## MATH 8385 – HOMEWORK 2A (DUE FRIDAY NOVEMBER 22)

Let  $u \in H^1(U)$  be a weak solution of

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (a^{ij} u_{x_i}) = 0 \quad \text{in } U_{x_i}$$

That is, for every  $v \in H_0^1(U)$  we have

$$\int_U \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} \, dx = 0$$

Assume the  $a^{ij}: U \to \mathbb{R}$  are bounded and measurable, and satisfy the ellipticity condition

$$\theta|\eta|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x)\eta_i\eta_j \le \Theta|\eta|^2 \qquad (\forall x \in U, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $0 < \theta \leq \Theta$ . In this homework, you will show that for n = 2 we have  $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\gamma}(U)$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ . This is the interior version of the de Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory.

- 1. Let  $x_0 \in U$  and r > 0 such that  $B(x_0, 2r) \subset U$ .
  - (a) Show that there exists a constant C > 0, depending only on  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ , such that

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} |Du|^2 \, dx \le \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B(x_0,2r)\setminus B(x_0,r)} |u-a|^2 \, dx, \tag{0.1}$$

where a is any real number. [Hint: Let  $\zeta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a smooth cutoff function satisfying  $\zeta \equiv 1$  on  $B(x_0, r)$ ,  $\zeta \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r)$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ , and  $|D\zeta| \leq \frac{2}{r}$ . Substitute  $v = (u - a)\zeta^2$  into the definition of weak solution.]

(b) Verify the Poincaré inequality

$$\int_{B(x_0,2r)\setminus B(x_0,r)} |u-a|^2 \, dx \le Cr^2 \int_{B(x_0,2r)\setminus B(x_0,r)} |Du|^2 \, dx$$

holds for

$$a = \oint_{B(x_0,2r)\setminus B(x_0,r)} u\,dx.$$

(c) Combine parts (a) and (b) to deduce

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} |Du|^2 \, dx \le \frac{C}{C+1} \int_{B(x_0,2r)} |Du|^2 \, dx,$$

where C > 0 depends only on  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ . [Hint: After applying Poincaré's inequality, add  $C \int_{B(x_0,r)} |Du|^2 dx$  to both sides the equation. This is known as the "hole-filling" trick.]

2. Define

$$\varphi(r) := \int_{B(x_0,r)} |Du|^2 \, dx.$$

By Part 1, there exists  $0 < \eta < 1$ , depending only on  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ , such that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \le \eta\varphi(r) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < r_0,$$
 (0.2)

where  $r_0 = \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial U)$ .

(a) Show that there exists  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , depending only on  $\eta$ , such that

$$\varphi(r) \le \frac{\varphi(r_0)}{\eta} \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda} \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < r_0.$$
 (0.3)

(b) Use (a) and Poincaré's inequality for a ball to show that

$$\oint_{B(x_0,r)} |u - (u)_{x_0,r}|^2 \, dx \le Cr^{\lambda + 2 - n} \tag{0.4}$$

for all  $0 < r < r_0$ , where C depends on  $\theta$ ,  $\Theta$ , and  $r_0 = \text{dist}(x_0, \partial U)$ . Recall  $(u)_{x_0,r} = \int_{B(x_0,r)} u \, dx$ .

- 3. Assume n = 2. Use Part 2 to prove that  $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\gamma}(U)$  for  $\gamma = \lambda/2$ . This establishes the local Hölder continuity portion of the de Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory in dimension n = 2. **Hint:** Follow the steps below.
  - (a) Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define

$$U_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in U : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U) > \varepsilon \}.$$

Show that for any  $x_0 \in U_{\varepsilon}$  and  $0 < s < t < \varepsilon$ 

$$s^{2}|(u)_{x_{0},s}-(u)_{x_{0},t}|^{2} \leq C(s^{\lambda+2}+t^{\lambda+2}).$$

(b) Let  $x_0 \in U_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $r < \varepsilon$ , and define  $r_j = r2^{-j}$  and  $a_j = (u)_{x_0, r_j}$ . Use part (a) to show that

$$|u(x_0) - (u)_{x_0,r}| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_{j+1} - a_j| \le Cr^{\gamma},$$

for almost every  $x_0 \in U_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\gamma = \lambda/2$ .

- (c) Conclude from part (b) that  $u \in C(\overline{U_{\varepsilon}})$  (provided we identify u with its continuous version). [Hint:  $(u)_{x,r}$  is a continuous function of x for every r > 0.]
- (d) Show that  $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{U_{\varepsilon}})$ . [Hint: Let  $x, y \in U_{\varepsilon}$  with  $r := |x y| < \varepsilon$ . Write

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le |u(x) - (u)_{x,r}| + |(u)_{x,r} - (u)_{y,r}| + |u(y) - (u)_{y,r}|.$$

Estimate the 1st and 3rd terms with part (b). For the second term, mimic the argument used at the end of the proof of Morrey's inequality (Theorem 4 in Evans Section 5.6.2).]