac{c_1}{s^{\alpha+1}} + \ldots + \frac{c_n}{s^{\alpha+n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^{\alpha+n+1}}\right)\right)$$

Two of the simplest methods to obtain asymptotics of integrals are Watson’s lemma and Laplace’s method. Watson’s lemma dates from at latest [Watson 1918a], and Laplace’s method at latest from [Laplace 1774].

An important example is the Stirling-Laplace asymptotic for $\Gamma(s)$:

$$\Gamma(s) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-s} s^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{(as } |s| \to \infty, \text{ with } \text{Re}(s) \geq \delta > 0\text{)}$$

The useful result about ratios of gamma functions

$$\frac{\Gamma(s + a)}{\Gamma(s)} \sim s^a \quad \text{(as } |s| \to \infty, \text{ for fixed } a, \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) \geq \delta > 0\text{)}$$

is difficult to obtain from the Stirling-Laplace formula. These methods apply to many other classical special functions.

2. Heuristic for the Stirling-Laplace asymptotic

There is a good heuristic for the main term of the Laplace-Stirling asymptotic

$$\Gamma(s) \sim e^{-s} \cdot s^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{2\pi}$$

Start from Euler’s integral

$$s \cdot \Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s + 1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{s+1} \frac{du}{u} = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^s du = \int_0^\infty e^{-u+u\log u} du$$

\[1\]
The trick is to replace the exponent $-u + s \log u$ by the quadratic polynomial in $u$ best approximating it near its maximum, and evaluate the resulting integral. This replacement is justified via Watson’s lemma and Laplace’s method, below, but the heuristic is simpler than the justification.

The exponent takes its maximum where its derivative vanishes, at the unique solution $u_o = s$ of

$$-1 + \frac{s}{u} = 0$$

The second derivative in $u$ of the exponent is $-s/u^2$, which takes value $-1/s$ at $u_o = s$. Thus, near $u_o = s$, the quadratic Taylor-Maclaurin polynomial in $t$ approximating the exponent is

$$-s + s \log s - \frac{1}{2!} \cdot (s-u)^2$$

We imagine that

$$s \cdot \Gamma(s) \sim \int_0^\infty e^{-s + s \log s - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (u-s)^2} du = e^{-s} \cdot s^s \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot (u-s)^2} du$$

Evaluation of the integral over the whole line, and simple estimates on the integral over $(-\infty, 0]$, show that the integral over $(-\infty, 0]$ is of a lower order of magnitude than the whole. Thus, the leading term of the asymptotics of the integral over the whole line is the same than the integral from 0 to $+\infty$. To simplify the remaining integral, replace $u$ by $su$ and cancel a factor of $s$ from both sides:

$$\Gamma(s) \sim e^{-s} \cdot s^s \cdot \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-s(u-1)^2/2} du$$

Replace $u$ by $u + 1$, and $u$ by $u \cdot \sqrt{2\pi}/s$, obtaining

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-s(u-1)^2/2} du = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-su^2/2} du = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\pi u^2} du = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

and

$$\Gamma(s) \sim e^{-s} \cdot s^s \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

This heuristic is a good heuristic, because it suggests the correct outcome in a relatively economical fashion. It can also be made rigorous, as below.

## 3. Watson’s lemma

The often-rediscovered [Watson’s lemma][1] gives an asymptotic expansion for certain integrals (Laplace transforms), valid in half-planes in $\mathbb{C}$. For example, let $h$ be a smooth function on $(0, +\infty)$ all whose derivatives are of polynomial growth, and expressible for small $x > 0$ as

$$h(x) = x^\alpha \cdot g(x)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where $g(x)$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$ near 0. We do not need to assume that $g$ is real-analytic near 0, only that it and its derivatives have finite Taylor expansions approximating it well as $x \to 0^+$. Thus, $h(x)$ has an expression

$$h(x) = x^\alpha \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$

(for $x > 0$ sufficiently small)

Then there is an asymptotic expansion of the Laplace transform of $h$,

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-xs} h(x) \frac{dx}{x} \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) c_0}{s^\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) c_1}{s^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2) c_2}{s^{\alpha+2}} + \ldots \quad \text{(for } \text{Re}(s) > 0)$$

A simple corollary of the error estimates given below is that, letting $\text{Re}(\alpha) + 1 - \varepsilon$ be the greatest integer less than or equal $\text{Re}(\alpha) + 1$,

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-xs} h(x) \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} x^\alpha (c_0 + \ldots + c_n x^n) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) g(0)}{s^\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^{\text{Re}(\alpha) + 1 - \varepsilon}}\right)
$$

Since

$$\text{Re}(\alpha) + 1 - \varepsilon > \text{Re}(\alpha)$$

the error term is of strictly smaller order of magnitude in $s$.

