

(October 18, 2012)

## Preview of vector-valued integrals

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

1. Gelfand-Pettis (weak) integrals
2. The mapping property
3. Differentiation under the integral

Rather than *constructing* integrals as limits following [Bochner 1935], [Birkhoff 1935], *et alia*, we use the [Gelfand 1936]-[Pettis 1938] *characterization* of integrals. Existence is proven separately.

Existence is provable for vectorspaces with adequate completeness properties. [1]

The immediate application is to *differentiation inside an integral* with respect to a parameter.

---

### 1. Gelfand-Pettis (weak) integrals

Let  $V$  be a topological vectorspace. For a continuous  $V$ -valued function  $f$  on a measure space  $X$  a *Gelfand-Pettis integral* of  $f$  is  $I_f \in V$  such that

$$\lambda(I_f) = \int_X \lambda \circ f \quad (\text{for all } \lambda \in V^*)$$

When it exists and is unique, this vector  $I_f$  would be denoted by

$$I_f = \int_X f = \int_X f(x) dx$$

In contrast to *construction* of integrals as limits of Riemann sums, the Gelfand-Pettis *characterization* is a property no reasonable notion of integral would lack. Since this property is an irreducible minimum, this definition of integral is called a *weak integral*.

*Uniqueness* of the integral is immediate when the dual  $V^*$  *separates points*, meaning that for  $v \neq v'$  in  $V$  there is  $\lambda \in V^*$  with  $\lambda v \neq \lambda v'$ . This separation property certainly holds for Hilbert spaces: the map  $\lambda w = \langle w, v - v' \rangle$  is a continuous linear functional and  $\lambda(v - v') \neq 0$  gives  $\lambda v \neq \lambda v'$ . The separation property for Banach spaces is part of the *Hahn-Banach theorem*. [2] [3] For the rest of this discussion, all topological vector spaces are assumed locally convex without further mention.

---

[1] Precisely, things work out fine for *quasi-complete*, locally convex topological vectorspaces. This includes Hilbert, Banach, and Fréchet spaces, as well as *LF spaces*: strict colimits of Fréchet, such as  $C_c^o(\mathbb{R})$  and  $C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Also included are these spaces' weak-star *duals*, and other spaces of mappings such as the *strong operator topology* on mappings between Hilbert spaces, in addition to the *uniform operator topology*.

[2] In fact, Hahn-Banach holds for all *locally convex* topological vector spaces, that is, topological vector space with a local basis at 0 consisting of *convex* sets. This includes Fréchet spaces, strict colimits of Fréchet spaces such as  $C_c^o(\mathbb{R})$  or  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , dual spaces of these, and essentially every reasonable space.

[3] Although every reasonable topological vector space is locally convex, it is not difficult to construct topological vector spaces which *fail* to possess this property. The spaces  $\ell^p$  with  $0 < p < 1$  are simple examples, whose main utility is illustrating the possibility of failure of local convexity.

Similarly, *linearity* of  $f \rightarrow I_f$  follows when  $V^*$  separates points. Thus, the issue is proving *existence*.<sup>[4]</sup>

We integrate nice functions: compactly-supported and continuous, on measure spaces with *finite, positive, Borel* measures. In this situation, all the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued integrals

$$\int_X \lambda \circ f = \int_X \lambda(f(x)) dx$$

exist for elementary reasons, being integrals of compactly-supported  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued continuous functions on a compact set with respect to a finite Borel measure.

The crucial requirement on  $V$  is that *the convex hull of a compact set has compact closure*.

It is not too hard to show that Hilbert, Banach, or Fréchet spaces have this property, because of their *completeness*.

However, non-metrizable spaces need a subtler notion of completeness, namely, *quasi-completeness*, meaning that *bounded Cauchy nets* converge.<sup>[5]</sup> In all applications, when the compactness of closures of convex hulls of compacts holds, it seems that the space is quasi-complete. Thus, while *a priori* the condition of quasi-completeness is stronger than the compactness condition, no example of a strict comparison seems immediate.

**[1.0.1] Theorem:** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff topological space with a *finite, positive, Borel* measure. Let  $V$  be a locally convex topological vectorspace in which the *closure of the convex hull of a compact set is compact*. Then *continuous compactly-supported*  $V$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  have Gelfand-Pettis integrals. Further,

$$\int_X f \in \text{meas}(X) \cdot \left( \text{closure of convex hull of } f(X) \right) \quad (\textit{Proof later.})$$

**[1.0.2] Remark:** The conclusion that the integral of  $f$  lies in the closure of a convex hull, is a substitute for the estimate of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued integral by the integral of its absolute value.

## 2. *The mapping property*

Let  $X$  be a compact, Hausdorff, compact topological space with a positive, regular Borel measure.

Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a continuous linear map of locally convex, quasi-complete topological vector spaces.

