

Lecture 14 Synopsis

(4 Mar 2016)

The aim in this lecture was to develop ^(some) standard estimates for $\psi(x) - x$ and $\pi(x) - li(x)$ based on given zero-free regions for $\zeta(s)$. Ingham 60-67.

I began by recalling the Hadamard/Borel/Caratheodory lemma from Ingham 50.

I then turned to the development of Ingham's general estimate on $\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}$ for a given zero-free region $\sigma > 1 - \eta(|t|)$. See Ingham 60-62.

$$0 < \eta(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$\eta(t)$ decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, C^1

$$\eta'(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\eta(t) \geq \frac{1}{G \ln t} \text{ for } t \text{ large (} G = \text{big constant)}$$

⇓

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = O(\ln^2 |t|) \text{ on } \sigma > 1 - \eta(|t|)$$

for $|t|$ large and any $0 < \eta < 1$.

One can then use ($c > 1$) Lec 7 p. 10

$$\Psi_1(x) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \left[-\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right] ds$$

($x \geq 1$) and begin to do contour shifts over to the left, beyond $s=1$, using the Cauchy Residue Theorem. Here one wants to move the path of integration over to

$$\sigma = 1 - \epsilon \eta(|t|)$$

for a fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$. {due to 1 bottom}

Ingham 62(bottom) - 63 gets

$$\Psi_1(x) \approx \frac{x^2}{2} + O[x^2 e^{-\epsilon \omega(x)}], \quad \leftarrow \text{TMM 21}$$

$$\omega(x) \equiv \min_{t \geq e} [\eta(t) \ln x + \ln t]$$

↑ I prefer "e" over Ingham's "1"

The introduction of $\omega(x)$ is slightly "slick".
Classical estimates simply did "each $\eta(t)$ "

separately, using whatever technique was natural.

③

Concerning $w(x)$, I noted:

Lemma

Keep $x \geq 1$. Then:

(a) $w(x)$ strictly \uparrow

(b) $\ln x - w(x)$ strictly \uparrow

(c) $1 < w(x) < 1 + \ln x$, $x > 1$.

$$w(1) = 1 \text{ clearly}$$

PF

Let $1 \leq x_2 < x_1$. Let $w(x_2)$ "occur" for t_2 , $w(x_1)$ "occur" for t_1 . Get:

$$w(x_1) \leq \eta(\underline{t_2}) \ln x_1 + \ln \underline{t_2} \quad \text{a priori}$$

$$= \eta(t_2) \ln x_2 + \ln t_2$$

$$+ \eta(t_2) [\ln x_1 - \ln x_2]$$

$$\leq w(x_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \quad \text{see p. ①}$$

$$< w(x_2) + \ln x_1 - \ln x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow w(x_1) - \ln x_1 < w(x_2) - \ln x_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln x_1 - w(x_1) > \ln x_2 - w(x_2), \text{ i.e. (b).}$$

Similarly :

$$\omega(x_2) \leq \eta(t_1) \ln x_2 + \ln t_1 \quad \text{a priori}$$

$$< \eta(t_1) \ln x_1 + \ln t_1 = \omega(x_1)$$

$\Rightarrow \omega(x)$ strictly \uparrow , i.e. (a) .

And,

$\omega(1) = 1$ by def

but, $\omega(x) \uparrow$ strictly, so $\omega(x) > 1$ ($x > 1$)

and, $\ln x - \omega(x) \uparrow$ strictly, so $\ln x - \omega(x) > -1$ ($x > 1$)

IE, for $x > 1$,

$1 < \omega(x) < \ln x + 1$. This is (c) . \blacksquare

Theorem

Given $\eta(t)$ as above. For large x , we have

$\psi(x) = x + O[xe^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\omega(x)}]$

$\pi(x) = li(x) + O[xe^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\omega(x)}]$.

Here $0 < \alpha < 1$.

PF

Essentially like Ingham 64-65.

