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## Abstract

Second-order conformal quantum superintegrable systems in 2 dimensions are Laplace equations with scalar potential and 3 independent 2nd order conformal symmetry operators. They encode all the information about 2D Helmholtz superintegrable systems in an efficient manner: Each of these systems admits a quadratic symmetry algebra (not usually a Lie algebra) and is multiseparable. The separation equations comprise all of the various types of hypergeometric and Heun equations in full generality. In particular, they yield all of the 1D Schrödinger exactly solvable (ES) and quasi-exactly solvable (QES) systems related to the Heun operator.

The separable solutions of these equations are the special functions of mathematical physics. The different systems are related by Stäckel transforms, by the symmetry algebras and by Bôcher contractions of the conformal algebra so( $4, \mathbb{C}$ ) to itself, which enables all systems to be derived from a single one: the generic potential on the complex 2-sphere.

Distinct separable bases for a single Laplace system are related by interbasis expansion coefficients which are themselves special functions, such as the Wilson polynomials. This approach facilitates a unified view of special function theory, incorporating hypergeometric and Heun functions in full generality.
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## Purpose

The purpose of this talk is to make clear how superintegrable systems theory unifies and simplifies the study of the special functions of mathematical physics, hypergeometric and Heun equations, and exactly solvable and quasi-exactly solvable systems. We consider here one of the simplest classes of such systems: 2nd order superintegrable systems in 2 complex variables.

This is an integrable Hamiltonian system on an 2-dimensional manifold with potential:
that admits 3 algebraically independent 2nd order partial differential operators $L_{1}, L_{2}, H$ commuting with $H$, the maximum possible,


Here $[A, B]=A B-B A$ is the operator commutator.
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The purpose of this talk is to make clear how superintegrable systems theory unifies and simplifies the study of the special functions of mathematical physics, hypergeometric and Heun equations, and exactly solvable and quasi-exactly solvable systems. We consider here one of the simplest classes of such systems: 2nd order superintegrable systems in 2 complex variables.

This is an integrable Hamiltonian system on an 2-dimensional manifold with potential:

$$
H=\Delta_{2}+V,
$$

that admits 3 algebraically independent 2nd order partial differential operators $L_{1}, L_{2}, H$ commuting with $H$, the maximum possible,

$$
\left[H, L_{j}\right]=0, \quad j=1,2 .
$$

Here $[A, B]=A B-B A$ is the operator commutator.

Superintegrability captures the properties of quantum Hamiltonian systems that allow the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem $H \Psi=E \Psi$ to be solved exactly, analytically and algebraically.

The 2nd order 2D systems have been classified. There are 44 nondegenerate (3 linear parameter potential) systems, on a variety of manifolds,

Under the Stäckel transform, an invertible structure preserving mapping, they divide into 6 equivalence classes with representatives on flat space and the 2-sphere.

There is a similar number of degenerate (1 parameter potential) systems that divide into 6 equivalence classes.
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Under the Stäckel transform, an invertible structure preserving mapping, they divide into 6 equivalence classes with representatives on flat space and the 2-sphere.

There is a similar number of degenerate (1 parameter potential) systems that divide into 6 equivalence classes.

## Properties of 2nd order superintegrable systems with potential

- The symmetry operators of each system close under commutation to generate a quadratic algebra, and the irreducible representations of this algebra determine the eigenvalues of $H$ and their multiplicity
- 2nd order superintegrable systems are multiseparable.
- Smorodinsky, Winternitz and collaborators inaugurated this study in 1965 by pointing out the multiseparability of systems such as the Smorodinsky-Winternitz system
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- The symmetry operators of each system close under commutation to generate a quadratic algebra, and the irreducible representations of this algebra determine the eigenvalues of $H$ and their multiplicity
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$$
H=\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}+\alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{\beta}{x^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{y^{2}} .
$$

## Example: S9-a nondegenerate system

$$
H=J_{1}^{2}+J_{2}^{2}+J_{3}^{2}+\frac{a_{1}}{s_{1}^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{s_{2}^{2}}+\frac{a_{3}}{s_{3}^{2}}
$$

where $J_{3}=s_{1} \partial_{s_{2}}-s_{2} \partial_{s_{1}}$ and $J_{2}, J_{3}$ are obtained by cyclic permutations of indices.
Basis symmetries: $\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+s_{3}^{2}=1\right)$

$$
L_{1}=J_{1}^{2}+\frac{a_{3} s_{2}^{2}}{s_{3}^{2}}+\frac{a_{2} s_{3}^{2}}{s_{2}^{2}}, L_{2}=J_{2}^{2}+\frac{a_{1} s_{3}^{2}}{s_{1}^{2}}+\frac{a_{3} s_{1}^{2}}{s_{3}^{2}}, L_{3}=J_{3}^{2}+\frac{a_{2} s_{1}^{2}}{s_{2}^{2}}+\frac{a_{1} s_{2}^{2}}{s_{1}^{2}}
$$
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$$
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## Structure equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[L_{i}, R\right]=4\left\{L_{i}, L_{k}\right\}-4\left\{L_{i}, L_{j}\right\}-\left(8+16 a_{j}\right) L_{j}+\left(8+16 a_{k}\right) L_{k}+8\left(a_{j}-a_{k}\right),} \\
R^{2}=\frac{8}{3}\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}\right\}-\left(16 a_{1}+12\right) L_{1}^{2}-\left(16 a_{2}+12\right) L_{2}^{2}-\left(16 a_{3}+12\right) L_{3}^{2}+ \\
\frac{52}{3}\left(\left\{L_{1}, L_{2}\right\}+\left\{L_{2}, L_{3}\right\}+\left\{L_{3}, L_{1}\right\}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(16+176 a_{1}\right) L_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(16+176 a_{2}\right) L_{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(16+176 a_{3}\right) L_{3} \\
+\frac{32}{3}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}\right)+48\left(a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}+a_{3} a_{1}\right)+64 a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}, \quad R=\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $H=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+a-1+a_{2}+a_{3}$.

