

More generalities on representations of finite groups

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/reprs/notes_2014-15/03b_generalities_finite.pdf]

1. Characters as projectors
2. Schur inner product relations
3. Traces, characters, central functions

1. Characters as projectors

The *character* χ_ρ of a representation ρ of a finite group G is

$$\chi_\rho(g) = \text{trace}(\rho(g)) \quad (\text{for } g \in G)$$

As a complex-valued function on G , χ_ρ acts on any representation σ of G , by

$$\chi_\rho \cdot v = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\rho(g) \cdot \sigma(g)v \quad (\text{for } v \in \sigma)$$

[1.0.1] Theorem: For any representation σ of G , the character χ_{ρ^\vee} of ρ^\vee essentially acts on σ as the *projector* to the ρ -isotype σ^ρ of σ :

$$\frac{\dim \rho}{\#G} \cdot \sigma(\chi_{\rho^\vee}) = \text{projector to } \sigma^\rho$$

In particular, $\rho(\chi_{\rho^\vee})$ is the scalar $\#G/\dim \rho$ on ρ itself, and on *other* irreducibles is the scalar 0. Also, as a by-product of the proof,

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi_\rho(g) \cdot \chi_{\rho^\vee}(g) = \#G$$

Proof: The conjugation-invariance of *trace* shows that $\sigma(\chi_\rho)$ commutes with all operators $\sigma(g)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(g) \circ \sigma(\chi_\rho) \circ \sigma(g)^{-1} &= \sigma(g) \circ \sum_{h \in G} \text{tr}(\rho(h)) \cdot \sigma(h) \circ \sigma(g)^{-1} = \sum_{h \in G} \text{tr}(\rho(h)) \cdot \sigma(ghg^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{h \in G} \text{tr}(\rho(g^{-1}hg)) \cdot \sigma(h) = \sum_{h \in G} \text{tr}(\rho(h)) \cdot \sigma(h) = \sigma(\chi_\rho) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for σ irreducible, by Schur's lemma $\sigma(\chi_\rho)$ is *scalar*. For finite-dimensional vector spaces V , the natural map

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V^\vee \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V \quad \text{by} \quad (v \otimes \lambda)(w) = \lambda(w) \cdot v \quad (\text{for } v, w \in V \text{ and } \lambda \in V^\vee)$$

is an *isomorphism*, by dimension-counting. For V a G -representation, this map is a G -isomorphism:

$$(g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda))(w) = (gv \otimes g\lambda)(w) = (g\lambda)(w) \cdot (gv) = \lambda(g^{-1}w) \cdot (gv) = (g \circ (v \otimes \lambda) \circ g^{-1})(w)$$

where G acts on $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$ by $\varphi \rightarrow g \circ \varphi \circ g^{-1}$. This canonically identifies G -invariants in $\rho \otimes \rho^\vee$, via Schur's lemma:

$$(\rho \otimes \rho^\vee)^G = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\rho, \rho)^G = \text{Hom}_G(\rho, \rho) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_\rho \quad (1_\rho \text{ the identity map on } \rho)$$

Trace on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\rho$ is the canonical extension of $v \otimes \lambda \rightarrow \lambda(v)$, and $\text{tr}(1_{\rho}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \rho$.

Given $v \otimes \lambda \in \rho \otimes \rho^{\vee}$, the normalized averaging^[1]

$$v \otimes \lambda \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda)$$

produces a G -invariant in $\rho \otimes \rho^{\vee}$, necessarily a scalar multiple of 1_{ρ} :

$$\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda) = C_{\rho}(v, \lambda) \cdot 1_{\rho}$$

Taking trace (of endomorphisms of ρ) determines the scalar: using G -invariance of trace,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\rho}(v, \lambda) \cdot \dim \rho &= \text{tr}\left(C_{\rho}(v, \lambda) \cdot 1_{\rho}\right) = \text{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda)\right) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}\left(g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(v \otimes \lambda) = \text{tr}(v \otimes \lambda) = \lambda(v) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (v \otimes \lambda) = \frac{\lambda(v)}{\dim \rho} \cdot 1_{\rho}$$