The idea of the proof is straightforward: the expansion is obtained from

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-xs} h(x) \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} x^\alpha (c_0 + \ldots + c_n x^n) \frac{dx}{x} + \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} x^\alpha \left(g(x) - (c_0 + \ldots + c_n x^n)\right) \frac{dx}{x}
$$

The first integral gives the asymptotic expansion, and for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ the second integral can be integrated by parts essentially $\text{Re}(\alpha) + n$ times and trivially bounded to give an $O(1/s^{\alpha+n-\varepsilon})$ error term for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. For the integration by parts the denominator $x$ in the measure must be moved into the integrand proper, accounting for a slight reduction of the order of vanishing of the integrand at 0.

To understand the error, let $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be the smallest such that

$$N = \text{Re}(\alpha) + n - \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The subtraction of the initial polynomial and re-allocation of the $1/x$ from the measure makes $x^\alpha - (c_0 + \ldots + c_n x^n)$ vanish to order $N$ at 0. This, with the exponential $e^{-sx}$ and the presumed polynomial growth of $h$ and its derivatives, allows integration by parts $N$ times without boundary terms, giving

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-xs} h(x) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) c_0}{s^\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) c_1}{s^{\alpha+1}} + \ldots + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n) c_n}{s^{\alpha+n}}
$$

$$+ \frac{1}{s^N} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^N (x^\alpha \cdot (g(x) - (c_0 + \ldots + c_n x^n))) \frac{dx}{x}
$$

The last error-like term is $O(s^{-|\text{Re}(\alpha)+n-\varepsilon|})$. That is, computing in this fashion, the error term swallows up the last term in the asymptotic expansion.

### 4. Watson’s lemma illustrated on $B(s, a)$

Here is an asymptotic result non-trivial to derive from the Stirling-Laplace formula for $\Gamma(s)$, but easy to obtain from Watson’s lemma. Euler’s beta integral is

$$
B(s, a) = \int_0^1 x^{s-1} (1-x)^{a-1} \, dx = \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(s+a)}
$$

Fix $a$ with $\text{Re}(a) > 0$, and consider this integral as a function of $s$. Letting $x = e^{-u}$ gives an integrand fitting Watson’s lemma,

$$
B(s, a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-su} (1-e^{-u})^{a-1} \, du = \int_0^\infty e^{-su} \left(u - \frac{u^2}{2!} + \ldots\right)^{a-1} \, du
$$
taking just the first term in an asymptotic expansion, using Watson’s lemma. Thus,
\[
\frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(s+a)} \sim \frac{\Gamma(a)}{s^a}
\]
giving
\[
\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s+a)} \sim \frac{1}{s^a} \quad \text{(for } a \text{ fixed)}
\]

5. Simple form of Laplace’s method, and \( \Gamma(s) \)

Laplace’s method obtains asymptotics in \( s \) for certain integrals of the form
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-sf(u)} \, du
\]
with \( f \) real-valued. The idea is that the minimum values of \( f(u) \) should dominate, and the leading term of the asymptotics should be
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-sf(u)} \, du \sim e^{-sf(u_o)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{s^p(u_o)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \quad \text{(for } |s| \to \infty, \text{ with } \text{Re}(s) \geq \delta > 0)\]

To reduce this to Watson’s lemma, break the integral at points where the derivative \( f' \) changes sign, and change variables to convert each fragment to a Watson-lemma integral. For Watson’s lemma to be legitimately applied, we will find that \( f \) must be smooth with all derivatives of at most polynomial growth and at most polynomial decay, as \( u \to +\infty \).

For simplicity assume that there is exactly one point \( u_o \) at which \( f'(u_o) = 0 \), and that \( f''(u_o) > 0 \). Further, assume that \( f(u) \) goes to \( +\infty \) at \( 0^+ \) and at \( +\infty \). Since \( f'(u) > 0 \) for \( u > u_o \) and \( f'(u) < 0 \) for \( 0 < u < u_o \), on each of these two intervals there is a smooth square root \( \sqrt{f(u)} - f(u_o) \) and there are smooth functions \( F, G \) such that
\[
\begin{cases}
F(\sqrt{f(u)} - f(u_o)) = u & \text{for } u_o < u < +\infty \\
G(\sqrt{f(u)} - f(u_o)) = u & \text{for } 0 < u < u_o
\end{cases}
\]

