

(March 16, 2004)

## Factoring $x^n - 1$ : cyclotomic and Aurifeuillian polynomials

Paul Garrett <garrett@math.umn.edu>

Polynomials of the form  $x^2 - 1$ ,  $x^3 - 1$ ,  $x^4 - 1$  have at least one systematic factorization

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \dots + x^2 + x + 1)$$

Equivalently, polynomials like  $x^2 - y^2$ ,  $x^3 - y^3$ , and  $x^4 - y^4$  have factorizations

$$x^n - y^n = (x - y)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + \dots + xy^{n-2} + y^{n-1})$$

For odd  $n$ , replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  gives a variant

$$x^n + y^n = (x + y)(x^{n-1} - x^{n-2}y + \dots - xy^{n-2} + y^{n-1})$$

For composite exponent  $n$  one obtains several different factorizations

$$\begin{aligned}x^{30} - 1 &= (x^{15})^2 - 1 = (x^{15} - 1)(x^{15} + 1) \\x^{30} - 1 &= (x^{10})^3 - 1 = (x^{10} - 1)(x^{20} + x^{10} + 1) \\x^{30} - 1 &= (x^6)^5 - 1 = (x^6 - 1)((x^6)^4 + \dots + 1)\end{aligned}$$

Such *algebraic* factorizations yield *numerical* partial factorizations of some special large numbers, such as

$$\begin{aligned}2^{33} - 1 &= (2^{11})^3 - 1 = (2^{11} - 1)(2^{22} + 2^{11} + 1) \\2^{33} - 1 &= (2^3)^{11} - 1 = (2^3 - 1)(2^{30} + \dots + 1)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $2^{33} - 1$  has factors  $2^3 - 1 = 7$  and  $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \cdot 89$ . It is then easier to complete the *prime* factorization

$$2^{33} - 1 = 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 89 \cdot 599479$$

But that largish number 599479 might be awkward to understand.

How do we verify that a number such as  $N = 599479$  is **prime**? That is, how do we show that  $N$  is not evenly divisible by any integer  $D$  in the range  $1 < D < N$ ?

One *could* divide  $N$  by all integers  $D$  between 1 and  $N$ , but this is needlessly slow, since if  $D$  evenly divides  $N$  and  $D > \sqrt{N}$  then  $N/D$  is an integer and  $N/D < \sqrt{N}$ .

That is, we need only do trial divisions by  $D$  for  $D \leq \sqrt{N}$ .

And, after dividing by 2, we need only divide by *odd* numbers  $D$  thereafter.

Also, we need only divide by primes, if convenient.

For example, since  $N = 101$  is not divisible by the primes  $D = 2, 3, 5, 7$  no larger than  $\sqrt{101} \sim 10$ , we see that 101 is prime.

**Congruences:** Recall that

$$a = b \pmod{m}$$

means that  $m$  divides  $a - b$  evenly. Thus, for example,

$$6 = 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$10 = -1 \pmod{11}$$

$$35 = 1012 \pmod{977}$$

One might worry that in the prime factorization

$$2^{33} - 1 = 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 89 \cdot 599479$$

the large number 599479 is left over after algebraic factoring. But Fermat and Euler proved that a prime factor  $p$  of  $b^n - 1$  either divides  $b^d - 1$  for a divisor  $d < n$  of the exponent  $n$ , or else  $p = 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Since here the exponent 33 is odd, and since primes bigger than 2 are odd, in fact we can say that if a prime  $p$  divides  $2^{33} - 1$  and is not 7, 23, 89, then  $p = 1 \pmod{66}$ .

Thus, in testing 599479 for divisibility by  $D \leq \sqrt{599479} \sim 774$  we do not need to test all odd numbers, but only 67, 133, 199, ... and only need to do

$$599479/66 \sim 11$$

trial divisions to see that 599479 is prime.

So  $2^n - 1$  is not prime unless the exponent  $n$  is prime. For  $p$  prime, if  $2^p - 1$  is prime, it is a **Mersenne prime**.

