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We will restrict our attention to the simplest possible case, namely $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and right $K = SO(2)$-invariant functions on $\Gamma \backslash G$. That is, we neglect the finite primes and holomorphic automorphic forms. Let $N$ be the subgroup of $G$ consisting of upper-triangular unipotent matrices, and $P$ the parabolic subgroup consisting of all upper-triangular matrices. For simplicity we give $K$ total measure 1, rather than $2\pi$. This will account for some discrepancies between formulas below and their analogues in other sources.

For a locally integrable function $f$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ the constant term $c_P f$ of $f$ (along $P$) is defined to be

$$c_P f(g) = \int_{N \cap \Gamma \backslash N} f(ng) \, dn,$$

As usual, a (locally integrable) function $f$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a cuspform if for almost all $g \in G$ $c_P f(g) = 0$.

1. Pseudo-Eisenstein series

While cuspforms are mysterious, a completely not-mysterious type of automorphic form is constructed directly from functions $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G)$ by forming the incomplete theta series or pseudo-Eisenstein series

$$\Psi_\varphi(g) = \sum_{P \cap \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma g)$$

[1.0.1] Remark: Note that $P \cap \Gamma$ differs from $N \cap \Gamma$ just by $\{\pm 1_2\}$, which are both in the center of $\Gamma$ and are in $K$. Since our interest for the moment is only in right $K$-invariant functions, everything here will be invariant under $\{\pm 1_2\}$.

[1.0.2] Lemma: The series for an incomplete theta series is absolutely and uniformly convergent for $g$ in compacts, and yields a function in $C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

Proof: Given $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G / K)$, let $C$ be a compact set in $G$ so that $N \cdot C$ contains the support of $\varphi$. Fix a compact subset $C_\alpha$ of $G$ in which $g \in G$ is constrained to lie. Then a summand $\varphi(\gamma g)$ is non-zero only if $\gamma g \in N \cdot C$, which is to say $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap N \cdot C \cdot g^{-1}$, which requires that $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap N \cdot C \cdot C_\alpha^{-1}$

[1] In 1966 Godement called these incomplete theta series, but more recently Moeglin-Waldspurger strengthened the precedent of calling them pseudo-Eisenstein series
If this held, then
\[(N \cap \Gamma) \cdot \gamma \subset \Gamma \cap N \cdot C \cdot C_\alpha^{-1}\]
and then
\[(N \cap \Gamma) \cdot \gamma \in (N \cap \Gamma) \backslash (N \cap \Gamma) \cap (N \cdot C \cdot C_\alpha^{-1})\]
The second term on the right-hand side is compact, since \((N \cap \Gamma) \backslash N\) is compact. The first term on the right-hand side is discrete, since \(N \cap \Gamma\) is a closed subgroup. Thus, the right hand side is compact and discrete, so is finite. Thus, the series is in fact locally finite, and defines a smooth function on \(\Gamma \backslash G / K\).

To show that it has compact support in \(\Gamma \backslash G\), proceed similarly. That is, for a summand \(\varphi(\gamma g)\) to be non-zero, it must be that \(g \in \Gamma \cdot C\), which implies \(\Gamma \cdot g \subset \Gamma \cdot C\), and \(\Gamma \cdot g \in \Gamma \backslash (\Gamma \cdot C)\). The right-hand side is compact, being the continuous image of a compact set under the continuous map \(G \to \Gamma \backslash G\), proving the compact support.

\[\text{Remark:} \quad \text{We will make incessant use of the lemma that for a countably-based locally compact Hausdorff topological group } G, \text{ and for a Hausdorff space } X \text{ on which } G \text{ acts transitively, } X \text{ is homeomorphic to the quotient of } G \text{ by the isotropy group of a chosen point in } X. \text{ And we will use standard integration theory on quotients such as } \Gamma \backslash G \text{ and } N \backslash G, \text{ etc.}\]

Let \(\langle , \rangle\) be the complex bilinear form
\[\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f_1(g) f_2(g) \, dg\]
with respect to a fixed right \(G\)-invariant measure on \(\Gamma \backslash G\).
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2. Fourier-Laplace-Mellin transforms

Recall that Fourier inversion for Schwartz functions on the real line asserts that