Then
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-sf(u)} \, du = e^{-sf(u_o)} \int_0^{u_o} e^{-s(f(u) - f(u_o))} \, du + e^{-sf(u_o)} \int_{u_o}^\infty e^{-s(f(u) - f(u_o))} \, du
\]
\[
= e^{-sf(u_o)} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-sx^2} F'(x) \, dx + \int_0^\infty e^{-sx^2} G'(x) \, dx \right)
\]
by letting \( x = \sqrt{f(u) - f(u_o)} \) in the two intervals. In both integrals, replacing \( x \) by \( \sqrt{x} \) gives Watson’s-lemma integrals
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-sf(u)} \, du = e^{-sf(u_o)} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1}{2} x^{1/2} F'(\sqrt{x}) \, dx + \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1}{2} x^{1/2} G'(\sqrt{x}) \, dx \right)
\]
At this point the needed conditions on \( F \), hence, on \( f \), become clear: since \( F \) must be smooth with all derivatives of at most polynomial growth, direct chain-rule computations show that it suffices that no
derivative of $f$ increases or decreases faster than polynomially as $u \to +\infty$. The assumptions $f'(u_o) = 0$ and $f''(u_o) > 0$ assure that $F$ has a Taylor series expansion near 0, giving a suitable expansion

$$
\frac{1}{2}x^{1/2}F''(x) = \frac{1}{2}F'(0)x^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2!}F^{(2)}(0)x^{3/2} + \frac{1}{3!}F^{(3)}(0)x^{5/2} + \frac{1}{4!}F^{(4)}(0)x^{7/2} + \ldots \quad \text{(small } x > 0)\]

From this, the main term of the Watson’s lemma asymptotics for the integral involving $F$ would be

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1}{2}x^{1/2}F' (\sqrt{x}) \, dx \sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{F'(0)}
$$

To determine $F'(0)$, or any higher coefficients, from $F(x) = u$, we have $F'(x) \cdot \frac{dx}{du} = 1$. Since

$$
x = \sqrt{f(u) - f(u_o)} = \sqrt{(u - u_o)^2 + \frac{f''(u_o)}{2!} + \ldots} = \sqrt{\frac{f''(u_o)}{2}} \cdot ((u - u_o) + \ldots)
$$

the derivative is

$$
\frac{dx}{du} = \sqrt{\frac{f''(u_o)}{2}} \cdot (1 + O(u - u_o))
$$

Thus,

$$
F'(x) = \frac{1}{dx/du} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{f''(u_o)}} \cdot (1 + O(u - u_o))
$$

which allows evaluation at $x = 0$, namely

$$
F'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{f''(u_o)}}
$$

The same argument applied to $G$ gives $G'(0) = F'(0)$. Thus,

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-sf(u)} \, du \sim e^{-sf(u_o)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{f''(u_o)}}}{2\sqrt{s}} = e^{-sf(u_o)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{f''(u_o)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}
$$

Last, we verify that this outcome is what would be obtained by replacing $f(u)$ by its quadratic approximation

$$
f(u_o) + \frac{f''(u_o)}{2} \cdot (u - u_o)^2
$$

in the exponent in the original integral, integrated over the whole line. The latter would be

$$
\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{s \left(f(u_o) + \frac{f''(u_o)}{2} (u - u_o)^2\right)} \, du = e^{sf(u_o)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{s \frac{1}{2} f''(u_o) (u - u_o)^2} \, du =
$$

$$
e^{sf(u_o)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{s \frac{1}{2} f''(u_o) u^2} \, du = e^{sf(u_o)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} f''(u_o)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} = e^{sf(u_o)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{f''(u_o)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}
$$

This does indeed agree. Last, verify that the integral of the exponentiated quadratic approximation over $(-\infty, 0]$ is of a lower order of magnitude. Indeed, for $u \leq 0$ and $u_o > 0$ we have $(u - u_o)^2 \geq u^2 + u_o^2$, and $f''(u_o) < 0$ by assumption, so

$$
e^{sf(u_o)} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{s \left(\frac{1}{2} f''(u_o) (u - u_o)^2\right)} \, du \leq e^{sf(u_o)} \cdot e^{s \frac{1}{2} f''(u_o) u_o^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{s \frac{1}{2} f''(u_o) u^2} \, du
Thus, the integral over \((-\infty, 0]\) has an additional exponential decay by comparison to the integral over the whole line, so the leading-term of the asymptotics of the integral from 0 to \(+\infty\) is the same as those of the integral from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\).