Not every number of the form  $2^p - 1$  is prime, even with  $p$  prime. For example,

$$2^{11} - 1 = 23 \cdot 89$$

$$2^{23} - 1 = 47 \cdot 178481$$

$$2^{29} - 1 = 233 \cdot 1103 \cdot 2089$$

$$2^{37} - 1 = 223 \cdot 616318177$$

$$2^{41} - 1 = 13367 \cdot 164511353$$

Nevertheless, usually the largest known prime at any moment is a Mersenne prime, such as

$$2^{6972593} - 1$$

**Theorem (Lucas-Lehmer)** Let  $L_0 = 4$ ,  $L_n = L_{n-1}^2 - 2$ . For  $p$  an odd prime,  $2^p - 1$  is **prime** if and only if

$$L_{p-2} = 0 \pmod{2^p - 1}$$

We want the *complete* factorization of  $x^n - 1$  into *irreducible* polynomials with rational coefficients (which cannot be factored further without going outside the rational numbers). The irreducible factors are **cyclotomic polynomials**. For example,

$$x^{18} - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1)(x^6 + x^3 + 1)(x^6 - x^3 + 1)$$

has familiar-looking factors, but

$$x^{15} - 1 = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^8 - x^7 + x^5 - x^4 + x^3 - x + 1)$$

has an unfamiliar factor. How do we get all these?

For complex  $\alpha$  the polynomial  $x - \alpha$  is a factor of  $x^n - 1$  if and only if  $\alpha^n = 1$ . This happens if and only if

$$\alpha = \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + i \sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} = e^{2\pi i k/n}$$

for some  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . These are  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, and they account for the  $n$  complex roots of  $x^n - 1 = 0$ .

Among the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity are  $d^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity for divisors  $d$  of  $n$ . For example, among the  $6^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity are square roots and cube roots of 1 also, not to mention 1 itself. An  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity is *primitive* if it is *not* a  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity for any  $d < n$  dividing  $n$ .

The primitive complex  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity are

$$\cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + i \sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} = e^{2\pi i k/n}$$

with  $0 < k < n$  and  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . Indeed, if  $\gcd(k, n) = d > 1$ , then

$$(e^{2\pi i k/n})^{(n/d)} = e^{2\pi i k/d} = 1$$

since  $d$  divides  $k$  evenly.

For example, the primitive complex  $6^{\text{th}}$  roots of 1 are

$$e^{2\pi i \cdot 1/6} \quad e^{2\pi i \cdot 5/6}$$

The primitive complex  $10^{\text{th}}$  roots of 1 are

$$e^{2\pi i \cdot 1/10} \quad e^{2\pi i \cdot 3/10} \quad e^{2\pi i \cdot 7/10} \quad e^{2\pi i \cdot 9/10}$$

One definition of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  **cyclotomic polynomial**  $\Phi_n(x)$  is

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\alpha \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of } 1} (x - \alpha)$$

This does *not* make immediately clear that the coefficients are *rational*, which they *are*. It is also not immediately clear how to compute the cyclotomic polynomials from this.

But this definition *does* give the important property

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$$

where  $d|n$  means that  $d$  divides  $n$  evenly.

A naive computational approach comes from the idea that roots  $\alpha$  of  $\Phi_n(x) = 0$  should satisfy  $\alpha^n - 1 = 0$  but not  $\alpha^d - 1 = 0$  for smaller  $d$ . Thus, for *prime*  $p$  indeed

$$\Phi_p(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + x^2 + x + 1$$

We might try

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^d - 1 \text{ for } d < n \text{ dividing } n} \quad (?)$$

But this is not quite right. For example,

$$\Phi_6(x) \neq \frac{x^6 - 1}{(x - 1)(x^2 - 1)(x^3 - 1)}$$

shows that this attempted definition tries to remove more factors of  $x - 1$  than there are in  $x^6 - 1$ .

