

(October 17, 2019)

03. Measure and integral

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/notes_2019-20/03_measure_and_integral.pdf]

1. Borel-measurable functions and pointwise limits
2. Lebesgue-measurable functions and almost-everywhere pointwise limits
3. Borel measures
4. Lebesgue integrals
5. Abstract integration, abstract measure spaces
6. Convergence theorems: Fatou, Lebesgue monotone, Lebesgue dominated
7. Iterated integrals, product integrals: Fubini-Tonelli
8. Comparison to continuous functions: Lusin's theorem
9. Comparison to uniform pointwise convergence: Severini-Egoroff
10. Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem

1. Borel-measurable functions and pointwise limits

Pointwise limits of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} or on intervals $[a, b]$ need not be continuous. We want a class of functions closed under taking pointwise limits of sequences. The following is the simplest form of a general discussion.

The collection of *Borel subsets* of \mathbb{R} is the smallest collection of subsets of \mathbb{R} closed under taking *countable unions*, under *countable intersections*, under *complements*, and containing all open and closed subsets of \mathbb{R} . This is also called the Borel σ -algebra in \mathbb{R} . We must check that this description makes sense, in the claim below.

More generally, in *any* set X , a σ -algebra is a set A of subsets of X , including ϕ and X , and so that countable unions and countable intersections of elements of A are again in A . Note that X need not have a topology or metric or any other structure for this notion of σ -algebra to make sense.

The following is the analogue for σ -algebras of the analogous assertion for groups and subgroups, and many other situations.

[1.1] **Claim:** Let X be an arbitrary non-empty set. Intersections of σ -algebras of subsets of X are σ -algebras. Thus, the *smallest* σ -algebra containing a given set of sets is the intersection of all σ -algebras containing it.

Proof: Let S be a set of subsets of a set X , and $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ a collection of σ -algebras containing S . Let A be the intersection $\bigcap_i A_i$. Given a countable collection E_1, E_2, \dots of sets in A , for every $i \in I$ the set E_j are in A_i , so their intersection and union are in A_i . Since this holds for every $i \in I$, that intersection and union are in A . The argument for complements is even simpler. ///

There is traditional terminology for certain simple types of Borel sets. For example a *countable intersection of open sets* is a G_δ set, while a *countable union of closed sets* is an F_σ . The notation can be iterated: a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ is a countable union of countable intersections of opens, and so on. We will not need this.

A simple useful choice of larger class of functions than continuous is: a real-valued or complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R} is *Borel-measurable* when the inverse image $f^{-1}(U)$ is a Borel set for every open set U in the target space.

First, we verify some immediate desirable properties:

[1.2] Claim: The sum and product of two Borel-measurable functions are Borel-measurable. For non-vanishing Borel-measurable f , $1/f$ is Borel-measurable.

Proof: As a warm-up to this argument, it is useful to rewrite the $\varepsilon - \delta$ proof, that the sum of two continuous functions is continuous, in terms of the condition that inverse images of opens are open.

For Borel-measurable f, g on \mathbb{R} , let $f \oplus g$ be the $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -valued function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(f \oplus g)(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$. Let $s : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the sum map, $s(x, y) = x + y$. Let $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the diagonal map $\Delta(x) = (x, x)$. Both s and Δ are continuous, and

$$(f + g)^{-1} = \Delta^{-1} \circ (f \oplus g)^{-1} \circ s^{-1}$$

Since s is continuous, for open $U \subset \mathbb{R}$, $s^{-1}(U)$ is open in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and is a countable union of open rectangles $(a_i, b_i) \times (c_i, d_i)$. Then

$$(f \oplus g)^{-1}(s^{-1}(U)) = \bigcup_i (f \oplus g)^{-1}((a_i, b_i) \times (c_i, d_i)) = \bigcup_i f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \times g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)$$

and every inverse image $f^{-1}(a_i, b_i)$ and $g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)$ is *Borel measurable*. Then

$$\Delta^{-1}\left(f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \times g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)\right) = f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \cap g^{-1}(c_i, d_i) = (\text{Borel measurable})$$

The countable union indexed by i is still Borel-measurable, so $(f + g)^{-1}(U)$ is measurable. The arguments for product and inverse are nearly identical, since product and inverse (away from 0) are continuous.

///

It is sometimes useful to allow the target space for functions to be the *two-point compactification* $Y = \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ of the real line, with neighborhood basis $-\infty \cup (-\infty, a)$ at $-\infty$ and $(a, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ at $+\infty$ when we need to allow functions to blow up in some fashion. But $\pm\infty$ are not numbers, and do not admit consistent manipulation as though they were.