## Example: Higgs oscillator - a degenerate system

It is the same as $S 9$ with $a_{1}=a_{2}=0, a_{3}=a$, but admits additional symmetry. Basis symmetries:

$$
X=J_{3}, \quad L_{1}=J_{1}^{2}+\frac{a s_{2}^{2}}{s_{3}^{2}}, \quad L_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{1} J_{2}+J_{2} J_{1}\right)-\frac{a s_{1} s_{2}}{s_{3}^{2}} .
$$



## Example: Higgs oscillator - a degenerate system

It is the same as $S 9$ with $a_{1}=a_{2}=0, a_{3}=a$, but admits additional symmetry. Basis symmetries:

$$
X=J_{3}, \quad L_{1}=J_{1}^{2}+\frac{a s_{2}^{2}}{s_{3}^{2}}, \quad L_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{1} J_{2}+J_{2} J_{1}\right)-\frac{a s_{1} s_{2}}{s_{3}^{2}} .
$$

## Structure equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[L_{1}, X\right]=2 L_{2}, \quad\left[L_{2}, X\right]=-X^{2}-2 L_{1}+H-a,} \\
{\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right]=-\left(L_{1} X+X L_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2 a\right) X, \quad R=\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right]} \\
0=\left\{L_{1}, X^{2}\right\}+2 L_{1}^{2}+2 L_{2}^{2}-2 L_{1} H+\frac{5+4 a}{2} X^{2}-2 a L_{1}-a .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Nondegenerate flat space systems: $\mu \psi=\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\right) \psi=E \psi$.

(1) E1: $V=\alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{\beta}{x^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{y^{2}}$,
(2) $E 2: V=\alpha\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\beta x+\frac{\gamma}{y^{2}}$,
(3) $E 3^{\prime}: V=\alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\beta \boldsymbol{x}+\gamma \boldsymbol{y}$,
(4) E7: $V=\frac{\alpha(x+i y)}{\sqrt{(x+i y)^{2}-b}}+\frac{\beta(x-i y)}{\sqrt{(x+i y)^{2}-b}\left(x+i y+\sqrt{(x+i y)^{2}-b}\right)^{2}}+\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$,
(6) E8 $V=\frac{\alpha(x-i y)}{(x+i y)^{3}}+\frac{\beta}{(x+i y)^{2}}+\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$,
(6) E9: $V=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x+i y}}+\beta y+\frac{\gamma(x+2 i y)}{\sqrt{x+i y}}$,
(3) E10: $V=\alpha(x-i y)+\beta\left(x+i y-\frac{3}{2}(x-i y)^{2}\right)+\gamma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(x-i y)^{3}\right)$,
(8) E11: $V=\alpha(x-i y)+\frac{\beta(x-i y)}{\sqrt{x+i y}}+\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{x+i y}}$,
(2) E15: $V=f(x-i y)$,
(10) E16: $V=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\left(\alpha+\frac{\beta}{y+\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+\frac{\gamma}{y-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)$,
(a) E17: $V=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+\frac{\beta}{(x+i y)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{(x+i y) \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}$,
(13) E19: $V=\frac{\alpha(x+i y)}{\sqrt{(x+i y)^{2}-4}}+\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(x-i y)(x+i y+2)}}+\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(x-i y)(x+i y-2)}}$.
(3) E20: $V=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\left(\alpha+\beta \sqrt{x+\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+\gamma \sqrt{x-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)$,

## Nondegenerate systems on the complex 2-sphere:

$H \Psi=\left(J_{23}^{2}+J_{13}^{2}+J_{12}^{2}+V\right) \Psi=E \Psi, \quad J_{k \ell}=s_{k} \partial_{s_{\ell}}-s_{\ell} \partial_{s_{k}}, \quad s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+s_{3}^{2}=1$.

Here,
(1) S1:V $=\frac{\alpha}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta s_{3}}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma\left(1-4 s_{3}^{2}\right)}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{4}}$,
(2) S2: $V=\frac{\alpha}{s_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma\left(s_{1}-i s_{2}\right)}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{3}}$,
(3) S4: $V=\frac{\alpha}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta s_{3}}{\sqrt{s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}}+\frac{\gamma}{\left(s_{1}+i s_{2}\right) \sqrt{s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}}$,
(1) S7: $V=\frac{\alpha s_{3}}{\sqrt{s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}}+\frac{\beta s_{1}}{s_{2}^{2} \sqrt{s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}}}+\frac{\gamma}{s_{2}^{2}}$,
(6) S8: $V=\frac{\alpha s_{2}}{\sqrt{s_{1}^{2}+s_{3}^{2}}}+\frac{\beta\left(s_{2}+i s_{1}+s_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(s_{2}+i s_{1}\right)\left(s_{3}+i i_{1}\right)}}+\frac{\gamma\left(s_{2}+i s_{1}-s_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(s_{2}+i s_{1}\right)\left(s_{3}-i s_{1}\right)}}$,
(6) S9: $V=\frac{\alpha}{s_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{s_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{s_{3}^{2}}$,

Darboux 1 systems: $H \Psi=\left(\frac{1}{4 x}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V\right) \Psi=E \Psi$. (Winternitz et. al., 2002)
(1) $D 1 A: V=\frac{b_{1}(2 x-2 b+i y)}{x \sqrt{x-b+i y}}+\frac{b_{2}}{x \sqrt{x-b+i y}}+\frac{b_{3}}{x}+b_{4}$,
(2) $D 1 B: V=\frac{b_{1}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x}+\frac{b_{2}}{x}+\frac{b_{3}}{x y^{2}}+b_{4}$,
(3) $D 1 C V=\frac{b_{1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x}+\frac{b_{2}}{x}+\frac{b_{3} y}{x}+b_{4}$.