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} \, d\xi$$

where the Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) of \( f \) is

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} \, dx$$

Replacing \( \xi \) by \( \xi/(2\pi) \) gives the variant identity

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-it\xi} \, dt \right) e^{i\xi x} \, d\xi$$

Now suppose that \( F \in C_\infty^c(0, +\infty) \), and take \( f(x) = F(e^x) \). Then let \( y = e^x \) (and \( r = e^t \) in the innermost integral) and rewrite the identity as

$$F(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} F(r) r^{-i\xi} \, dr \right) y^{i\xi} \, d\xi$$

We define a related transform \( \mathcal{M}F \) of \( F \) by

$$\mathcal{M}F(i\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(r) r^{-i\xi} \, dr$$

or (for complex \( s \))

$$\mathcal{M}F(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(r) r^{-s} \, dr$$

Then the previous identity gives the inversion formula

$$F(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}F(i\xi) y^{i\xi} \, d\xi$$

If we view \( \xi \) as being the imaginary part of a complex variable \( s \), and rewrite the latter integral as a complex path integral, then it becomes (since \( d\xi = -i \, ds \))

$$F(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0-i\infty}^{0+i\infty} \mathcal{M}F(s) y^s \, ds$$

(where the integral is along the obvious vertical line).

[2.0.1] Remark: It is very important to know that for \( f \in C_\infty^c(\mathbb{R}) \) the Fourier transform \( \hat{f}(\xi) \) extends to an entire function in \( \xi \) which is of rapid decay on horizontal lines. \[3\] Then certainly the same is true for the transform \( \mathcal{M}F \) of \( F \in C_\infty^c(0, +\infty) \). In this case, for any real \( \sigma \), the inversion formula yields

$$F(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \mathcal{M}F(s) y^s \, ds$$

[2] In these coordinates, this is called a Mellin transform, but it is a Fourier transform.

[3] More can be said about decay of Fourier/Mellin transforms: see the Paley-Wiener theorem.
[2.0.2] **Remark:** The fact that the integral defining the Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) does not converge for all \( f \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) is a portent of what happens generally: integral formulas are valid only on a small but dense subspace of a (concrete) Hilbert space of functions, and the maps are extended by Hilbert-space isometry to the whole space. Of course, this presumes that we have shown that the integral expression does give an isometry.

### 3. Recollection of facts about Eisenstein series

We review some basic features of the (spherical) Eisenstein series for \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), and the causal mechanisms. Rather than use explicit formulas, easily possible here, we use methods which will scale upward as well as possible. Let

\[
\Delta = y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

be the usual \( G \)-invariant Laplacian on \( \mathcal{F} = G/K \) in upper half-plane coordinates. Because

\[
\Delta(y^s) = s(s-1) \cdot y^s
\]

we have

\[
\Delta E_s = s(s-1) \cdot E_s
\]

And since \( \Delta \) commutes with the map \( f \to c_P f \), we see that \( c_P E_s \) is a function \( u(y) \) of \( y \) satisfying the Eulerian equation

\[
y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u(y) = s(s-1) u(y)
\]

For \( s \neq 1/2 \) this has the two linearly independent elementary solutions \( y^s \) and \( y^{1-s} \). So, for some meromorphic functions \( a_s \) and \( c_s \),

\[
c_P E_s = a_s y^s + c_s y^{1-s}
\]

In fact, a direct computation shows that the first of the two summands is entirely elementary, and in particular \( a_s = 1 \). That is,

\[
c_P E_s = y^s + c_s y^{1-s}
\]

Following the Selberg-Bernstein method of analytic continuation, for example, we find

**[3.0.1] Theorem:** The equations

\[
\Delta w = s(s-1) \cdot w \quad \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - (1-s) \right) c w = (2s-1) \cdot y^s
\]

uniquely determine \( w = E_s \), and imply that it has a meromorphic continuation and functional equation

\[
E_{1-s} = E_s
\]

*(This is non-trivial!)*

Granting the unique characterization of the Eisenstein series, the functional equation is readily obtained, as follows. One can check directly that \( c_{1-s}^{-1} E_{1-s} \) satisfies those equations as well, so by *uniqueness*

\[
c_{1-s}^{-1} E_{1-s} = E_s
\]

which is the functional equation. Continuing in this vein, applying the functional equation twice gives

\[
c_s c_{1-s} = 1
\]