A more serious positive indicator of the reasonable-ness of Borel-measurable functions as a larger class containing continuous functions:

[1.3] Theorem: Every pointwise limit of Borel-measurable functions is Borel-measurable. More generally, every countable *inf* and countable *sup* of Borel-measurable functions is Borel-measurable, as is every countable *liminf* and *limsup*.

Proof: We prove that a countable $f(x) = \inf_n f_n(x)$ is measurable. Observe that $f(x) < b$ if and only if there is some n such that $f_n(x) < b$. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, b) = \bigcup_n f_n^{-1}(-\infty, b) = (\text{countable union of measurables}) = (\text{measurable})$$

Further,

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, a] = \bigcap_n f^{-1}(-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n}) = (\text{countable intersection of measurables}) = (\text{measurable})$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(a, b) &= f^{-1}(-\infty, b) - f^{-1}(-\infty, a] = f^{-1}(-\infty, b) \cap (\mathbb{R} - f^{-1}(-\infty, a]) \\ &= (\text{intersection of measurable with complement of measurable}) = (\text{measurable}) \end{aligned}$$

A nearly identical argument proves measurability of countable *sup*s of measurable functions.

A slight enhancement of this argument treats *liminfs* and *limsup*s: $\limsup_n f_n(x) < b$ if and only if, for all n_o , there is $n \geq n_o$ such that $f_n(x) < b$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x : \liminf_n f_n(x) < b\} &= \bigcap_{n_o \geq 1} \left(\bigcup_{n \geq n_o} f_n^{-1}(-\infty, b) \right) \\ &= (\text{countable intersection of countable unions of measurables}) = (\text{measurable}) \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the argument for measurability of pointwise *liminfs* is identical to that for *infs*, and also for *limsup*s. When pointwise $\lim_n f_n(x)$ exists, it is $\liminf_n f_n(x)$, showing that countable limits of measurable are measurable. ///

2. Lebesgue-measurable functions and almost-everywhere pointwise limits

A sequence $\{f_n\}$ of Borel-measurable functions on \mathbb{R} converges (pointwise) *almost everywhere* when there is a Borel set $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ of measure 0 such that $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise on $\mathbb{R} - N$. One of Lebesgue's discoveries was that ignoring what may happen on sets of measure zero was an essential simplifying point in many situations.

However, there are sets of Lebesgue measure 0 that are not Borel sets. Thus, *almost-everywhere* pointwise limits of Borel-measurable functions may fall into a larger class. That is, there is a larger σ -algebra than that of Borel sets. Indeed, the description of the Lebesgue (outer) measure suggests that *any subset F of a Borel set E of measure zero should itself be measurable, with measure zero.*

The smallest σ -algebra containing all Borel sets in \mathbb{R} and containing all subsets of Lebesgue-measure-zero Borel sets is the σ -algebra of *Lebesgue-measurable* sets in \mathbb{R} .

[2.1] **Claim:** Finite sums, finite products, and inverses (of non-zero) Lebesgue-measurable functions are Lebesgue-measurable.

Proof: The proofs in the previous section did not use any specifics of the σ -algebra of Borel sets, so the same proofs succeed. ///

[2.2] **Theorem:** Every pointwise-almost-everywhere limit of Lebesgue-measurable functions f_n is Lebesgue-measurable.

Proof: Again, the proofs in the previous section did not use any specifics of the σ -algebra of Borel sets. ///

3. Borel measures

A *Borel measure* μ is an assignment of (often *non-negative*) real numbers $\mu(E)$ (measures) to Borel sets E , in a fashion that is *countably additive* for disjoint unions:

$$\mu(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \mu(E_3) + \dots \quad (\text{for disjoint Borel sets } E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots)$$

The most important prototype of a Borel measure is *Lebesgue (outer) measure* of a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, described by

$$\mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n - a_n| : E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n) \right\}$$

That is, it is the *inf* of the sums of lengths of the intervals in a countable cover of E by open intervals. For example, any countable set has (Lebesgue) measure 0.

That is, there is a σ -algebra A including Borel sets (equivalently, including open sets), and μ is a (often non-negative real-valued) function on A with the countable additivity above.

[... *iou* ...]

[3.1] **Remark:** Assuming the Axiom of Choice, one can prove that there is no Borel measure μ with σ -algebra containing *all* subsets of \mathbb{R} . So our ambitions for assigning measures should be more modest.

4. Lebesgue integrals

With such notion of *measure*, there is a corresponding *integrability* and *integral*, due to Lebesgue. It amounts to replacing the literal rectangles used in Riemann integration by more general rectangles, with bases not just intervals, but measurable sets, as follows.

