## Math 5467 – Homework 2

## Instructions:

- Complete the problems below, and submit your solutions by uploading them to your shared Google form for HW2.
- If you use LaTeX to write up your solutions, upload them as a pdf file. Students who use LaTeX to write up their solutions will receive bonus points on the homework assignment.
- If you choose to write your solutions and scan them, please either use a real scanner, or use a smartphone app that allows scanning with you smartphone camera. It is not acceptable to submit images of your solutions, as these can be hard to read.

## **Problems:**

1. Consider the weighted PCA energy

$$E_w(L) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i ||x_i - \text{Proj}_L x_i||^2,$$

where  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m$  are nonnegative numbers (weights).

(i) Show that the weighted energy  $E_w$  is minimized over k-dimensional subspaces  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting

$$L = \operatorname{span}\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\},\$$

where  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  are the orthonormal eigenvectors of the covariance matrix

$$M_w = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i x_i x_i^T,$$

with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ , given in decreasing order.

(ii) Show that the weighted covariance matrix can also be expressed as

$$M_w = X^T W X,$$

where W is the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m$ , and

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_m \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

(iii) Show that the optimal energy is given by

$$E_w(L) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda_i.$$

(iv) Suppose we minimize  $E_w$  over affine spaces  $x_0 + L$ , so

$$E_w(x_0, L) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i ||x_i - x_0 - \operatorname{Proj}_L(x_i - x_0)||^2.$$

Show that an optimal choice for  $x_0$  is the weighted centroid

$$x_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m w_i}.$$

2. The k-means clustering algorithm is sensitive to outliers, since it uses the squared Euclidean distance. We consider the robust k-means energy

$$E_{robust}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min_{1 \le j \le k} \|x_i - c_j\|.$$
 (1)

The robust k-means algorithm attempts to minimize (1). We start with some randomized initial values for the means  $c_1^0, c_2^0, \ldots, c_k^0$ , and iterate the steps below until convergence.

(a) Update the clusters

$$\Omega_j^t = \left\{ x_i \, : \, \|x_i - c_j^t\| = \min_{1 \le \ell \le k} \|x_i - c_\ell^t\| \right\}.$$
(2)

(b) Update the cluster centers

$$c_j^{t+1} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{x \in \Omega_j^t} \|x - y\|.$$
(3)

Complete the following exercises.

- (i) Show that the Robust k-means algorithm descends on the energy  $E_{robust}$ .
- (ii) The cluster center (3) does not admit a closed form expression and is sometimes inconvenient to work with in practice. Consider changing the Euclidean norm in (1) to the  $\ell^1$ -norm  $||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)|$ , and define

$$E_{\ell^1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \min_{1 \le j \le k} \|x_i - c_j\|_1$$

Formulate both steps of the k-means algorithm so that it descends on  $E_{\ell^1}$ . Show that the cluster centers  $c_j^{t+1}$  are the coordinatewise medians of the points  $x \in \Omega_j^t$ , which are simple to compute.

- (iii) Can you think of any reasons why the Euclidean norm would be preferred over the  $\ell^1$  norm in the k-means energy?
- 3. We consider here the 2-means clustering algorithm in dimension n = 1. Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$  and recall the 2-means energy is

$$E(c_1, c_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \min\left\{ (x_i - c_1)^2, (x_i - c_2)^2 \right\}.$$

Throughout the question we assume that the  $x_i$  are ordered so that

$$x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_m.$$

For  $1 \le j \le m - 1$  we define

$$\mu^{-}(j) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_i, \quad \mu^{+}(j) = \frac{1}{m-j} \sum_{i=j+1}^{m} x_i,$$

and

$$F(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} (x_i - \mu^{-}(j))^2 + \sum_{i=j+1}^{m} (x_i - \mu^{+}(j))^2.$$

- (i) Explain how F(j) differs from the 2-means energy  $E(c_1, c_2)$ , and why minimizing F(j) over  $j = 1, \ldots, m-1$  and setting  $c_1 = \mu_-(j_*)$  and  $c_2 = \mu^+(j_*)$  will give a solution at least as good as the 2-means algorithm (here,  $j_*$  is a minimizer of F(j)).
- (ii) By (i) we can replace the 2-means problem with minimizing F(j). We will now show how to do this efficiently. In this part, show that

$$F(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 - j\mu^{-}(j)^2 - (m-j)\mu^{+}(j)^2.$$

Thus, minimizing F(j) is equivalent to maximizing

$$G(j) = j\mu^{-}(j)^{2} + (m-j)\mu^{+}(j)^{2}.$$

(iii) Show that we can maximize G (i.e., find  $j_*$  with  $G(j) \leq G(j_*)$  for all j) in  $O(m \log m)$  computations. Hint: First show that

$$\mu^{-}(j+1) = \frac{j}{j+1}\mu^{-}(j) + \frac{x_{j+1}}{j+1},$$

and

$$\mu^+(j+1) = \frac{m-j}{m-j-1}\mu^+(j) - \frac{x_{j+1}}{m-j-1}$$

and explain how these formulas allow you to compute all the values  $G(1), G(2), \ldots, G(m-1)$  recursively in  $O(m \log m)$  operations, at which point the maximum is found by brute force.

(iv) [Challenge] Implement the method described in the previous three parts in Python. Test it out on some synthetic 1D data. For example, you can try a mixture of two Gaussians with different means. This part of the homework is optional.