Since \( E_s = E_s \), we have \( c_s = c_s \) and \( |c_{1/2+it}|^2 = 1 \). For real \( t \). In particular, \( c_s \) does not vanish on that line.
From the spectral formula below expressing pseudo-Eisenstein series in terms of Eisenstein series, poles of $E_s$ sufficiently far to the right can be made to play a role in the decomposition of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

**[3.0.2] Remark:** If we imagine that (for example) we can arrange to have $M\varphi(s_0) = 1$ while $\varphi$ is adjusted so that $M\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + it) \to 0$ (and all other residue terms go to 0), then we would conclude from the previous paragraph that all negative-order terms of all poles of Eisenstein series (if not cancelled in some manner) are in the closure of the space spanned by the pseudo-Eisenstein series. That is, (if we can arrange things as required) these residues of Eisenstein series are in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and are orthogonal to cuspforms.

### 4. Decomposition of pseudo-Eisenstein series

We restrict our attention to right $K$-invariant functions on $\Gamma \backslash G$. Invoking the Iwasawa decomposition, we have

$$G = N \cdot A^o \cdot K$$

with $A^o$ consisting of elements

$$m_y = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1/y} \end{pmatrix}$$

Define a function

$$a : G \to A^o$$

by sending $g \in G$ to the element $a(g) \in A^o$ so that

$$g \in N \cdot a(g) \cdot K$$

Then a left $N$-invariant right $K$-invariant function on $G$ can be identified with a function on

$$N \backslash G/K \approx A^o \approx (0, +\infty)$$

by the map

$$g \to a(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1/y} \end{pmatrix} \to y$$

We will use the Laplace transform and inversion formula to decompose the pseudo-Eisenstein series. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G/K)$. By the inversion formula

$$\varphi(a_y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} M\varphi(s)y^s \, ds$$

or

$$\varphi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} M\varphi(s)a(g)^s \, ds$$

Then the pseudo-Eisenstein series is expressible as

$$\Psi_\varphi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap N) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} M\varphi(s)a(\gamma g)^s \, ds$$

The case that $\sigma = 0$ might seem to be the natural spectral decomposition. However, with $\sigma = 0$ the double integral (sum and integral) is *not* absolutely convergent, and the two integrals cannot be interchanged. (And we’ll subsequently decide that the ‘correct’ line is $\sigma = 1/2$). But for $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently large, say
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$\sigma > 1$, relatively elementary estimates show that the double integral is absolutely convergent, so (by Fubini’s theorem) the two integrals can be interchanged:

$$
\Psi_\phi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} M \varphi(s) \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathbb{N}) \backslash \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^s \, ds
$$

The inner sum is (two times) the usual (spherical) Eisenstein series

$$
E_s(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathbb{P}) \backslash \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^s = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathbb{P}) \backslash \Gamma} \text{Im}(\gamma z)^s
$$

where we let $\Gamma$ act as usual on the upper half-plane, and we know the identity

$$
\text{Im}\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z \right) = \frac{y}{|cz + d|^2}
$$

where $z = x + iy$. That is, for $\sigma > 1$,

$$
\Psi_\phi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} M \varphi(s) E_s(g) \quad (\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1)
$$

If we grant the meromorphic continuation of the Eisenstein series, then we can move the vertical line of integration to the left, say to the line $\sigma = 1/2$. (Preference for this particular vertical line will be clear shortly.) Of course, this uses the fact that integrals over small horizontal line segments

$$
[\frac{1}{2} + it, \sigma + it]
$$

go to zero as $t \to \pm \infty$. And this is slightly complicated by the fact that we are actually looking at meromorphic function-valued functions. Granting these two sorts of things for the moment, we obtain

$$
\Psi_\phi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} M \varphi(s) E_s(g) + \sum_{s_0 \cdot s=s_0} \text{res}_{s=s_0}(E_s \cdot M \varphi(s))
$$

By luck the $1/2\pi i$ from the Laplace inversion formula exactly cancels the $2\pi i$ in the residue formula.

[4.0.1] **Remark:** We also need to know that $E_s$ has no pole on the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$.