Darboux 2 systems: $H \Psi=\left(\frac{x^{2}}{x^{2}+1}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V\right) \Psi=E \Psi$.
(1) D2A: $V=\frac{x^{2}}{x^{2}+1}\left(b_{1}\left(x^{2}+4 y^{2}\right)+\frac{b_{2}}{x^{2}}+b_{3} y\right)+b_{4}$.
(2) $D 2 B: V=\frac{x^{2}}{x^{2}+1}\left(b_{1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{b_{2}}{x^{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{y^{2}}\right)+b_{4}$,
(3) $D 2 C: V=\frac{x^{2}}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}\left(x^{2}+1\right)}}\left(b_{1}+\frac{b_{2}}{y+\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+\frac{b_{3}}{y-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)+b_{4}$,

Darboux 3 systems: $H \Psi=\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2 x}}{e^{x}+1}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V\right) \Psi=E \psi$.
(1) D3A: $V=\frac{b_{1}}{1+e^{x}}+\frac{b_{2} e^{x}}{\sqrt{1+2 e^{x+i}}\left(1+e^{x}\right)}+\frac{b_{3} e^{x+i}}{\sqrt{1+2 e^{x+i}}\left(1+e^{x}\right)}+b_{4}$,
(2) D3B: $V=\frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}+1}\left(b_{1}+e^{-\frac{x}{2}}\left(b_{2} \cos \frac{y}{2}+b_{3} \sin \frac{y}{2}\right)\right)+b_{4}$,
(3) D3C: $V\left(=\frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}+1}\left(b_{1}+e^{x}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{y}{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{y}{2}}\right)\right)+b_{4}\right.$,
(9) $D 3 D: V=\frac{e^{2 x}}{1+e^{x}}\left(b_{1} e^{-i y}+b_{2} e^{-2 i y}\right)+\frac{b_{3}}{1+e^{x}}+b_{4}$.

Darboux 4 systems: $H \Psi=\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 x}{2 \cos 2 x+b}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V\right) \Psi=E \Psi$.
(1) $D 4(b) A: V=\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 x}{2 \cos 2 x+b}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{\sinh ^{2} y}+\frac{b_{2}}{\sin ^{2} 2 y}\right)+\frac{b_{3}}{2 \cos 2 x+b}+b_{4}$,
(2) $D 4(b) B: V=\frac{\sin ^{2} 2 x}{2 \cos 2 x+b}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{\sin ^{2} 2 x}+b_{2} e^{4 y}+b_{3} e^{2 y}\right)+b_{4}$.
(3) $D 4(b) C: V=\frac{e^{2 y}}{\frac{b+2}{\sin ^{2} x}+\frac{b-2}{\cos ^{2} x}}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{z+\left(1-e^{2 y}\right) \sqrt{Z}}+\frac{b_{2}}{z+\left(1+e^{2 y}\right) \sqrt{Z}}+\frac{b_{3} e^{-2 y}}{\cos ^{2} x}\right)+b_{4}$.

## Generic Koenigs spaces:

(1) $K[1,1,1,1]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \psi=E \Psi$,

$$
V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{y^{2}}+\frac{4 a_{3}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{4 a_{4}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right)^{2}},
$$

(2) $K[2,1,1]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \Psi=E \psi$,
$V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{y^{2}}-a_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+a_{4}$,
(3) $K[2,2]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \psi=E \Psi$,
$V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\frac{a_{1}}{(x+i y)^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}(x-i y)}{(x+i y)^{3}}+a_{3}-a_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$,

## Generic Koenigs spaces:

(1) $K[3,1]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \psi=E \Psi$,
$V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=a_{1}-a_{2} x+a_{3}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{a_{4}}{y^{2}}$,
(2) $K[4]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \psi=E \Psi$,
$V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=$
$a_{1}-a_{2}(x+i y)+a_{3}\left(3(x+i y)^{2}+2(x-i y)\right)-a_{4}\left(4\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+2(x+i y)^{3}\right)$,
(3) $K[0]: H \Psi=\frac{1}{V\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)\right) \Psi=E \Psi$,
$V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=a_{1}-\left(a_{2} x+a_{3} y\right)+a_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$,

## Laplace systems 1

All these systems can be treated more conveniently as Laplace equations. Since every 2D manifold is conformally flat, there always exist "Cartesian-like" coordinates $x, y$ such that $H=\frac{1}{\lambda(x, y)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V(x, y)$.

Thus the Helmholtz equation $H \Psi=E \Psi$ on some conformally flat space is equivalent to the Laplace equation (with potential)

on flat space, where $\tilde{V}=\lambda(V-E)$, so the eigenvalue $E$ has been
incorporated as a parameter in the new potential.
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All these systems can be treated more conveniently as Laplace equations. Since every 2D manifold is conformally flat, there always exist "Cartesian-like" coordinates $x, y$ such that $H=\frac{1}{\lambda(x, y)}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}\right)+V(x, y)$.
Thus the Helmholtz equation $H \Psi=E \Psi$ on some conformally flat space is equivalent to the Laplace equation (with potential)

$$
\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+\tilde{V}(x, y)\right) \Psi=0
$$

on flat space, where $\tilde{V}=\lambda(V-E)$, so the eigenvalue $E$ has been incorporated as a parameter in the new potential.