Correcting this,

$$\Phi_6(x) = (x^6 - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{(x^{6/2} - 1)(x^{6/3} - 1)} \cdot (x^{6/6} - 1) = \frac{(x^6 - 1)(x - 1)}{(x^3 - 1)(x^2 - 1)} = x^2 - x + 1$$

That is, we include *all* 6<sup>th</sup> roots of unity, take away those which are cube roots or square roots, and put back those we have counted twice, namely 1. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{30}(x) &= \\ &= (x^{30} - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{(x^{15} - 1)(x^{10} - 1)(x^6 - 1)} \cdot (x^5 - 1)(x^3 - 1)(x^2 - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{(x - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(x^{30} - 1)(x^5 - 1)(x^3 - 1)(x^2 - 1)}{(x^{15} - 1)(x^{10} - 1)(x^6 - 1)(x - 1)} = x^8 + x^7 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

That is, we *include* all 30<sup>th</sup> roots of unity, *take away* 15<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> roots, *put back* those we have counted twice, namely 5<sup>th</sup>, cube, and square roots, and then *take away* again those we've counted 3 times, namely 1.

Systematically incorporating the idea of compensating for over-counting we have the *correct* expression

$$\Phi_n(x) = (x^n - 1) \times \frac{1}{\prod_{\text{prime } p|n} (x^{n/p} - 1)} \times \prod_{\text{distinct primes } p,q|n} (x^{n/pq} - 1) \times \frac{1}{\prod_{\text{distinct primes } p,q,r|n} (x^{n/pqr} - 1)} \times \dots$$

Using the property

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$$

gives a more elegant approach, by rearranging:

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{\prod_{d|n, d < n} \Phi_d(x)}$$

By induction, if  $\Phi_d(x)$  has rational coefficients for  $d < n$ , then so does  $\Phi_n(x)$ . Also, inductively, if we know  $\Phi_d(x)$  for  $d < n$  then we can compute  $\Phi_n(x)$ . Grouping helps. For example,

$$\Phi_{15}(x) = \frac{x^{15} - 1}{\Phi_1(x)\Phi_3(x)\Phi_5(x)} = \frac{x^{15} - 1}{\Phi_3(x)(x^5 - 1)} = \frac{x^{10} + x^5 + 1}{\Phi_3(x)} = \frac{x^{10} + x^5 + 1}{x^2 + x + 1} = x^8 - x^7 + x^5 - x^4 + x^3 - x + 1$$

by direct division at the last step. We can be a little clever. For example,

$$\Phi_{30}(x) = \frac{x^{30} - 1}{\Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(x)\Phi_3(x)\Phi_5(x)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{10}(x)\Phi_{15}(x)}$$

Use

$$x^{15} - 1 = \Phi_1(x)\Phi_3(x)\Phi_5(x)\Phi_{15}(x)$$

to simplify to

$$\Phi_{30}(x) = \frac{x^{30} - 1}{\Phi_2(x)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{10}(x)(x^{15} - 1)} = \frac{x^{15} + 1}{\Phi_2(x)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{10}(x)}$$

Use

$$x^{10} - 1 = \Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(x)\Phi_5(x)\Phi_{10}(x)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{30}(x) &= \frac{x^{15} + 1}{\Phi_2(x)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{10}(x)} = \frac{(x^{15} + 1)\Phi_1(x)\Phi_5(x)}{\Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(x)\Phi_5(x)\Phi_{10}(x) \cdot \Phi_6(x)} = \frac{(x^{15} + 1)(x^5 - 1)}{\Phi_6(x)(x^{10} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(x^{15} + 1)}{\Phi_6(x)(x^5 + 1)} = \frac{(x^{10} + x^5 + 1)}{x^2 - x + 1} = \frac{(x^{10} - x^5 + 1)}{x^2 - x + 1} = x^8 + x^7 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

by direct division at the last step.

Based on fairly extensive hand calculations, one might suspect that all coefficients of all cyclotomic polynomials are either +1, -1, or 0, but this is not true. It *is* true for  $n$  prime, and for  $n$  having at most 2 distinct prime factors, but not generally. The smallest  $n$  where  $\Phi_n(x)$  has an exotic coefficient is  $n = 105$ . It is no coincidence that  $105 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$  is the product of the first 3 primes above 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{105}(x) &= \frac{x^{105} - 1}{\Phi_1(x)\Phi_3(x)\Phi_5(x)\Phi_7(x)\Phi_{15}(x)\Phi_{21}(x)\Phi_{35}(x)} = \frac{x^{105} - 1}{\Phi_3(x)\Phi_{15}(x)\Phi_{21}(x)(x^{35} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{x^{70} + x^{35} + 1}{\Phi_3(x)\Phi_{15}(x)\Phi_{21}(x)} = \frac{(x^{70} + x^{35} + 1)(x^7 - 1)}{\Phi_{15}(x)(x^{21} - 1)} = \frac{(x^{70} + x^{35} + 1)(x^7 - 1)\Phi_1(x)\Phi_3(x)\Phi_5(x)}{(x^{15} - 1)(x^{21} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(x^{70} + x^{35} + 1)(x^7 - 1)(x^5 - 1)\Phi_3(x)}{(x^{15} - 1)(x^{21} - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Instead of direct polynomial computations, we do *power series* computations, imagining that  $|x| < 1$ , for example. Thus,