**[2.0.1] Corollary:** For a continuous  $V$ -valued function  $f$  on  $X$ ,

$$T\left(\int_X f\right) = \int_X T \circ f$$

*Proof:* The right-hand side is the Gelfand-Pettis integral of the continuous, compactly-supported  $W$ -valued function  $T \circ f$ , while the left-hand side is the image under  $T$  of the Gelfand-Pettis integral of  $f$ .

[4] We do require that the integral of a  $V$ -valued function be in the space  $V$  itself, rather than in a larger space containing  $V$ , such as a double dual  $V^{**}$ , for example. Some discussions of integration do allow integrals to exist in larger spaces.

[5] In topological vectorspaces lacking countable local bases, quasi-completeness is more relevant than completeness. For example, the weak  $*$ -dual of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is *never* complete, but is always quasi-complete. This example is non-trivial.

Since  $W^*$  separates points, the equality will follow from proving that

$$\mu\left(T\left(\int_X f\right)\right) = \mu\left(\int_X T \circ f\right) \quad (\text{for all } \mu \in W^*)$$

Noting that  $\mu \circ T \in V^*$ , from the characterization of the Gelfand-Pettis integrals,

$$\mu\left(T\left(\int_X f\right)\right) = (\mu \circ T)\left(\int_X f\right) = \int_X (\mu \circ T)f = \int_X \mu(T \circ f) = \mu\left(\int_X T \circ f\right)$$

as desired. ///

### 3. Differentiation under the integral

Recall that the maps  $\frac{d}{dx} : C^k(S^1) \rightarrow C(S^1)$  and  $\frac{d}{dx} : C^\infty(S^1) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^1)$  are *continuous*.

[3.0.1] **Lemma:** For  $F \in V$  with either  $V = C^k(S^1)$  or  $V = C^\infty(S^1)$ , the  $V$ -valued function

$$f(y) = \left(x \rightarrow F(x+y)\right)$$

is a *continuous*  $V$ -valued function of  $y \in S^1$ .

*Proof:* For  $V = C^k(S^1)$ , we must show that, given  $y_o \in S^1$  and given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|y_o - y| < \delta$

$$\|f(y_o) - f(y)\|_V < \varepsilon \quad (\text{for } |y_o - y| < \delta)$$

That is, we must show that

$$\sup_{x \in S^1} |F^{(\ell)}(x+y_o) - F^{(\ell)}(x+y)| < \varepsilon \quad (\text{for all } 0 \leq \ell \leq k \text{ and } |y_o - y| < \delta)$$

Since  $S^1$  is compact, the finitely-many continuous functions  $F^{(\ell)}$  are *uniformly* continuous, so there exists such a  $\delta$ .

The analogous continuity assertion for  $V = C^\infty(S^1)$  follows from the aggregate of assertions about  $C^k(S^1)$ , and from the earlier observation that a basis of neighborhoods at 0 in  $C^\infty(S^1)$  is given by intersection with bases of neighborhoods in all the  $C^k(S^1)$ 's. That is, continuity of the  $C^\infty(S^1)$ -valued function follows from the continuity in all  $C^k(S^1)$  topologies. ///

[3.0.2] **Corollary:** For  $\varphi \in C^o(S^1)$  and for  $F \in C^1(S^1)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{S^1} F(x+y) \varphi(y) dy = \int_{S^1} F'(x+y) \varphi(y) dy$$

*Proof:* The function-valued function  $y \rightarrow F(x+y)$  was just shown continuous as  $C^1(S^1)$ -valued function. Multiplying by the continuous scalar-valued function  $\varphi(y)$  does not disturb continuity. Thus,  $y \rightarrow F(x+y) \cdot \varphi(y)$  is a continuous  $C^1(S^1)$ -valued function.

Thus, this function has a Gelfand-Pettis integral. From above, the continuous map  $T = \frac{d}{dx}$  from  $C^1(S^1)$  to  $C^o(S^1)$  commutes with the Gelfand-Pettis integrals, giving the equality. ///

## Bibliography

[Birkhoff 1935] G. Birkhoff, *Integration of functions with values in a Banach space*, Trans. AMS **38** (1935), 357-378.

[Bochner 1935] S. Bochner, *Integration von Funktionen deren Werte die Elemente eines Vektorraumes sind*, Fund. Math., vol. 20, 1935, pp. 262-276.

[Gelfand 1936] I. M. Gelfand, *Sur un lemme de la theorie des espaces lineaires*, Comm. Inst. Sci. Math. de Kharkoff, no. 4, vol. 13, 1936, pp. 35-40.

[Pettis 1938] B. J. Pettis, *On integration in vector spaces*, Trans. AMS, vol. 44, 1938, pp. 277-304.

[Phillips 1940] R. S. Phillips, *Integration in a convex linear topological space*, Trans. AMS, vol. 47, 1940, pp. 114-145.

[Taylor 1938] A. E. Taylor, *The resolvent of a closed transformation*, Bull. AMS, vol. 44, 1938, pp. 70-74.

---