And we’d prefer to have $M c P(\Psi_\phi)$ enter, not just $M \varphi$, because we would want whatever integral formulas we have to be expressed in terms of the automorphic forms themselves, not in terms of the auxiliary functions from which they’re made. To this end, we need a standard unwinding trick:

[4.0.2] **Proposition:** For $f \in C^\infty_c(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$

$$
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} E_s(g) f(g) \, dg = M c P(f)(1 - s)
$$

**Proof:** We use the fairly standard unwinding trick (which is certainly legitimate for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ and for $f \in C^\infty_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$,

$$
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} E_s(g) f(g) \, dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in P \cap \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} a(\gamma g)^s f(g) \, dg = \int_{P \cap \Gamma \backslash G} a(g)^s f(g) \, dg
$$
Note that \( c_P f \) is not generally in \( C^\infty_c(N\backslash G) \). Nevertheless, the absolute convergence of the initial integral (and of the sum defining the Eisenstein series) assures that the last integral is absolutely convergent for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \). Thus, in the case that \( f \) is right \( K \)-invariant, using the fact that

\[
d(nm_yk) = y^{-1} \frac{dn}{y} \frac{dk}{y}
\]

with Haar measures \( dn \) and \( dk \) on \( N \) and \( K \), respectively, this becomes

\[
\mathcal{M}_C Pf(1-s)
\]

and the integral defining the latter converges absolutely at first for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \). Then this identity holds also for the analytically continued Eisenstein series since it is continuous and \( f \) is in \( C^\infty_c(\Gamma \backslash G) \).

On the other hand, we also have

**[4.0.3] Proposition:** For an pseudo-Eisenstein series \( \Psi_\varphi \),

\[
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} E_s(g) \Psi_\varphi(g) \, dg = \mathcal{M}_C(1-s) + c_s \mathcal{M}_\varphi(s)
\]

**Proof:** Use the known form of the constant term of the Eisenstein series

\[
c_P E_s = a(g)^s + c_s a(g)^{1-s}
\]

and the properties of the pseudo-Eisenstein series observed earlier:

\[
\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} E_s(g) \Psi_\varphi(g) \, dg = \int_{N \backslash G} c_P E_s(g) \varphi(g) \, dg
\]

This is

\[
\int_{N \backslash G} (a(g)^s + c_s a(g)^{1-s}) \varphi(g) \, dg
\]

which immediately yields the proposition.

This allows us to understand the constant term of pseudo-Eisenstein series without direct computation:

**[4.0.4] Corollary:**

\[
\mathcal{M}_C \Psi_\varphi(s) = \mathcal{M}_\varphi(1-s) + c_{1-s} \mathcal{M}_\varphi(s)
\]

**Proof:** Compare the two computations of the last two propositions.

Then the integral part of the expression of \( \Psi_\varphi \) in terms of Eisenstein series can be rearranged to

\[
\Psi_\varphi - \text{(residual part)} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\varphi(s) E_s + \mathcal{M}_\varphi(1-s) E_{1-s} \, ds = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\varphi(s) E_s + \mathcal{M}_\varphi(1-s) c_{1-s} E_s \, ds
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\varphi(s) + c_{1-s} \mathcal{M}_\varphi(1-s) \, E_s \, ds = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \mathcal{M}_C \Psi_\varphi(s) E_s \, ds = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{1-s} \rangle E_s \, ds
\]
That is, an pseudo-Eisenstein series is expressible as an integral of Eisenstein series $E_s$ on the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$, plus a sum of residues:

$$\Psi_\varphi - \text{(residual part)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+i0}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{P}(s) E_s ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+i0}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{P}(s) E_s ds$$

5. **Interlude: the constant function**

In the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we know by various means that there is a single pole of $E_s$ in the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1/2$ at $s = 1$, and it is simple, so

$$\Psi_\varphi(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+i0}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{P}(s) E_s(g) + \mathcal{M}(1) \cdot \text{res}_{s=1} E_s(g)$$