The scalar C_{ρ} by which $\rho(\chi_{\rho^{\vee}})$ acts on ρ is determined by taking trace of the endomorphism $\rho(\chi_{\rho^{\vee}})$:

$$\dim \rho \cdot C_{\rho} = \text{tr}\left(\rho(\chi_{\rho^{\vee}})\right) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\rho^{\vee}}(g) \cdot \rho(g)\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\rho^{\vee}}(g) \cdot \text{tr}(\rho(g)) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\rho^{\vee}}(g) \cdot \chi_{\rho}(g)$$

For a basis $\{v_i\}$ of ρ and corresponding dual basis $\{\lambda_i\}$ of ρ^{\vee} , and identifying $\rho^{\vee\vee} = \rho$, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_i v_i(g\lambda_i) \cdot \sum_j \lambda_j(gv_j) &= \sum_{i,j} (v_i \otimes \lambda_j) \left(\sum_g g(\lambda_i \otimes v_j) \right) = \sum_{i,j} (v_i \otimes \lambda_j) \left(\#G \cdot \frac{\lambda_i(v_j)}{\dim \rho} \cdot 1_{\rho^{\vee}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\#G}{\dim \rho} \sum_i (v_i \otimes \lambda_i)(1_{\rho^{\vee}}) = \frac{\#G}{\dim \rho} \cdot 1_{\rho}(1_{\rho^{\vee}}) = \#G \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\dim \rho \cdot C_{\rho} = \dim \rho \cdot (\text{scalar by which } \chi_{\rho^{\vee}} \text{ acts on } \rho) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\rho^{\vee}}(g) \cdot \chi_{\rho}(g) = \#G$$

Similarly, Schur orthogonality shows that $\chi_{\rho^{\vee}}$ acts by 0 on any irreducible other than ρ . ///

[1] This averaging is normalized so that it is *idempotent*, that is, averaging *twice* produces the same result as averaging *once*.

2. Schur inner product relations

[2.0.1] **Claim:** On irreducible ρ, V of G , there is a unique G -invariant hermitian inner product, up to positive real scalar multiples.

Proof: At least one G -invariant inner product can be created by averaging an arbitrary inner product. The resulting \mathbb{C} -bilinear map $V \times \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ gives a G -invariant \mathbb{C} -linear $V \otimes \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Using the Cartan-Eilenberg adjunction, and M^G denoting the G -invariant elements of a module M ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(A \otimes B, C) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes B, C)^G \approx \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \mathrm{Hom}(B, C))^G = \mathrm{Hom}_G(A, \mathrm{Hom}(B, C))$$

In particular, with C the trivial G -representation \mathbb{C} ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C})^G \approx \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \mathrm{Hom}(B, \mathbb{C}))^G = \mathrm{Hom}_G(A, B^\vee)$$

For G -irreducibles A, B , since B^\vee is irreducible, by Schur's lemma $\mathrm{Hom}_G(A, B^\vee)$ is 0 unless $A \approx B^\vee$, in which case this space is one-dimensional. Taking $A = V$ and $B = \bar{V}$ gives the claim. ///

[2.0.2] **Remark:** Given irreducible ρ, V of G with essentially unique invariant $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the finite-dimensional version of Riesz-Fischer gives a conjugate-linear isomorphism $\rho^\vee \approx \bar{\rho}$ depending on the inner product. The conjugated space $\bar{\rho}$ inherits the hermitian inner product from ρ, V , from which $\rho^\vee \approx \bar{\rho}$ inherits an inner product. Changing the invariant inner product on ρ by a scalar changes the isomorphism $\rho^\vee \approx \bar{\rho}$, and changes the inner product on ρ^\vee by the *inverse* scalar. Thus, the assertion in the following theorem is independent of choice of the ambiguous scalar in the inner product.

[2.0.3] **Theorem:** (*Schur*) For irreducible ρ of G with G -invariant inner product,

$$\langle c_{v,\lambda}^\rho, c_{w,\mu}^\rho \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \sum_{g \in G} c_{v,\lambda}^\rho(g) \overline{c_{w,\mu}^\rho(g)} = \frac{\#G}{\dim \rho} \langle v, w \rangle \overline{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle} \quad (\text{for all } v, w \in V, \lambda, \mu \in V^\vee)$$

[2.0.4] **Remark:** Some sources normalize the inner product on $L^2(G)$ by dividing by $\#G$, which has the effect of eliminating the $\#G$ in the Schur formula.

