

(March 1, 2012)

# Compact operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators

Paul Garrett [garrett@math.umn.edu](mailto:garrett@math.umn.edu) <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

1. Spectral theorem for self-adjoint compact operators
  2. Hilbert-Schmidt operators are compact
  3. Operator-norm limits of finite-rank operators are compact
- 

## 1. Spectral theorem for self-adjoint compact operators

The following slightly clever rewrite of the operator norm is a substantial part of the existence proof for eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

**[1.0.1] Proposition:** A continuous *self-adjoint* operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $V$  has operator norm  $|T| = \sup_{|v| \leq 1} |Tv|$  expressible as

$$|T| = \sup_{|v| \leq 1} |\langle Tv, v \rangle|$$

*Proof:* On one hand, certainly  $|\langle Tv, v \rangle| \leq |Tv| \cdot |v|$  by Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovsky, giving the easy direction of inequality.

On the other hand, let  $\sigma = \sup_{|v| \leq 1} |\langle Tv, v \rangle|$ . A polarization identity gives

$$2\langle Tv, w \rangle + 2\langle Tw, v \rangle = \langle T(v+w), v+w \rangle - \langle T(v-w), v-w \rangle$$

With  $w = t \cdot Tv$  with  $t > 0$ , since  $T = T^*$ ,  $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle Tw, v \rangle$  is non-negative real. Taking absolute values,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\langle Tv, t \cdot Tv \rangle &= \left| \langle T(v+t \cdot Tv), v+t \cdot Tv \rangle - \langle T(v-t \cdot Tv), v-t \cdot Tv \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \langle T(v+t \cdot Tv), v+t \cdot Tv \rangle \right| + \left| \langle T(v-t \cdot Tv), v-t \cdot Tv \rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

Using  $|\langle Tx, x \rangle| \leq \sigma$  with  $x = v \pm tTv$ ,

$$|\langle T(v \pm tTv), v \pm tTv \rangle| \leq \sigma \cdot \langle v \pm tTv, v \pm tTv \rangle = \sigma \cdot |v \pm tTv|^2$$

Combining this with the previous gives the bound on  $4\langle Tv, t \cdot Tv \rangle$ :

$$4\langle Tv, t \cdot Tv \rangle \leq \sigma \cdot |v+t \cdot Tv|^2 + \sigma \cdot |v-t \cdot Tv|^2 = 2\sigma \cdot (|v|^2 + t^2 \cdot |Tv|^2)$$

Divide through by  $4t$  and set  $t = |v|/|Tv|$  to minimize the right-hand side, obtaining

$$|Tv|^2 \leq \sigma \cdot |v| \cdot |Tv|$$

giving the other inequality. ///

Recall that a continuous linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is *compact* when the image of the unit ball by  $T$  has compact closure.

**[1.0.2] Theorem:** A compact self-adjoint operator  $T$  has largest eigenvalue  $\pm|T|$ , and an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions. The number of eigenvalues  $\lambda$  larger than a given constant  $c > 0$  is finite. In particular, multiplicities are finite.

*Proof:* The crucial point is existence of eigenvalue  $\pm|T|$ .

Suppose  $|T| > 0$ . Using the re-characterization of operator norm, let  $v_i$  be a sequence of unit vectors such that  $|\langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle| \rightarrow |T|$ . Take a sign and replace  $v_i$  by a subsequence so that  $\langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle \rightarrow \pm|T|$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the corresponding  $\pm|T|$ .

On one hand, using  $\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \overline{\langle Tv, v \rangle}$ ,

$$0 \leq |Tv_i - \lambda v_i|^2 = |Tv_i|^2 - 2\lambda\langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle + \lambda^2|v_i|^2 \leq \lambda^2 - 2\lambda\langle Tv_i, v_i \rangle + \lambda^2$$

By assumption, the right-hand side goes to 0. Using compactness, replace  $v_i$  with a subsequence such that  $Tv_i$  has limit  $w$ . Then the inequality shows that  $\lambda v_i \rightarrow w$ , so  $v_i \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}w$ . Thus, by continuity of  $T$ ,  $Tw = \lambda w$ .

The other assertions follow by the short natural arguments:

If  $|T| = 0$ , then  $T = 0$ . Otherwise,  $T$  has a non-zero eigenvalue. The orthogonal complement of the span of all eigenvectors with non-zero values is  $T$ -stable: for  $w \perp v$ ,

$$\langle v, Tw \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle = \lambda\langle v, w \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{for } Tv = \lambda v \text{ and } \langle v, w \rangle = 0)$$

The restriction of  $T$  to that orthogonal complement is still compact (!), so unless that restriction is 0,  $T$  has a non-zero eigenvalue there, too. Contradiction.

For  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace being infinite-dimensional would contradict the compactness of  $T$ : the unit ball in an infinite-dimensional inner-product space is not compact, as any infinite orthonormal set is a sequence with no convergent subsequence. Similarly, for  $c > 0$ , the set of eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) larger than  $c$  being infinite would contradict compactness. Thus, 0 is the only limit-point of eigenvalues. ///

## 2. Hilbert-Schmidt operators are compact

Let  $X, Y$  be locally compact, Hausdorff, countably-based topological spaces with nice measures.

Let  $K(x, y) \in C_c^0(X \times Y)$ , and define  $T : L^2(Y) \rightarrow L^2(X)$  by the *integral operator*

$$Tf(x) = \int_Y K(x, y) f(y) dy$$

[2.0.1] **Theorem:** The operator  $T$  is *compact*.