$$\frac{-1}{x^{21} - 1} = \frac{1}{1 - x^{21}} = 1 + x^{21} + x^{42} + x^{63} + \dots$$

We anticipate that the degree of  $\Phi_{105}(x)$  is  $(3 - 1)(5 - 1)(7 - 1) = 48$  (why?). We also observe that the coefficients of all cyclotomic polynomials are the same back-to-front as front-to-back (why?). Thus, we'll use power series in  $x$  and ignore terms of degree above 24.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{105}(x) &= \frac{(x^{70} + x^{35} + 1)(x^7 - 1)(x^5 - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)}{(x^{15} - 1)(x^{21} - 1)} = (1 + x + x^2)(1 - x^7)(1 - x^5)(1 + x^{15})(1 + x^{21}) \\ &= (1 + x + x^2) \times (1 - x^5 - x^7 + x^{12} + x^{15} - x^{20} + x^{21} - x^{22}) = \\ 1 + x + x^2 - x^5 - x^6 - x^7 - x^7 - x^8 - x^9 + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{15} + x^{16} + x^{17} - x^{20} - x^{21} - x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{22} + x^{23} - x^{22} - x^{23} - x^{24} \\ &= 1 + x + x^2 - x^5 - x^6 - 2x^7 - x^8 - x^9 + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14} + x^{15} + x^{16} + x^{17} - x^{20} - x^{22} - x^{24} \end{aligned}$$

Looking closely, we have a  $-2x^7$ .

In fact,  $\Phi_n(x)$  cannot be factored further using only rational coefficients.

For prime  $p$ , this follows from **Eisenstein's criterion**: for  $f(x)$  with integer coefficients, highest-degree coefficient 1, all lower-degree coefficients divisible by  $p$ , and constant term *not* divisible by  $p$ ,  $f(x)$  cannot be factored (with rational coefficients).

For example,  $\Phi_5(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$  itself does not have the right kind of coefficients, but a variation does:

$$\Phi_5(x+1) = \frac{(x+1)^5 - 1}{(x+1) - 1} = x^4 + 5x^3 + 10x^2 + 10x + 5$$

And

$$\Phi_7(x+1) = \frac{(x+1)^7 - 1}{(x+1) - 1} = x^6 + 7x^5 + 21x^4 + 35x^3 + 35x^2 + 21x + 7$$

Less well known are *Lucas-Aurifeuillian-LeLasseur* factorizations such as

$$x^4 + 4 = (x^4 + 4x^2 + 4) - (2x)^2 = (x^2 + 2x + 2)(x^2 - 2x + 2)$$

More exotic are

$$\frac{x^6 + 27}{x^2 + 3} = (x^2 + 3x + 3)(x^2 - 3x + 3)$$

$$\frac{x^{10} - 5^5}{x^2 - 5} = (x^4 + 5x^3 + 15x^2 + 25x + 25) \times (x^4 - 5x^3 + 15x^2 - 25x + 25)$$

and

$$\frac{x^{12} + 6^6}{x^4 + 36} = (x^4 + 6x^3 + 18x + 36x + 36) \times (x^4 - 6x^3 + 18x - 36x + 36)$$

and further

$$\frac{x^{14} + 7^7}{x^2 + 7} = (x^6 + 7x^5 + 21x^4 + 49x^3 + 147x^2 + 343x + 343) \times (x^6 - 7x^5 + 21x^4 - 49x^3 + 147x^2 - 343x + 343)$$