Keep $x \geq 1000$ say. Take $0 < h < \frac{x}{2}$. Know

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x \psi(u) du \leq \psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \psi(u) du$$

$$\frac{\psi_1(x) - \psi_1(x-h)}{h} \leq \psi(x) \leq \frac{\psi_1(x+h) - \psi_1(x)}{h}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{here } x-h > \frac{x}{2} \geq 500 \\ x+h < \frac{3}{2}x \end{array} \right\}$$

let's look at upper side first

$$\psi(x) \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2} [(x+h)^2 - x^2] + O[(x+h)^2 e^{-q\omega(x+h)}] + O[x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}]}{h}$$

 $\{ \omega(u) \text{ strictly } \uparrow \}$

$$\psi(x) \leq x + \frac{h}{2} + \frac{O[x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}] + O[x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}]}{h}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(x) \leq x + \frac{h}{2} + \frac{1}{h} O[x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}]$$

next, do lower side; get

(6)

$$\psi(x) \geq x - \frac{h}{2} - \frac{1}{h} \left[O(x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}) + O(x^2 e^{-q\omega(\frac{x}{2})}) \right]$$

$x-h > \frac{x}{2}$ and
 $w(u) \uparrow$ strictly

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ln u - w(u) &\uparrow \text{ strictly} \Rightarrow \\ \ln x - w(x) &> \ln \frac{x}{2} - w\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\ w\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) &> w(x) - \ln 2 \\ e^{-q\omega(\frac{x}{2})} &< 2^q e^{-q\omega(x)} < 2 e^{-q\omega(x)} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(x) \geq x - \frac{h}{2} - \frac{1}{h} O[x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}]$$

So,

$$\psi(x) = x + O(h) + O\left[\frac{1}{h} x^2 e^{-q\omega(x)}\right]$$

Put

$$h = \frac{x}{3} e^{-\frac{q}{2}\omega(x)}, \text{ say.}$$

(cf.

③ Lemma (c)

Get:

$$\psi(x) = x + O\left[xe^{-\frac{q}{2}w(x)}\right].$$

Recall Lec 1 p. (4) middle • Then define:

$$\Pi(x) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1(n)}{\ln n} \quad (x \geq 2)$$

Ingham p. 18

$$= \sum_{p^m \leq x} \frac{1}{m}$$

$$= \pi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\pi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{3}\pi(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) + \dots$$

Note that

$$x^{\frac{1}{m}} < 2 \quad \text{for } m = \left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{\ln x}{\ln 2} \right\rfloor + 10 \right\rfloor.$$

Lec 1 p. (5)

Get:

$$\Pi(x) = \int_c^x \frac{1}{\ln t} d\psi(t) \quad 1 < c < 2$$

$$= \left[\frac{\psi(t)}{\ln t} \right]_c^x - \int_c^x \psi(t) d\left(\frac{1}{\ln t}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\psi(x)}{\ln x} - 0 - \int_c^x \frac{\psi(t)(-1)}{(\ln t)^2} \frac{1}{t} dt$$

$$= \frac{\psi(x)}{\ln x} + \int_c^x \frac{\psi(t)}{t(\ln t)^2} dt \cdot$$

Let $c \rightarrow 2$ to get

$$\Pi(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{\ln x} + \int_2^x \frac{\psi(t)}{t(\ln t)^2} dt \cdot$$

Ingham
64 middle

of course, we also have

$$li(x) = \int_2^x \frac{1}{\ln u} du \quad \text{by } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{our} \\ \text{def} \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{compare} \\ \text{Ingham p.3} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \frac{x}{\ln x} - \frac{2}{\ln 2} - \int_2^x u d\left(\frac{1}{\ln u}\right)$$

$$= \frac{x}{\ln x} - \frac{2}{\ln 2} + \int_2^x \frac{u}{u(\ln u)^2} du \cdot$$

So,

$$\Pi(x) - li(x) = \frac{\psi(x) - x}{\ln x} + \frac{2}{\ln 2} + \int_2^x \frac{\psi(t) - t}{t(\ln t)^2} dt$$

which is a very useful identity, clearly.