The *characteristic function* or *indicator function* ch_E or χ_E of a measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is 1 on E and 0 off. A *simple function* is a finite, positive-coefficiented, linear combination of characteristic functions of bounded measurable sets, that is, is of the form

$$\text{(simple function) } s = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \text{ch}_{E_i} \quad (\text{with } c_i \geq 0)$$

The *integral* of s is what one would expect:

$$\int s \, d\mu = \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \text{ch}_{E_i} \right) d\mu = \sum_i c_i \cdot \mu(E_i)$$

Next, the integral of a *non-negative* function f is the *sup* of the integrals of all simple functions between f and 0:

$$\int f \, d\mu = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int s \, d\mu \quad (\text{sup over simple } s \text{ with } 0 \leq s(x) \leq f(x) \text{ for all } x)$$

After proving that the positive and negative parts f_+ and f_- of Borel measurable real-valued f are again Borel measurable,

$$\int f \, d\mu = \int f_+ \, d\mu - \int (-f_-) \, d\mu$$

Similarly, for complex-valued f , break f into real and imaginary parts.

There are details to be checked:

[4.1] **Theorem:** Borel-measurable functions f, g taking values in $[0, +\infty]$ are *integrable*, in the sense that the previous prescription yields an assignment $f \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \in [0, +\infty]$ such that for positive constants a, b

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (af + bg) = a \int_{\mathbb{R}} f + b \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \quad (\text{for all } a, b \geq 0)$$

For complex-valued Borel-measurable f, g , the absolute values $|f|$ and $|g|$ are Borel-measurable. Assuming $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g| < \infty$, for any complex a, b

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (af + bg) = a \int_{\mathbb{R}} f + b \int_{\mathbb{R}} g$$

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

For a Borel-measurable function f on \mathbb{R} and Borel-measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the *integral of f over E* is

$$\int_E f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ch}_E \cdot f$$

where ch_E is the characteristic function of E .

5. Abstract integration, abstract measure spaces

An elementary but fundamental result is

[5.1] Proposition: Let f be a $[0, +\infty]$ -valued measurable function on X . Then there are simple functions s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots with *non-negative real coefficients* so that for all $x \in X$, $s_1(x) \leq s_2(x) \leq s_3(x) \leq \dots \leq f(x)$, and for all $x \in X$, $\lim_n s_n(x) = f(x)$.

Note: Some authors distinguish between *positive* measures and *complex* measures, where the distinction is meant to be that the former are $[0, \infty]$ -valued, while the latter are constrained to assume only ‘finite’ complex values.

The *integral of a characteristic function* χ_E is taken to be simply

$$\int_X \chi_E d\mu = \mu(E)$$

Then the *integral of a simple function*

$$s(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \chi_{E_i}$$

(with $c_i \geq 0$) is defined to be

$$\int_X \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \chi_{E_i} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \int_X \chi_{E_i} d\mu = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \int_X \mu_{E_i}$$

For a $[0, +\infty]$ -valued function f , we write

$$0 \leq s \leq f$$

for a *simple* function s if s has *non-negative real* coefficients, and if for all $x \in X$

$$0 \leq s(x) \leq f(x)$$

Then the *Lebesgue integral* of f is defined to be

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sup_{s: 0 \leq s \leq f} \int_X s d\mu$$

Note that at this point we can only integrate *non-negative real-valued* functions.

The standard space

$$L^1(X, \mu) = \{\text{complex-valued measurable } f \text{ so that } \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty\}$$

Since $|f|$ is non-negative real-valued, we can indeed make sense of this. This is the collection of *integrable* functions f . Then write

$$f(x) = u(x) + iv(x)$$

where both u, v are real-valued, and write

$$u = u_+ - u_- \quad v = v_+ - v_-$$

where u_+, v_+ are the ‘positive parts’ and where u_-, v_- are the ‘negative parts’ of these functions. Define the *Lebesgue integral*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X u_+ \, d\mu - \int_X u_- \, d\mu + i \int_X v_+ \, d\mu - i \int_X v_- \, d\mu$$

Then we have to check that this definition, in terms of integrals of non-negative functions, really has the presumed properties. It is in proving such that we need the *integrability*.

For brevity, when there is no chance of confusion we will often simply write

$$\int_X f$$

rather than either of

$$\int_X f \, d\mu, \quad \int_X f(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

for the integral of f on the measure space X with respect to the measure μ .