These Aurifeuillian factorizations yield further factorizations of special large numbers, such as

$$2^{22} + 1 = 4 \cdot (2^5)^4 + 1 = (2(2^5)^2 + 2(2^5) + 1)(2(2^5)^2 - 2(2^5) + 1) = 2113 \cdot 1985 = 2113 \cdot 5 \cdot 397$$

and similarly

$$\frac{3^{33} + 1}{3^{11} + 1} = \frac{27 \cdot (3^5)^6 + 1}{3 \cdot (3^5)^2 + 1} = (3(3^5)^2 + 3(3^5) + 1)(3(3^5)^2 - 3(3^5) + 1) = 7 \cdot 25411 \cdot 176419$$

Where do these come from? For an odd prime  $p$

$$\Phi_p(x^2) = \frac{(x^2)^p - 1}{\Phi_1(x^2)} = \frac{(x^{2p} - 1)\Phi_p(x)}{\Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(x)\Phi_p(x)} = \Phi_{2p}(x)\Phi_p(x)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\sqrt{p} \cdot x$  in this equality gives

$$\Phi_p(px^2) = \Phi_{2p}(\sqrt{p}x)\Phi_p(\sqrt{p}x)$$

The factors on the right-hand side no longer have rational coefficients. But their linear factors can be *regrouped* into two batches of  $p-1$  which *do* have rational coefficients, and these are the Aurifeuillian factors of  $\Phi_{2p}(px^2)$ .

From Galois theory, using  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , for  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/2p}$ ,  $\sqrt{p}$  is a Gauss sum

$$\sqrt{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{k}{p}_2 \zeta^{2k} \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$$

with quadratic symbol  $\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)_2 = \pm 1$  depending whether  $k$  is a square mod  $p$  or not. The automorphisms of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  are

$$\sigma_a : \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^a$$

for  $a \in \mathbf{Z}/p^\times$ , and

$$\sigma_a(\sqrt{p}) = \sqrt{p} \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)_2$$

Then

$$\Phi_p(px^2) = \prod_{\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)_2=1} (\sqrt{p}x - \zeta^k) \times \prod_{\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)_2=-1} (\sqrt{p}x - \zeta^k)$$

is the Aurifeuillian factorization into two factors with rational coefficients.

To *compute* the Aurifeuillian factors? From the Galois theory view, it turns out that there are polynomials  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  with *rational* coefficients such that

$$\Phi_p(x) = f(x)^2 \pm pxg(x)^2$$

with  $+1$  for  $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $-1$  for  $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then replacing  $x$  by  $\mp px^2$  gives a difference of squares, which factors

$$\Phi_p(-px^2) = f(-px^2)^2 - p^2x^2g(-px^2)^2 = (f(-px^2) - pxg(-px^2)) \times (f(-px^2) + pxg(-px^2))$$

For example,

$$\Phi_3(x) = x^2 + x + 1 = (x + 1)^2 - 3x$$

Then

$$\Phi_3(3x^2) = (3x^2 + 1)^2 - 9x^2 = (3x^2 + 3x + 1)(3x^2 - 3x + 1)$$

And

$$\Phi_5(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = (x^2 + 3x + 1)^2 + 5x(x + 1)^2$$

The latter ingredients are not so hard to determine. If we know

$$x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = f(x)^2 + 5xg(x)^2$$

it is reasonable to take  $f(x) = x^2 + ax \pm 1$  and try to find parameter  $a$  so that  $f(x)^2$  differs from  $\Phi_5(x)$  by some  $5xg(x)^2$ .

$$\frac{(x^2 + ax + 1)^2 - \Phi_5(x)}{x} = (2a - 1)x^2 + (1 + a^2)x + (2a - 1)$$

Trying  $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  yields a good result for  $a = 3$ :

$$5x^2 + 10x + 5 = 5(x + 1)^2$$

## References:

Hans Riesel, *Prime Numbers and Computer Methods for Factorization*, page 309 ff.

Brillhart, Lehmer, Selfridge, Tuckerman, Wagstaff *Factorization of  $b^n \pm 1$ ,  $b = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12$  up to High Powers*.

R.P. Brent *On computing factors of cyclotomic polynomials*, Math. of Comp. **61**, 1993.

[http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/number\\_theory/aurifeuillian.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/number_theory/aurifeuillian.pdf)