We get:

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$$

(9)

$$|\Pi(x) - li(x)| \leq O(1) + \frac{O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}]}{\ln x}$$

by
(7) top

$$+ \int_2^x \frac{O[t e^{-\beta w(t)}]}{t(\ln t)^2} dt$$

{the implied constant
needs inflation for
small t }

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + O(1)$$

$$+ O(1) \int_2^x e^{-\beta w(t)} dt$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 < w(t) < 1 + \ln t \quad p. (3) \\ \hline x e^{-\beta w(x)} \geq x e^{-\beta(1 + \ln x)} \\ = e^{-\beta} x^{1-\beta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + O(1) \int_2^x e^{-\beta w(t)} dt$$

{ but $\ln u - w(u) \nearrow$ strictly, p. (3) } (10)

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + \int_2^x O(1) e^{\beta(\ln t - w(t))} \frac{dt}{t^\beta}$$

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + \int_2^x O(1) e^{\beta(\ln x - w(x))} \frac{dt}{t^\beta}$$

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + O(1) x^\beta e^{-\beta w(x)} \left[\frac{t^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \right]_2^x$$

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}] + O(1) x^\beta e^{-\beta w(x)} \frac{x^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}$$

$$\leq O[xe^{-\beta w(x)}]$$

$0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$

So,

$$\Pi(x) - li(x) = O[xe^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} w(x)}]$$

But

$$\Pi(x) - \pi(x) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \pi(x^{1/m}) \quad \text{see (7)}$$

$$= O\left[\frac{x^{1/2}}{\ln x}\right] + O[Mx^{1/3}]$$

$$M = \left\lceil \frac{\ln x}{\ln 2} \right\rceil + 10$$

$$= O\left[\frac{x^{1/2}}{\ln x}\right]$$

Hence, for large x ,

$$\pi(x) - I_1(x) = O\left[x e^{-\frac{x}{2} w(x)}\right]$$

{ noting (9) 2 lines from bottom } .



Example I

$$\eta(t) = \frac{1}{G \ln t}, \quad G \text{ big}, \quad t \geq e$$

in accordance with Lec 13, p. (11) Thm.

$$w(x) = \min_{t \geq e} \left\{ \frac{1}{G \ln t} \ln x + \ln t \right\} \quad (2)$$

Trivial calc problem with $u \geq 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{G} \frac{\ln x}{u} + u$$

Get

$$\min \approx 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln x}{G}} \quad (x \text{ large}) .$$

hence $\frac{\ln x}{G} \geq 1$

So, by Lec 13 p. (11) and p. (4) Thm above,

$$\psi(x) = x + O[xe^{-c\sqrt{\ln x}}]$$

$$\pi(x) = \text{li}(x) + O[xe^{-c\sqrt{\ln x}}]$$

for suitably small $c > 0$.

The estimates in the box are the famous classical estimates of de la Vallée Poussin ~ 1899 .

Example II

Assume the Riemann Hypothesis, i.e. $\text{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$ for all zeros of $\zeta_p(s)$.

Lec 13
p. (4)



Here $\eta(t) = 1/2$.

$$w(x) = \min_{t \geq e} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln x + \ln t \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \ln x + 1.$$

\uparrow (2)

In this situation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= x + O\left[x e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{1}{2} \ln x}\right] \\ &\approx x + O\left[x^{1-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\right] \\ &\approx x + O\left[x^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1-4\epsilon \\ \epsilon &= \frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi(x) = li(x) + O\left[x^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}\right]$$

WE EXPECT AN EXPONENT MORE LIKE $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, NOT $\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon$. (Under RH.)

To fix this, we must use a more refined technique. The idea on page (2) top is too crude! Not enough structure!!

Riemann recognized this fact. I.E., a need for a more explicit formula for $\psi_1(x)$.