The coefficient $\mathcal{M}(1)$ is

$$\mathcal{M}(1) = \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(m_y) y^{-1} \frac{dy}{y} = \int_{N \backslash G} \varphi(g) \, dg$$

since a right Haar measure on $G$ is given by

$$d(nm_yk) = y^{-1} \, dn \cdot \frac{dy}{y} \cdot dk$$

for Haar measure $dn$ on $N$ and Haar measure $dk$ on $K$. Rearranging, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(1) = \int_{N \backslash G} \varphi(g) \, dg = \int_{N \backslash G} \int_{N \cap \Gamma \backslash N} \varphi(ng) \, dn \, dg$$

$$= \int_{N \backslash G} \varphi(ng) \int_{N \cap \Gamma \backslash N} 1 \, dn \, dg = \int_{N \cap \Gamma \backslash G} \varphi(g) \, dg$$

since the natural volume of $(N \cap \Gamma) \backslash N$ is 1 and $\varphi$ is left $N$-invariant. Then, winding up, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(1) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in (N \cap \Gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \varphi(g) \, dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Psi_\varphi(g) \, dg = \langle \Psi_\varphi, 1 \rangle$$

That is, $\mathcal{M}(1)$ is the inner product of $\Psi_\varphi$ with the constant function 1 (which is square-integrable).

**[5.0.1] Remark:** This would cause a person to speculate that the residue of the Eisenstein series $E_s$ at $s = 1$ should be a constant function, depending upon the normalization of measures. Of course this presumes that the rest of the expression decomposing $\Psi_\varphi$ in terms of Eisenstein series is for some reason orthogonal to the constant functions. This is certainly not clear a priori.
6. Plancherel for the continuous spectrum

Ignoring constants for a moment, for \( f \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \), using the expression for \( \Psi_\varphi \) in terms of Eisenstein series,

\[
\langle \Psi_\varphi, f \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{t-s} \rangle \cdot E_s \, ds, \ f \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{t-s} \rangle \cdot \langle E_s, f \rangle \, ds
\]

This proves that \( f \to (s \to \langle f, E_s \rangle) \) is an inner-product-preserving map from the Hilbert-space span of the pseudo-Eisenstein series to \( L^2(\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}) \).

Functions \( u(t) = \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{\frac{1}{2} - it} \rangle \) satisfy

\[
u(-t) = \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_s \rangle = \langle \Psi_\varphi, c_s E_{1-s} \rangle = c_s \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{1-s} \rangle = c_s \cdot u(t)
\]

We claim that any \( u \in L^2(\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( u(-t) = c_s \cdot u(t) \) is in the image. First, claim that, for compactly-supported \( u \) satisfying \( u(-t) = c_s \cdot u(t) \)

\[
\Phi_u = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) \cdot E_{\frac{1}{2} + it} \, dt 
eq 0
\]

It suffices to show \( c_P \Phi_u \) is not 0. With \( s = \frac{1}{2} + it \), the relation implies \( u(-t)E_{1-s} = u(t)c_s \cdot E_{1-s} / c_s = u(t)E_s \). Then

\[
\Phi_u = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) \cdot E_s \, dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} + 0i}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) \cdot E_s \, dt
\]

The constant term of \( \Phi_u \) is

\[
c_P \Phi_u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} + 0i}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) \cdot (y^s + c_s y^{1-s}) \, dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} + 0i}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) y^{\frac{1}{2} + it} + u(-t) y^{\frac{1}{2} - it} \, dt = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) e^{it \log y} \, dt
\]

This Fourier transform does not vanish for non-vanishing \( u \).

Since the \( E_s \) integrate to 0 against cuspforms, an integral \( \Phi_u \) of them does, also. Thus, \( \Phi_u \) is in the topological closure of pseudo-Eisenstein series \( \Psi_\varphi \) with test-function data \( \varphi \). Thus, given \( u \), there is \( \varphi \) such that \( \langle \Psi_\varphi, \Phi_u \rangle \neq 0 \). Then

\[
0 \neq \langle \Psi_\varphi, \Phi_u \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} u(t) \cdot \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_{1-s} \rangle \, dt
\]

Thus, the functions \( s \to \langle \Psi_\varphi, E_s \rangle \) are dense in the space of \( L^2(\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}) \) functions \( u \) satisfying \( u(-t) = c_s \cdot u(t) \). Thus, there is an isometry

\[
\{ \text{cuspforms} \}^\perp \cap L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K \approx \{ u \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G / K) : u(-t) = c_s \cdot u(t) \}
\]