*Proof:* We show that  $T$  is an operator-norm limit of *finite-rank* operators. <sup>[1]</sup> The following section reviews compactness of operator-norm limits of finite-rank operators.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find a *finite* collection of functions  $f_i, F_i$  such that

$$\sup_{x,y} \left| K(x, y) - \sum_i f_i \otimes F_i \right| < \varepsilon$$

[1] A *finite-rank* operator is one that has finite-dimensional image. The usual convention is that finite-rank operators are *also* understood to be *continuous*. This continuity does not follow from finite-dimensionality of the image: on infinite-dimensional Hilbert-spaces  $V$  there are linear maps  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that are *not* continuous, although constructions of these require an equivalent of Axiom of Choice. In any case, our finite-rank operators are assumed continuous.

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $(x, y)$  in the support of  $K$ , by continuity of  $K$ , let  $U_x \times V_y$  be a neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  such that  $|K(x, y) - K(x', y')| < \varepsilon$  for  $x' \in U_x$  and  $y' \in V_y$ , where  $U_x$  and  $V_y$  are neighborhoods of  $x, y$ , respectively.

By compactness of the support of  $K(x, y)$ , there are finitely-many  $x_j, y_j$  such that  $U_j \times V_j$  (abbreviating  $U_{x_j} \times V_{y_j}$ ) cover the support of  $K(x, y)$ . Let

$$\varphi_j = \text{char fcn } U_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_j = K(x_j, y_j) \cdot (\text{char fcn } V_j)$$

The sets  $U_j \times V_j$  overlap, so  $K \neq \sum_j \varphi_j \otimes \Phi_j$ , necessitating minor adjustments.

One way to compensate for the overlaps is by subtracting two-fold overlaps, adding back three-fold overlaps, subtracting four-fold, and so on: let

$$Q = \sum_i \varphi_i \otimes \Phi_i - \sum_{i_1 < i_2} \min(\varphi_{i_1}, \varphi_{i_2}) \otimes \min(\Phi_{i_1}, \Phi_{i_2}) + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3} \min(\varphi_{i_1}, \varphi_{i_2}, \varphi_{i_3}) \otimes \min(\Phi_{i_1}, \Phi_{i_2}, \Phi_{i_3}) - \dots$$

Because the subcover is finite,  $Q$  is a finite linear combination  $Q = \sum_j f_j \otimes F_j$ . By construction,  $\sup_{x,y} |K(x, y) - Q(x, y)| < \varepsilon$ . The operator

$$f \longrightarrow \int_Y Q(x, y) f(y) dy$$

is finite-rank, because the image is in the span of the finitely-many  $f_i$  appearing in the definition of  $Q(x, y)$ .

Let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function of the closure  $\bar{U}$  of a compact-closure open  $U$  containing the support of  $K$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the opens  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  can be chosen inside  $U$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Y Q(x, y) f(y) dy - \int_Y K(x, y) f(y) dy \right| &\leq \int_Y |Q(x, y) - K(x, y)| \cdot |f(y)| dy \\ &< \varepsilon \int_Y |\chi(x, y)| \cdot |f(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon \cdot |\chi|_{L^2} \cdot |f|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the operator norm of the difference can be made arbitrarily small, proving that the operator  $T$  given by  $K(x, y) \in C_c^0(X \times Y)$  is an operator-norm limit of finite-rank operators, so compact. ///

### 3. Operator-norm limits of finite-rank operators are compact

We recall the argument that *operator-norm limits of finite-rank operators are compact*, and conversely. <sup>[2]</sup>

*Proof:* Let  $T = \lim_i T_i$ , where  $T_i : X \rightarrow Y$  is finite-rank from Hilbert space  $X$  to Hilbert space  $Y$ . Let  $B$  be the unit ball in  $X$ . We show that  $TB$  has compact closure by showing that it is *totally bounded*, that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  it can be covered by finitely-many  $\varepsilon$ -balls.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $i$  be large-enough so that  $|T - T_i| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $T_i$  is finite-rank,  $T_i B$  is covered by finitely-many  $\varepsilon$ -balls  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  in  $Y$  with respective centers  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . For  $x \in B$ , with  $T_i x \in B_j$ ,

$$|Tx - y_j| \leq |Tx - T_i x| + |T_i x - y_j| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon$$

Thus,  $TB$  is covered by a finite number of  $2\varepsilon$ -balls. This holds for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $TB$  is *totally bounded*. ///

[2] In Banach spaces, the converse is false: there are compact operators which are *not* operator-norm limits of finite-rank operators. The counter-examples are difficult, due to Per Enflo.

Recall the proof that *total boundedness* of a set  $E$  in a complete metric space implies compact closure:

Since metric spaces have countable local bases, it suffices to show *sequential* compactness. That is, a sequence  $\{v_i\}$  in  $E$ , exhibit a convergent subsequence.

Cover  $E$  by finitely-many  $2^{-1}$ -balls, choose one, call it  $B_1$ , with infinitely-many  $v_i$  in  $E \cap B_1$ , and let  $w_1$  be one of those infinitely-many  $v_i$ .

Next, cover  $E$  by finitely-many  $2^{-2}$ -balls. Certainly  $E \cap B_1$  is covered by these, and  $E \cap B_1 \cap B_2$  contains infinitely-many  $v_i$  for at least one of these, call it  $B_2$ . Let  $w_2 \in E \cap B_1 \cap B_2$  be one of these  $v_i$ , other than  $w_1$ .

Inductively, find an infinite subsequence  $w_n$  of distinct points, with  $w_n \in E \cap B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$ , where  $B_n$  is of radius  $2^{-n}$ . The sequence  $w_i$  is Cauchy. ///

---