## Laplace systems 2

More generally, we consider Laplace systems of the form

$$
H \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv\left(\Delta_{2}+V(\mathbf{x})\right) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=0
$$

where $\Delta_{2}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a $2 D$ Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold. All variables can be complex. A conformal symmetry of this equation is a partial differential operator $L$ such that $[L, H] \equiv L H-H L=R_{L} H$ for some differential operator $R_{L}$. A conformal symmetry maps any solution $\Psi$ to another solution. Two conformal symmetries $L, L^{\prime}$ are identified if $L=L^{\prime}+S H$ for some differential operator $S$, since they agree on the solution space.


## Laplace systems 2

More generally, we consider Laplace systems of the form

$$
H \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv\left(\Delta_{2}+V(\mathbf{x})\right) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=0
$$

where $\Delta_{2}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a $2 D$ Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold. All variables can be complex. A conformal symmetry of this equation is a partial differential operator $L$ such that $[L, H] \equiv L H-H L=R_{L} H$ for some differential operator $R_{L}$. A conformal symmetry maps any solution $\Psi$ to another solution. Two conformal symmetries $L, L^{\prime}$ are identified if $L=L^{\prime}+S H$ for some differential operator $S$, since they agree on the solution space.
The system is conformally superintegrable if there exist three algebraically independent conformal symmetries, $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ with $L_{3}=H$. It is second order conformally superintegrable if each $L_{2}$ can be chosen to be a 2nd order differential operator, and $L_{1}$ of at most 2nd order.

## Laplace systems and Stäckel transform

The mapping of a Helmholtz superintegrable system $H \Psi=E \Psi$ to the Laplace equation preserves superintegrability, i. e., the Laplace system is conformally superintegrable.

> Suppose we have a second order conformal superintegrable system
> where $V(x, y)=W(x, y)-E U(x, y)$ for arbitrary parameter $E$. The potential $U$ defines a conformal Stäckel transform to the (Helmholtz) system

where $\tilde{V}=\frac{W}{U}$. and this Helmholtz system is superintegrable.
There is a similar definition of Stäckel transforms of Helmholtz superintegrable systems $H \Psi=E \Psi$ which take superintegrable systems to superintegrable systems, essentially preserving the quadratic algebra structure. Thus any second order conformal Laplace superintegrable system admitting a nonconstant potential $U$ can be Stäckel transformed to a Helmholtz superintegrable system.

## Laplace systems and Stäckel transform

The mapping of a Helmholtz superintegrable system $H \Psi=E \Psi$ to the Laplace equation preserves superintegrability, i. e., the Laplace system is conformally superintegrable.
Suppose we have a second order conformal superintegrable system

$$
H=\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}+V(x, y)=0, \quad H=H_{0}+V
$$

where $V(x, y)=W(x, y)-E U(x, y)$ for arbitrary parameter $E$. The potential $U$ defines a conformal Stäckel transform to the (Helmholtz) system

$$
\tilde{H} \Psi=E \Psi, \quad \tilde{H}=\frac{1}{U}\left(\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}\right)+\tilde{V}
$$

where $\tilde{V}=\frac{W}{U}$. and this Helmholtz system is superintegrable.
There is a similar definition of Stäckel transforms of Helmholtz superintegrable systems $H \Psi=E \Psi$ which take superintegrable systems to superintegrable systems, essentially preserving the quadratic algebra structure. Thus any second order conformal Laplace superintegrable system admitting a nonconstant potential U can be Stäckel transformed to a Helmholtz superintegrable system.

## Laplace systems and Stäckel transform

The mapping of a Helmholtz superintegrable system $H \Psi=E \Psi$ to the Laplace equation preserves superintegrability, i. e., the Laplace system is conformally superintegrable.
Suppose we have a second order conformal superintegrable system

$$
H=\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}+V(x, y)=0, \quad H=H_{0}+V
$$

where $V(x, y)=W(x, y)-E U(x, y)$ for arbitrary parameter $E$. The potential $U$ defines a conformal Stäckel transform to the (Helmholtz) system

$$
\tilde{H} \Psi=E \Psi, \quad \tilde{H}=\frac{1}{U}\left(\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}\right)+\tilde{V}
$$

where $\tilde{V}=\frac{W}{U}$. and this Helmholtz system is superintegrable.
There is a similar definition of Stäckel transforms of Helmholtz superintegrable systems $H \Psi=E \Psi$ which take superintegrable systems to superintegrable systems, essentially preserving the quadratic algebra structure. Thus any second order conformal Laplace superintegrable system admitting a nonconstant potential $U$ can be Stäckel transformed to a Helmholtz superintegrable system.

| Laplace System | Non-degenerate potentials <br> $V(x, y)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[1111]$ | $\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{y^{2}}+\frac{4 a_{3}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{4 a_{4}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right)^{2}}$ |
| $[211]$ | $\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{y^{2}}-a_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+a_{4}$ |
| $[22]$ | $\frac{a_{1}}{(x+i y)^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}(x-i y)}{(x+i y)^{3}}+a_{3}-a_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ |
| $[31]$ | $a_{1}-a_{2} x+a_{3}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{a_{4}}{y^{2}}$ |
| $[4]$ | $a_{1}-a_{2}(x+i y)+a_{3}\left(3(x+i y)^{2}+2(x-i y)\right)-a_{4}\left(4\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+2(x+i y)^{3}\right)$ |
| $[0]$ | $a_{1}-\left(a_{2} x+a_{3} y\right)+a_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ |

Each of the Helmholtz nondegenerate superintegrable (i.e. 3-parameter) eigenvalue systems is Stäckel equivalent to exactly one of these Laplace systems $H \Psi \equiv\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V(x, y)\right) \Psi=0$

| System | Degenerate potentials <br> $V(x, y)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $\frac{4 a_{3}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{4 a_{4}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right)^{2}}$ |
| $B$ | $\frac{a_{1}}{x^{2}}+a_{4}$ |
| $C$ | $a_{3}-a_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ |
| $D$ | $a_{1}-a_{2} x$ |
| $E$ | $\frac{a_{1}}{(x+i y)^{2}}+a_{3}$ |
| $F$ | $a_{1}-a_{2}(x+i y)$ |

Table : Each of the Helmholtz degenerate superintegrable (i.e. 1-parameter) eigenvalue systems is Stäckel equivalent to exactly one of these Laplace systems $H \Psi \equiv\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}+V(x, y)\right) \Psi=0$

## Böcher contractions

All Laplace conformally superintegrable systems can be obtained as limits of the basic system [1111]. The conformal symmetry algebra of the underlying flat space free Laplace equation is $s o(4, \mathbb{C})$, and these limits are described by Lie algebra contractions of this conformal algebra to itself, which can be classified. We call these Bôcher contractions since they are motivated by ideas of Bôcher,(1894), who used similar limits to construct separable coordinates of free Laplace, wave and Helmholtz equations from basic cyclidic coordinates.

## Böcher contractions

All Laplace conformally superintegrable systems can be obtained as limits of the basic system [1111]. The conformal symmetry algebra of the underlying flat space free Laplace equation is so $(4, \mathbb{C})$, and these limits are described by Lie algebra contractions of this conformal algebra to itself, which can be classified. We call these Bôcher contractions since they are motivated by ideas of Bôcher,(1894), who used similar limits to construct separable coordinates of free Laplace, wave and Helmholtz equations from basic cyclidic coordinates.

There are 4 basic Bôcher contractions of 2d Laplace systems and each one when applied to a Laplace system yields another Laplace superintegrable system. These in turn induce contractions of the Helmholtz systems in each equivalence class to Helmholtz systems in other classes, over 200 contractions in all. However, we can summarize the basic results for Laplace systems in Figures 1 and 2. A system can be obtained from another superintegrable system via contraction provided it is connected to the other system by directed arrows.


Figure : Contractions of nondegenerate Laplace systems


Figure : Contractions of degenerate Laplace systems

## Multiseparability of Laplace equations

The last crucial bit of information about these Laplace and associated Helmholtz superintegrable systems is that they are multiseparable, Each family of separated solutions is characterized as the family of eigenfunctions of a 2 nd order symmetry operator. Each family determines an eigenbasis of separated solutions of the 2D superintegrable system. An eigenbasis of one family can be expanded in terms of a eigenbasis for another family and the quadratic structure algebras help to derive the expansion coefficients.

## Multiseparability of Laplace equations

The last crucial bit of information about these Laplace and associated Helmholtz superintegrable systems is that they are multiseparable, Each family of separated solutions is characterized as the family of eigenfunctions of a 2nd order symmetry operator. Each family determines an eigenbasis of separated solutions of the 2D superintegrable system. An eigenbasis of one family can be expanded in terms of a eigenbasis for another family and the quadratic structure algebras help to derive the expansion coefficients.
The compete list of separation equations follows.. (The notation (2) means that the separation equations for the corresponding coordinates are both of the same, except that the separation constant occurs as $c$ in one equation and $-c$ in the other.)

Non-degenerate Systems
System
Separable coordinates and separation equations


| Spherical |
| :---: |
| Hypergeometric eqn. (2) |


| Ellipsoidal |
| :---: |
| Heun eqn. (2) |

Cartesian
Confluent
geometric eqn. (2)

| Polar |
| :---: |
| Confluent hypergeometric eqn. <br> Hypergeometric eqn. |

## Elliptic

Confluent Heun eqn. (2)

Confluent hypergeometric eqn. (2)

## Hyperbolic

Double-confluent Heun eqn. (2)
Cartesian
Confluent hypergeometric eqn.
Parabolic cylinder eqn.

## Parabolic

Bi-confluent Heun eqn. (2)

## Semi-hyperbolic

Tri-confluent Heun eqn. (2)

## Cartesian

Parabolic cylinder eqn. (2)

Figure : Separation equations for nondegenerate Laplace systems

Degenerate Systems
Separable coordinates and separation equations


Figure : Separation equations for degenerate Laplace systems

## Hypergeometric type separation equations

(1) Hypergeometric equation: $z(1-z) \frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+(c-(a+b+1) z) \frac{d w}{d z}-a b w=0$.
(2) Confluent hypergeometric equation: $z \frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+(b-z) \frac{d w}{d z}-a w=0$.
(3) Parabolic cylinder equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+\left(a z^{2}+b z+c\right) w=0$.
(9) Gegenbauer equation: $\left(1-z^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}-2(\mu+1) z \frac{d w}{d z}+(\nu-\mu)(\nu+\mu+1) w=0$.
(5) Bessel's equation: $z^{2} \frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+z \frac{d w}{d z}+\left(z^{2}-\nu^{2}\right) w=0$.
(6) Airy's equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}-z w=0$.