The case of \(\Gamma(s)\) can be converted to this situation as follows. For real \(s > 0\), in the integral

\[
s \cdot \Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s + 1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^s \, du = \int_0^\infty e^{-u + s \log u} \, du
\]

can replace \(u\) by \(su\), to put the integral into the desired form

\[
s \cdot \Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s u + s \log u} s \, du = s \cdot e^{s \log s} \int_0^\infty e^{-s(u + \log u)} \, du
\]

For complex \(s\) with \(\text{Re}(s) > 0\), both \(s \cdot \Gamma(s)\) and the integral \(s \cdot e^{s \log s} \int_0^\infty e^{-s(u + \log u)} \, du\) are holomorphic in \(s\), and they agree for real \(s\). Thus, by the identity principle, they are equal for \(\text{Re}(s) > 0\).

6. **Full Stirling-Laplace asymptotic for \(\Gamma(s)\)**

A cleverer, but less broadly applicable, variant of the earlier heuristic gives a cleaner derivation of the full Stirling-Laplace asymptotic, as follows.

From

\[
\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}
\]

differentiation gives

\[
\Gamma'(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \log t \frac{dt}{t}
\]

Use[2]

\[
\log t = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x} - e^{-tx}}{x} \, dx
\]

to obtain

\[
\Gamma'(s) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty (e^{-x} - e^{-tx}) e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t} \right) \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-x} \Gamma(s) - \int_0^\infty e^{-t(x+1)} t^s \frac{dt}{t} \right) \frac{dx}{x}
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-x} \Gamma(s) - \frac{1}{(x+1)^s} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t} \right) \frac{dx}{x} = \Gamma(s) \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-x} - \frac{1}{(x+1)^s} \right) \frac{dx}{x}
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-x} - \frac{1}{(x+1)^s} \right) \frac{dx}{x}
\]

Yet another trick is to rewrite

\[
\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \left[ \int_\delta^\infty e^{-x} \frac{dx}{x} - \int_\delta^\infty \frac{1}{(x+1)^s} \frac{dx}{x} \right]
\]

[2] More generally, the Frullani integral is \(\int_0^\infty \frac{f(x) - f(tx)}{x} \, dx = f(0) \cdot \log t\). The equality is obtained by differentiating with respect to \(t\).
and let \( x + 1 = e^t \) in the second integral, and replace \( x \) by \( t \) in the first, obtaining

\[
\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \left[ \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} dt}{t} - \int_{\log_1 + \delta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt \right]
\]

Then, again using the Frullani integral,

\[
\frac{\Gamma'(s + 1)}{\Gamma(s + 1)} = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-(s+1)t}}{t} \right] dt = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-st}}{t} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-st} - e^{-st} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) \right] dt
\]

Integrating from 1 to \( s \) and using \( \log \Gamma(s + 1) = \log \Gamma(s) + \log s \),

\[
\log \Gamma(s) = \log \Gamma(s + 1) - \log s = s(\log s - 1) + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log s - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-st}}{t} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) dt - \log s
\]

Note that \( \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{t} \) is holomorphic at \( t = 0 \). Thus, the two parts of the integral can be separated.

As in [Miller 2006] or [Garrett 2012], the constant can be evaluated indirectly, since Laplace’s method and Watson’s lemma give

\[
\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} \cdot e^{(s-\frac{1}{2}) \log s} \cdot e^{-s} \cdot \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{|s|} \right) \right)
\]

That is,

\[
\log \Gamma(s) = (s - \frac{1}{2}) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) \frac{dt}{t}
\]

Watson’s lemma applies to the integral: with

\[
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_n t^n}{n!}
\]

the integral has an asymptotic expansion

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) \frac{dt}{t} \sim \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Gamma(n) b_n / n!}{s^n} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_n / n}{s^n}
\]

Thus, we have the full Stirling-Laplace asymptotic expansion

\[
\log \Gamma(s) \sim (s - \frac{1}{2}) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_n / n}{s^n}
\]

The coefficient \( b_1 \) is easily obtained, for example:

\[
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{e^t - 1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{(1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2!} + \ldots) - 1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t + \frac{t^2}{2!} + \ldots}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{t}{2!} + \ldots} } = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{t}{2!} + \ldots} \left[ 1 - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t^2}{3!} \ldots \right] \left( 1 - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t^2}{3!} \ldots \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{t}{4} + O(t^2) } = \frac{1}{12} + O(t^2)
\]
Thus,

\[
\log \Gamma(s) \sim (s - \frac{1}{2}) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{12s} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right)
\]
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