

(September 14, 2015)

The Estermann phenomenon

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is
http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes_2015-16/02_ester mann_phenom.pdf]

The *Estermann phenomenon* is that not every natural Dirichlet series has a meromorphic continuation. One need not look far:

Claim: (*Estermann*) Let $d(n)$ be the number of positive divisors of n . The Dirichlet series

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)^3}{n^s} = \zeta(s)^4 \prod_p \left(1 + 4p^{-s} + p^{-2s}\right)$$

has a *natural boundary* along $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$, in contrast to meromorphically continuable

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_n \frac{d(n)^2}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s)^4}{\zeta(2s)}$$

Proof: The cases with meromorphic continuations are treated along the way to examination of the example lacking meromorphic continuation. By the multiplicativity $d(mn) = d(m)d(n)$ for coprime m, n ,

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s} + \frac{3}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

Recall

$$1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \dots = \frac{d}{dx} \left(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots\right) = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{(1-p^{-s})^2} = \zeta(s)^2$$

Continuing,

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)^2}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2^2}{p^s} + \frac{3^2}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2^2x + 3^2x^2 + \dots &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \left(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{2x}{(1-x)^3} = \frac{1+x}{(1-x)^3} = \frac{1-x^2}{(1-x)^4} \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\sum_n \frac{d(n)^3}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2^3}{p^s} + \frac{3^3}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2^3x + 3^3x^2 + \dots &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(x \cdot \frac{1+x}{(1-x)^3}\right) = \frac{1+x}{(1-x)^3} + x \cdot \frac{1}{(1-x)^3} + x \cdot \frac{3(1+x)}{(1-x)^4} \\ &= \frac{(1-x^2) + x(1-x) + 3x(1+x)}{(1-x)^4} = \frac{1-x^2 + x-x^2 + 3x + 3x^2}{(1-x)^4} = \frac{1+4x+x^2}{(1-x)^4} \end{aligned}$$

The numerator is *not* a cyclotomic polynomial, so is *not* a finite product-and-ratio of polynomials $1 - x^\ell$, so there is no obvious analogous expression in terms of $\zeta(s)$, $\zeta(2s)$, $\zeta(3s)$, etc.

The polynomial $1 + 4x + x^2$ can be written as an arbitrarily large product-and-ratio of binomials $1 - x^\ell$, with a leftover polynomial factor of the form $1 + cx^{\ell+1} + \dots$. Thus, $\sum_n d(n)^3/n^s$ can be written as an arbitrarily large product-and-ratio of factors $\zeta(\ell s)$ together with a leftover Euler product convergent in $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{\ell+1}$.

To illustrate this, the first step would be to get rid of the $4x$ term by multiplying by $(1 - x)^4$:

$$(1 - x)^4 \cdot (1 + 4x + x^2) = (1 - 4x + 6x^2 - 4x^3 + x^4)(1 + 4x + x^2) = 1 - 9x^2 + 16x^3 - 9x^4 + x^6$$

Thus,

$$\prod_p (1 + 4p^{-s} + p^{-2s}) = \zeta(s)^4 \cdot \prod_p (1 - 9p^{-2s} + 16p^{-3s} - 9p^{-4s} + p^{-4s})$$

Next, to get rid of the $-9x^2$ term, multiply by $(1 + x^2)^9 = (1 - x^4)^9 / (1 - x^2)^9$, giving

$$\prod_p (1 + 4p^{-s} + p^{-2s}) = \zeta(s)^4 \cdot \frac{\zeta(4s)^9}{\zeta(2s)^9} \cdot \prod_p (1 + 16p^{-3s} + \dots)$$

Since $1 + 4x + x^2$ is not a cyclotomic polynomial, this process does not terminate. Inductively, there is an *infinite* increasing sequence of integers ℓ_j and *non-zero* integers e_j such that

$$1 + 4x + x^2 = (1 - x)^{e_1} (1 - x^2)^{e_2} (1 - x^3)^{e_3} \dots (1 - x^{\ell_j})^{e_{\ell_j}} \cdot (1 + x^{\ell_j+1} P_j(x))$$

with (non-zero) polynomials $P_j(x)$. Certainly

$$D_j(s) = \prod_p (1 + p^{-s(\ell_j+1)} P_j(p^{-s}))$$

is absolutely convergent and non-vanishing for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{\ell_j+1}$. Thus, for every j , there is an expression

$$\prod_p (1 + 4p^{-s} + p^{-2s}) = D_j(s) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \zeta(\ell_i \cdot s)^{e_i} \quad (\text{for } \text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{\ell_j+1})$$

On one hand, this gives a meromorphic continuation to $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{\ell_j+1}$. On the other hand, since the exponents e_i are non-zero, the infinitely-many zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip make the zeros of $\zeta(\ell \cdot s)$ bunch up just to the right of $\text{Re}(s) = 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. ///

[0.0.1] **Remarks:** Continuing in this vein, [Kurokawa 1985a,b] showed that $\sum \frac{a_n^k}{n^s}$ has a natural boundary for $k \geq 3$, where $f(z) = \sum a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ is a modular form,

Bibliography

- [Backlund 1914] R. Backlund, *Sur les zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann*, C.R. **158** (1914), 1979-81.
- [Backlund 1918] R. Backlund, *Über die Nullstellen der Riemannschen Zetafunktion*, Acta Math. **41** (1918), 345-75.
- [Estermann 1928] T. Estermann, *On certain functions represented by Dirichlet series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **27** (1928), 435-448.
- [Kurokawa 1985a,b] N. Kurokawa *On the meromorphy of Euler products, I, II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **53** (1985) 1-49, 209-236.