6. Convergence theorems: Fatou, Lebesgue monotone, Lebesgue dominated

Easy, natural examples show that *pointwise* limits $f = \lim_n f_n$ of measurable functions f_n , while still measurable, need *not* satisfy $\int f = \lim \int f_n$. That is, this failure is not a pathology, but, rather, is completely reasonable. Hence additional conditions are essential to know that the integral of a pointwise limit is the limit of the integrals.

First, a relatively simple initial step:

[6.1] Theorem: (*Fatou’s lemma*) For Borel-measurable f_n with values in $[0, +\infty]$, the pointwise $f(x) = \liminf_n f_n(x)$ is Borel-measurable, and

$$\int \liminf_n f_n(x) \, dx \leq \liminf_n \int f_n$$

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

[6.2] Theorem: (*Lebesgue: monotone convergence*) Let f_1, f_2, \dots be a sequence of non-negative real-valued Lebesgue-measurable functions on $[a, b]$, with $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \dots$ for all x . Then $\int_a^b \lim_n f_n(x) \, dx = \lim_n \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx$. This includes the possibility that some of the limits of the pointwise values are $+\infty$, and that the integral of the limit is $+\infty$.

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

[6.3] Theorem: (*Lebesgue: dominated convergence*) Let f_1, f_2, \dots be a sequence of complex-valued Lebesgue-measurable functions on $[a, b]$, with $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ for all x , for some measurable g with $\int_a^b g(x) \, dx < +\infty$. Then $\int_a^b \lim_n f_n(x) \, dx = \lim_n \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx$.

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

7. Completions of measures

Let X, μ and Y, ν be measure spaces with corresponding σ -algebras A, B . Assume X and Y are σ -finite, in the sense that they are countable unions of finite-measure sets.

First, the *product* σ -algebra is the σ -algebra in $X \times Y$ generated by all products $E \times F$ with $E \in A$ and $F \in B$.

For *iterated integrals* to make sense, we need to check a few things. For $E \in A \times B$, for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, let

$$E_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\} \quad \text{and} \quad E^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$$

As a consistency check, we have

[7.1] **Theorem:** For $E \in A \times B$, for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $E_x \in A$ and $E^y \in B$. The function $x \rightarrow \nu(E_x)$ is μ -measurable, $y \rightarrow \mu(E^y)$ is ν -measurable, and

$$\int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$$

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

Then the *product measure* $\mu \times \nu$ can be defined in the expected fashion: for $E \in A \times B$,

$$(\mu \times \nu)(E) = \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$$

8. Fubini-Tonelli theorem(s)

Let X, μ and Y, ν be measure spaces with corresponding σ -algebras A, B . Assume X and Y are σ -finite.

[8.1] **Theorem:** (*Fubini-Tonelli*) For complex-valued measurable f, g , if any one of

$$\int_X \int_Y |f(x, y)| d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \quad \int_Y \int_X |f(x, y)| d\nu(y) d\mu(x) \quad \int_{X \times Y} |f(x, y)| d\mu \times \nu$$

is finite, then they *all* are finite, and are equal. For $[0, +\infty]$ -valued functions f ,

$$\int_X \int_Y f(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int_Y \int_X f(x, y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x) = \int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) d\mu \times \nu$$

although the values may be $+\infty$.

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

For proof of the theorem, we need the notion of *monotone class*. A monotone class in a set X is a set \mathcal{M} of subsets of X closed under countable ascending unions and under countable descending intersections. That is, if

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$$

$$N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_3 \supset \dots$$

are collections of sets in \mathcal{M} , then

$$\bigcup_i M_i \quad \bigcap_i N_i$$

both lie in \mathcal{M} , as well. Another characterization of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is that it is the smallest monotone class containing all products $E \times F$ with $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let f be a $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -measurable function on $X \times Y$. (Note that this does not depend upon having a ‘product measure’, but only upon the sigma-algebra!) Then all the functions

$$x \rightarrow f(x, y) \quad (\text{for fixed } y \in Y)$$

$$y \rightarrow f(x, y) \quad (\text{for fixed } x \in X)$$

are measurable (in appropriate senses). In particular, we could apply this to the *characteristic function* of a set $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$.

Now we come to the point where the sigma-finiteness of X and Y is necessary. For $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$, let

$$f(x) = \nu(G_x) \quad g(y) = \mu(G_y)$$

where G_x, G_y are as above. We have already noted that f, g are *measurable*. Further,

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y g(y) d\nu(y)$$

This is proven by showing that the collection of G for which the conclusion is true is a *monotone class* containing all products $E \times F$.