## Heun separation equations

(1) Heun equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{z}+\frac{\delta}{z-1}+\frac{\epsilon}{z-a}\right) \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{\alpha \beta z-q}{z(z-1)(z-a)} w=0$,

$$
\alpha+\beta+1=\gamma+\delta+\epsilon .
$$

(2) Confluent Heun equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{z}+\frac{\delta}{z-1}+\epsilon\right) \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{\alpha z-q}{z(z-1)} w=0$.
(3) Doubly-confluent Heun equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+\left(\frac{\delta}{z^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{z}+1\right) \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{\alpha z-q}{z^{2}} w=0$.
(9) Biconfluent Heun equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}-\left(\frac{\gamma}{z}+\delta+z\right) \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{\alpha z-q}{z} w=0$.
(5) Triconfluent Heun equation: $\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}+(\gamma+z) z \frac{d w}{d z}+(\alpha z-q) w=0$.
(6) Spheroidal wave equation:
$\left.\frac{d}{d z}\left(1-z^{2}\right) \frac{d w}{d z}\right)+\left(\lambda+\gamma^{2}\left(1-z^{2}\right)-\frac{\mu^{2}}{1-z^{2}}\right) w=0$.

## Special functions and Bôcher contractions

Special functions associated with these systems arise in two distinct ways:

- As separable eigenfunctions of the quantum Hamiltonian. Second order superintegrable systems are multiseparable.
- As interbasis expansion coefficients relating distinct separable coordinate eigenbases. These are often solutions of difference equations.
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Most of the special functions in the DLMF appear one of these ways.
Example: Consider the Helmholtz system S9. The eigenfunctions of
symmetry operator $L_{1}$ correspond to spherical coordinates lined up on the
$y-z$ axis; those of operator $L_{2}$ also correspond to spherical coordinates but lined up on the $x-z$ axis. The expansion coefficients of $L_{2}$ eigenfunctions in terms of the $L_{1}$ eigenbasis are the Racah and Wilson polynomials in full generality.
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Special functions associated with these systems arise in two distinct ways:

- As separable eigenfunctions of the quantum Hamiltonian. Second order superintegrable systems are multiseparable.
- As interbasis expansion coefficients relating distinct separable coordinate eigenbases. These are often solutions of difference equations.

Most of the special functions in the DLMF appear one of these ways.
Example: Consider the Helmholtz system S9. The eigenfunctions of symmetry operator $L_{1}$ correspond to spherical coordinates lined up on the $y-z$ axis; those of operator $L_{2}$ also correspond to spherical coordinates but lined up on the $x-z$ axis. The expansion coefficients of $L_{2}$ eigenfunctions in terms of the $L_{1}$ eigenbasis are the Racah and Wilson polynomials in full generality.

## Special functions 2

Böcher contractions of $S 9$ to other superintegrable systems induce limits of these expansion coefficients to expansion coefficients for the contracted superintegrable systems. Thus, a contraction of $S 9$ to $E 1$, (the Smorodinski-Winternitz superintegrable system), yields Hahn polynomials as limits of Wilson polynomials. The result of this is a reinterpretation of the Askey Scheme relating the possible hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials via limits.

## Special functions 3



## Exact and Quasi-exact solvability

Let $H=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+V(x)$. We are concerned with the 1D eigenvalue problem $H \Psi=E \Psi$. The operator $H$ is said to be exactly solvable, (ES) if there exists an infinite flag of subspaces of the domain of $H: \mathcal{P}_{N}, N=1,2,3, \cdots$, such that $n_{N}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $H \mathcal{P}_{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{N+1}$ for any $N$. In this case, for each subspace $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ the $n_{N}$ eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H$ can be obtained by pure algebraic means.

This is roughly equivalent to saying the eigenfunctions are hypergeometric.
The operator $H$ is called quasi-exactly solvable, (QES) if there exist a single subspace $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ of dimension $n_{k}>0$ such that $H \mathcal{P}_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{k}$. In this case, again we can find $n_{k}$ eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}$ by algebraic means, but we have no information about the remaining eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.
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## Exact and Quasi-exact solvability

Let $H=\frac{\partial^{2}}{d x^{2}}+V(x)$. We are concerned with the 1D eigenvalue problem $H \Psi=E \Psi$. The operator $H$ is said to be exactly solvable, (ES) if there exists an infinite flag of subspaces of the domain of $H: \mathcal{P}_{N}, N=1,2,3, \cdots$, such that $n_{N}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $H \mathcal{P}_{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{N+1}$ for any $N$. In this case, for each subspace $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ the $n_{N}$ eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $H$ can be obtained by pure algebraic means.

This is roughly equivalent to saying the eigenfunctions are hypergeometric.
The operator $H$ is called quasi-exactly solvable, (QES) if there exist a single subspace $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ of dimension $n_{k}>0$ such that $H \mathcal{P}_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{k}$. In this case, again we can find $n_{k}$ eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}$ by algebraic means, but we have no information about the remaining eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

## Motivating example: A QES equation

Anharmonic oscillator with 6th order potential term:

$$
H=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left[\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{8 \omega^{2}}-\left(2 n+\frac{3}{2}\right) \omega\right] x^{2}+\frac{k_{1}}{2} x^{4}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{2} x^{6} .
$$

For $n$ a fixed positive integer, there are $n+1$ eigenfunctions

$$
\Psi_{i}=P_{n}^{(i)}(x) e^{-\frac{k_{1}}{4 \omega} x^{2}-\frac{\omega}{2} x^{6}},
$$

$i=0,1, \cdots, n$ where $P$ is a polynomial of order at most $n$ in $x$.
Similar examples studied by Turbiner, Schiffman, Ushveridze, Gonzales-Lopez, Olver, ....