Proof: Let $Sv = c_{v,\lambda}^\rho$ and $Tw = c_{w,\mu}^\rho$. Again, $S^* \circ T$ is a G -map $V \rightarrow V$, so by Schur's lemma is a scalar, and

$$\langle c_{v,\lambda}, c_{w,\mu} \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle Sv, Tw \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \langle v, (S^* \circ T)w \rangle_V = C_{\lambda,\mu} \cdot \langle v, w \rangle_V$$

for a scalar $C_{\lambda,\mu}$. Similarly, complex conjugating and replacing g by g^{-1} , for some scalar $D_{v,w}$

$$\overline{\langle c_{v,\lambda}^\rho, c_{w,\mu}^\rho \rangle} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{c_{v,\lambda}^\rho(g)} c_{w,\mu}^\rho(g) = \sum_{g \in G} c_{\lambda,v}^{\rho^\vee}(g) \overline{c_{\mu,w}^{\rho^\vee}(g)} = \overline{D_{v,w}} \cdot \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\overline{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle}}{C_{\lambda,\mu}} = \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{D_{v,w}} \quad (\text{for all } v, w, \lambda, \mu)$$

Since the left-hand side does not depend on v, w , and the right-hand side does not depend on λ, μ , both sides are a constant C depending only on ρ and on the choices of G -invariant inner products, and

$$C \cdot C_{\lambda,\mu} = \overline{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad C \cdot D_{v,w} = \langle v, w \rangle$$

Thus, with a constant $C = C_\rho$ depending only on ρ ,

$$\langle c_{v,\lambda}, c_{w,\mu} \rangle_{L^2(G)} = \frac{1}{C} \cdot \langle v, w \rangle \cdot \overline{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle}$$

To evaluate the constant, use the earlier computations on inner products of characters, namely, for orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}$ of ρ and corresponding dual basis $\{\lambda_i\}$ for ρ^\vee ,

$$\#G = \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = \sum_{ij} \langle c_{v_i, \lambda_i}, c_{v_j, \lambda_j} \rangle = \dim \rho \cdot \frac{1}{C}$$

Thus, $C = C_\rho = \frac{\dim \rho}{\#G}$. ///

3. Traces, characters, central functions

The *central functions* $L^2_{\text{cen}}(G)$ in $L^2(G)$ are the conjugation-invariant functions:

$$L^2_{\text{cen}}(G) = \{f \in L^2(G) : f(h^{-1}gh) = f(g) \text{ for } h, g \in G\}$$

The space of central functions is not generally stable under right or left translation by G , but only under the conjugation action of G

$$\rho_{\text{conj}}(h)f(g) = f(h^{-1}gh)$$

Since trace is invariant under conjugation, every character χ_ρ is a central function.

[3.0.1] **Theorem:** The collection of characters χ_ρ of irreducibles ρ is an orthogonal basis for $L^2_{\text{cen}}(G)$, with

$$\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = \#G$$

Proof: The inner product of χ_ρ with itself was computed above. The orthogonalities follow from the expression of χ_ρ in terms of matrix coefficient functions, from Schur's inner product relations.

Since

$$L^2(G) = \bigoplus_{\text{irred } \rho} \rho \otimes \rho^\vee$$

and $\rho \otimes \rho^\vee$ is conjugation-stable, it suffices to show that the *central functions* in $\rho \otimes \rho^*$ are exactly the multiples of χ_ρ . The central functions in $\rho \otimes \rho^*$ are

$$(\rho \otimes \rho^\vee)^G \approx \text{Hom}_G(\rho, \rho) \approx \mathbb{C}$$

since ρ is irreducible, by Schur's lemma. That is, the space of central functions in $\rho \otimes \rho^*$ is one-dimensional, so must be just $\mathbb{C} \cdot \chi_\rho$. ///

[3.0.2] **Corollary:** With G as above, the characters of mutually non-isomorphic irreducible unitary representations are linearly independent. ///

[3.0.3] **Corollary:** Two irreducible unitary representations of G are isomorphic if and only if their characters are equal. ///