In light of the latter equality, we can define the *product measure* $\mu \times \nu$ on $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ by

$$(\mu \times \nu)(G) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y g(y) d\nu(y)$$

with notation as just above. The *countable additivity* follows from a preliminary version of Fubini’s theorem, namely that if f_i are countably-many $[0, +\infty]$ -valued functions on a measure space Ω , then

$$\int_\Omega \sum_i f_i = \sum_i \int_\Omega f_i$$

which itself is a little corollary of the monotone convergence theorem.

9. Completions of measures

For example, a reasonable measure on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ should include many sets not expressible as countable unions of products $E \times F$ where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For example, diagonal subsets of the form $D = \{(x, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are not countable unions of products, but should surely be measurable.

One way to accomplish this is by *completion* of the product measure.

Then the *completion* of $\mu \times \nu$ further assigns measure 0 to *any* subset S of $T \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ with $(\mu \times \nu)(T) = 0$, and adjoins all such sets to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$.

[9.1] Claim: Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is the completion of the product of Lebesgue measures on \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof: [... iou ...]

///

Completing a product measure is usually what we want, but it slightly complicates the statement of the corresponding Fubini-Tonelli theorem:

[9.2] **Theorem:** Let X, A, μ and Y, B, ν be *complete* measure spaces, with X, Y σ -finite. Let f be a function on $X \times Y$ measurable with respect to the *completion* of the product measure. Then $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$ and $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$ are μ -measurable and ν -measurable (only) *almost everywhere*.

Proof: [... iou ...] ///

[9.3] **Remark:** To be precise, *completeness* is a property of σ -algebras, not of measures.

10. Comparison to continuous functions: *Lusin's theorem*

One aspect of the following theorem is that we have not inadvertently needlessly included functions wildly unrelated to continuous functions:

[10.1] **Theorem:** (*Lusin*) Continuous functions approximate Borel-measurable functions well: given Borel-measurable real-valued or complex-valued f on \mathbb{R} , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every Borel subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ of finite Lebesgue measure, there is a relative closed $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\mu(\Omega - E) < \varepsilon$, and $f|_E$ is *continuous*.

Proof: [... iou ...] ///

Not much better can be done than Lusin's theorem says: for example, continuous approximations to the Heaviside step function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

have to go from 0 to 1 *somewhere*, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, so will be in $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ on an open set of strictly positive measure.

[10.2] **Remark:** It turns out that the everyday use of measure theory, measurable functions, and so on, does *not* proceed by way of Lusin's theorem or similar direct connections with continuous functions, but, rather, by direct interaction with the more general ideas.

11. Comparison to uniform pointwise convergence: *Severini-Egoroff*

[11.1] **Theorem:** (*Severini, Egoroff*) Pointwise convergence of sequences of Borel-measurable functions is approximately *uniform* convergence: given a almost-everywhere pointwise-convergent sequence $\{f_n\}$ of Borel-measurable functions on \mathbb{R} , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every Borel subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ of finite Lebesgue measure, there is a Borel subset $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\{f_n\}$ converges *uniformly* pointwise on E .

Proof: [... iou ...] ///

[11.2] **Remark:** Despite the connection that the Severini-Egoroff theorem makes between pointwise and *uniform* pointwise convergence, this idea turns out *not* to be the way to understand convergence of measurable functions. Instead, the game becomes ascertaining additional conditions that guarantee convergence of integrals, as earlier.

12. Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem

Let μ, ν be two positive measures on a common sigma algebra \mathcal{A} on a set X . Say that ν is *absolutely continuous* with respect to μ if $\mu(E) = 0$ implies $\nu(E) = 0$ for all measurable sets E . This is often written $\nu \ll \mu$. The measure μ is *supported on* or *concentrated on* a subset X_o of X if, for all measurable E ,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap X_o)$$

The two measures μ, ν are *mutually singular* if μ is supported on X_1 and ν is supported on X_2 and $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. This is often written $\mu \perp \nu$.

[12.1] **Theorem:** Theorem. Let μ, ν be positive measures on a common sigma-algebra \mathcal{A} on a set X . There is a unique pair of positive measures ν_a and ν_s so that

$$\nu_a \ll \mu \quad \nu_s \perp \mu$$

Further, there is $\varphi \in L^1(X, \mu)$ so that for any measurable set E

$$\nu_a(E) = \int_X \varphi d\mu$$

The function φ is the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of ν_a with respect to μ , and is often written as

$$\varphi = \frac{d\nu_a}{d\mu}$$

The pair (ν_a, ν_s) is the *Lebesgue decomposition* of ν with respect to μ .