## Superintegrable explanation

The singular anisotropic oscillator potential, a Stäckel transform of system [31].

$$
V_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+k_{1} x+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{2 y^{2}}
$$

The Schrödinger equation has the form

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Psi+\left[2 E-\omega^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 k_{1} x-\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{y^{2}}\right] \Psi=0 .
$$

The Schrödinger equation separates in two systems: Cartesian and parabolic coordinates.

## Cartesian separation

Separation of variables in Cartesian coordinates leads to the two independent one-dimensional Schrödinger equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2} \psi_{1}}{d x^{2}}+\left(2 \lambda_{1}-4 \omega^{2} x^{2}-2 k_{1} x\right) \psi_{1}=0 \\
\frac{d^{2} \psi_{2}}{d y^{2}}+\left(2 \lambda_{2}-\omega^{2} y^{2}-\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{y^{2}}\right) \psi_{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\Psi\left(x, y ; k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(x ; k_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(y ; k_{2}\right)
$$

and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are Cartesian separation constants with $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=E$.

## 1st Cartesian separation equation

The first equation represents the well-known linear singular oscillator system. The complete set of orthonormalized eigenfunctions, (on $1 / 2$ ) in the interval $0<y<\infty$ can be expressed in terms of finite confluent hypergeometric series or Laguerre polynomials

$$
\psi_{n_{2}}\left(y ; k_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega^{\left(1+k_{2}\right)} n_{2}!}{\Gamma\left(n_{2}+k_{2}+1\right)}} y^{\frac{1}{2}+k_{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega y^{2}} L_{n_{2}}^{k_{2}}\left(\omega y^{2}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{2}=\omega\left(2 n_{2}+1+k_{2}\right)$.

## 2nd Cartesian separation equation

The second equation easily transforms to the ordinary one-dimensional oscillator problem. In terms of Hermite polynomials the orthonormal solutions are

$$
\psi_{n_{1}}\left(x ; k_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \omega}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} \frac{e^{-\omega z^{2}}}{\sqrt{2^{n_{1} n_{1}!}}} H_{n_{1}}(\sqrt{2 \omega} z)
$$

where $z=x+\frac{k_{1}}{4 \omega^{2}}$, and $\lambda_{1}=\omega\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{8 \omega^{2}}$.

## Energy spectrum

$$
E=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=\omega\left[2 n+2+k_{2}\right]-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{8 \omega^{2}}, \quad n=n_{1}+n_{2}=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

The degree of degeneracy for fixed principal quantum number $n$ is $(n+1)$. The separation of variables in Cartesian coordinates leads to two exactly solvable one-dimensional Schrödinger equations.

## Parabolic separation

Parabolic coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ are connected with the Cartesian $x$ and $y$ by

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right), \quad y=\xi \eta, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}, \eta>0
$$

The Schrödinger equation in parabolic coordinates is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)+ \\
{\left[2 E-\omega^{2}\left(\xi^{4}-\xi^{2} \eta^{2}+\eta^{4}\right)-k_{1}\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right)-\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}\right] \Psi=0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Parabolic separation equations

Upon substituting

$$
\Psi(\xi, \eta)=X(\xi) Y(\eta)
$$

and introducing the parabolic separation constant $\lambda$, we find the two separation equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2} X}{d \xi^{2}}+\left(2 E \xi^{2}-\omega^{2} \xi^{6}-k_{1} \xi^{4}-\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\xi^{2}}\right) X=-\lambda X \\
& \frac{d^{2} Y}{d \eta^{2}}+\left(2 E \eta^{2}-\omega^{2} \eta^{6}+k_{1} \eta^{4}-\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\eta^{2}}\right) Y=+\lambda Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $E=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=\omega\left[2 n+2+k_{2}\right]-\frac{k_{1}^{2}}{8 \omega^{2}}$, in either of these equations we get the QES equation for the anharmonic oscillator with 6th order potential term, where now the energy is the separation constant, $\pm \lambda$.

## QES $\Leftrightarrow$ 2nd order superintegrable systems

Kalnins, Miller and Pogosyan showed that there is a general relation between QES systems in 1D and 2nd order superintegrability in nD.

In two recent papers, Turbiner has studied and reported on the classification of QES systems in 1D. His emphasis is on QES systems that are special cases of the Heun equation and its confluent forms, and exactly solvable systems which are special cases of the hypergeometric equation.

We see now that all of these systems correspond to separation equations for the 2D 2nd order superintegrable systems as given here. Thus all of these solutions determine solutions of the 2D superintegrable systems.
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## Another QES example. 1

Some special cases of Heun equations reduce to hypergeometric equations, see the impressive work of Maier. Moreover, in recent papers it has been shown that some special QES cases of the Heun equations have explicit solutions that are expressible in terms of derivatives of hypergeometric functions.

We can observe that all such special solutions lead to eigenfunctions of 2D
superintegrable systems which also have separable ES hypergeometric
eigenfunctions. The quadratic algebras of the 2D systems allow us to relate
the QES and ES systems. Moreover a knowledge of the possible ES systems
for a 2nd order superintegrable system gives important clues about the
structure of the QES systems
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Some special cases of Heun equations reduce to hypergeometric equations, see the impressive work of Maier. Moreover, in recent papers it has been shown that some special QES cases of the Heun equations have explicit solutions that are expressible in terms of derivatives of hypergeometric functions.

We can observe that all such special solutions lead to eigenfunctions of 2D superintegrable systems which also have separable ES hypergeometric eigenfunctions. The quadratic algebras of the 2D systems allow us to relate the QES and ES systems. Moreover a knowledge of the possible ES systems for a 2nd order superintegrable system gives important clues about the structure of the QES systems

## Another QES example. 2

Consider the inverse square root system written in the form

$$
\frac{d^{2} f(x)}{d x^{2}}+\left(\frac{a}{x^{1 / 2}}+\frac{b}{x}+\frac{c}{x^{3 / 2}}-E\right) f(x)
$$

With $y=\sqrt{x}$ we have

$$
y \frac{d^{2} f(y)}{d y^{2}}-\frac{d f(y)}{d y}+\left(4 a y^{2}+4 b y+4 c-4 E y^{3}\right) f(y)
$$

The superintegrable system $E 2$, in Cartesian coordinates $y_{1}, y_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}+\left(-A\left(4 y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)+B y_{1}+\frac{C}{y_{2}^{2}}-E^{\prime}\right)\right) f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It belongs to the [31] Laplace equivalence class. This system is separable in two coordinate systems: Cartesian and parabolic.

## Another QES example. 3

In Cartesian coordinates the separable solutions $f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)$ are eigenfunctions $L_{1} f=\lambda_{1} f$ of the symmetry operator

$$
L_{1}=\partial_{y_{1}^{2}}+\left(-4 A y_{1}^{2}+B y_{1}\right),
$$

and the separation equations are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2} g_{1}}{d y_{1}^{2}}+\left(-4 A y_{1}^{2}+B y_{1}+\lambda_{1}-E^{\prime}\right) g_{1}=0 \\
\frac{d^{2} g_{2}}{d y_{2}^{2}}+\left(-A y_{2}^{2}+\frac{C}{y_{2}^{2}}-\lambda_{1}\right) g_{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $\lambda_{1}$ is the separation constant.

## Another QES example. 4

In parabolic coordinates $\eta, \xi$ with $y_{1}=\xi+\eta, y_{2}=2 i \sqrt{\xi \eta}$, and writing $f(\eta, \xi)=(\eta \xi)^{-3 / 4} f_{1}(\eta) f_{2}(\xi)$, we obtain the bi-confluent Heun separation equation

$$
\eta \frac{d^{2} f_{1}(\eta)}{d \eta^{2}}-\frac{d f_{1}(\eta)}{d \eta}+\left(\frac{15}{16 \eta}+\frac{C}{4 \eta}-E^{\prime} \eta+B \eta^{2}-4 A \eta^{3}+\lambda_{2}\right) f_{1}(\eta)=0
$$

for $f_{1}(\eta)$ with a similar equation for $f_{2}(\xi)$ Now note that, with the restriction to the superintegrable system $E 2$ with $C=-15 / 4$ in the potential, this becomes the inverse square potential system, provided we make the identifications

$$
y=\eta, \quad 4 b=-E^{\prime}, \quad 4 a=B, \quad 4 c=\lambda_{2}, \quad E=A .
$$

Thus this 1D inverse square root potential system corresponds to a special case of the separation equation for the 2D superintegrable system E2 in parabolic coordinates. This separation equation is QES, but we will show that it has explicit solutions which are a consequence of the exactly solvable E2 separation equation in Cartesian coordinates.

## Another QES example. 5

We can solve the ES Cartesian coordinate system directly to get $g_{1}(y)=\exp (-y(-a+E y) / \sqrt{E}) G(y)$ where $G(y)$ is an arbitrary linear combination of

$$
{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{2 E^{3 / 2}+\left(4 b-\lambda_{1}\right) E-a^{2}}{E^{3 / 2}}\right) ; \frac{(2 E y-a)^{2}}{2 E^{3 / 2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
{ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{6 E^{3 / 2}+\left(4 b-\lambda_{1}\right) E-a^{2}}{E^{3 / 2}}\right) ; \frac{(2 E y-a)^{2}}{2 E^{3 / 2}}\right) .
$$

Here $G(y)$ is the general solution of the equation $S_{1} G(y)=0$, equivalent to the above where

$$
S_{1}=\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}-\frac{2(2 E y-a)}{\sqrt{E}} \frac{d}{d y}-\frac{\left(2 E^{3 / 2}+4 b E-\lambda_{1} E-a^{2}\right)}{E} .
$$

## Another QES example. 6

Assuming $b=-4 c^{2}, \lambda_{1}=-2 \sqrt{E}-32 c^{2}$, the equation for the inverse square root system is equivalent to $S_{2} f=0$ where

$$
S_{2}=y \frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}-\frac{d}{d y}+4\left(y^{2} a-4 c^{2} y+c-E y^{3}\right)
$$

We define operators $K$ and $Q$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\exp \left(\frac{a y}{\sqrt{E}}-y^{2} \sqrt{E}\right)\left(\frac{d}{d y}-4 y \sqrt{E}+4 c+\frac{a}{\sqrt{E}}\right), \\
Q=\exp \left(\frac{a y}{\sqrt{E}}-y^{2} \sqrt{E}\right)\left(y \frac{d}{d y}-4 y^{2} \sqrt{E}+4 c y+\frac{a y}{\sqrt{E}}-1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it is straightforward to verify the operator identity

$$
S_{2} K=Q S_{1} .
$$

This shows that $K$ maps the solution space of the restricted Cartesian separation equation to the solution space of the restricted parabolic separation equation and provides explicit solutions for the 1D inverse square root potential.

## Conclusions. 1

We have reviewed the theory of 2D 2nd order superintegrable Laplace systems and shown that they encode all the information about 2D Helmholtz or time-independent Schrödinger superintegrable systems in an efficient manner: there is a 1-1 correspondence between Laplace superintegrable systems and Stäckel equivalence classes of Helmholtz superintegrable systems.
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