# Jacobi, ellipsoidal coordinates, and superintegrable systems

 $E\ G\ Kalnins\ ^{\dagger},\ J\ M\ Kress\ ^{\ddagger}\ and\ W\ Miller\ ^{\S}$ 

† Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand E-mail: e.kalnins@waikato.ac.nz

<sup>‡</sup> School of Mathematics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia E-mail: j.kress@unsw.edu.au

§ School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55455, USA E-mail: miller@ima.umn.edu

Received Month \*, 200\*; Revised Month \*, 200\*; Accepted Month \*, 200\*

#### Abstract

We describe Jacobi's method for integrating the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and his discovery of elliptic coordinates, the generic separable coordinate systems for constant curvature spaces. This work was an essential precursor for the modern theory of second-order superintegrable systems to which we then turn. A Schrödinger operator with potential on a Riemannian space is **second-order superintegrable** if there are 2n-1 (classically) functionally independent second-order symmetry operators. (The 2n-1 is the maximum possible number of such symmetries.) These systems are of considerable interest in special function theory because they are multiseparable, i.e., variables separate in several coordinate sets and are explicitly solvable in terms of special functions. The interrelationships between separable solutions provides much additional information about the systems.

We give an example of a superintegrable system and then present very recent results giving the general structure of superintegrable systems in all two-dimensional spaces and three-dimensional conformally flat spaces and a complete list of such spaces and potentials in two dimensions.

#### 1 Introduction

Carl Gustave Jacobi was born in Potsdam in 1804 and was educated at the University of Berlin where he obtained his doctorate in 1825. Two years after this he was appointed extraordinary Professor of Mathematics there and was later promoted to Ordinary Professor of Mathematics. He later moved to Berlin and died in 1851. Throughout his brief life he contributed much to number theory, the theory of both ordinary and partial differential equations, the calculus of variations, the three body problem, and the development of classical mechanics and elliptic functions. He was a rare Professor whose research and teaching were both quite remarkable. As a result he influenced a large number of able students. His most celebrated researches relate to the study of elliptic functions which he and Abel established independently. Our work builds on Jacobi's researches in mechanics and overlaps with the notion of Jacobi elliptic functions. In 1842 Jacobi invented the method of generating functions for solving the Hamilton equations of classical mechanics, [20]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is the Poisson bracket. (Jacobi also allowed for explicitly time-dependent Hamiltonians. We will not discuss this extension here, although such systems can also be treated by separation of variables methods. Treatment of the appropriate type of separation, so-called R-separation would take us too far afield.) This method consists of finding a generating function,  $S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$ , such that  $\mathbf{p} = \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$ ,  $\beta = \nabla_{\alpha} S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$  and the Hamiltonian is transformed to  $\alpha_1$ . The transformed equations have the form

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha} = (1, 0, ..., 0), \quad \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \beta} = 0,$$

where  $H = H(\mathbf{q}(\alpha, \beta), \mathbf{p}(\alpha, \beta))$ . The solutions have the particularly simple form

$$\beta(t) = (t + b_1, b_2, ..., b_n), \quad \alpha(t) = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n).$$

The generating function that enables this transformation can be calculated using the relation  $\mathbf{p} = \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$  which results from  $S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$  being a generating function. The other relation is  $\beta = \nabla_{\alpha} S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$ . The resulting equation for  $S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$  is the (time-independent) **Hamilton-Jacobi equation** 

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \nabla S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)) = \alpha_1, \tag{1.2}$$

where it is usual to set  $\alpha_1 = E$ . If this equation can be solved for  $S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)$  in such a way that

$$\det(\frac{\partial^2 S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha)}{\partial q_i \partial \alpha_i}) \neq 0$$

then a complete integral for the Hamiltonian system has been obtained, depending on n constants of the motion  $\alpha$ . The key connection with separation of variables techniques comes from the additive separation ansatz  $S(\mathbf{q}, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i(q_i, \alpha)$ .

Hamiltonians that correspond to the usual H= Kinetic Energy + Potential Energy and are of the form  $H=\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{p}+V(\mathbf{q})$  can be solved by this ansatz in many physically interesting cases. The most notable case is that of the motion of a single planet under the influence of the gravity of the Sun. Written in spherical coordinates the Hamilton-Jacobi equation has the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} p_\varphi^2 \right) \right) - \frac{G}{r} - \alpha_1 = 0$$

and can be solved via the substitution

$$S(r, \theta, \varphi, \alpha) = S_r(r, \alpha) + S_{\theta}(\theta, \alpha) + S_{\varphi}(\varphi, \alpha),$$

where  $\mathbf{r} = (r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, r \cos \theta)$ . In order to solve other nontrivial problems in mechanics, Jacobi introduced his "remarkable change of variables", the generalized elliptical coordinates in n dimensions, [20]. These can be defined by the relations

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q_k^2}{z - \lambda_k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - x_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} (z - \lambda_k)}$$
 (1.3)

for the coordinates  $x_i$ . An equivalent definition is

$$q_k^2 = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)},$$

where  $\lambda_1 < x_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n < x_n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . In the case that n = 3, 4 the elliptic coordinates admit expression in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions [53, 1]. For n = 3 we have

$$q_1 = k \operatorname{sn} \alpha \operatorname{sn} \beta \operatorname{sn} \gamma, \quad q_2 = i \frac{k}{k'} \operatorname{cn} \alpha \operatorname{cn} \beta \operatorname{cn} \gamma, \quad q_3 = \frac{1}{kk'} \operatorname{dn} \alpha \operatorname{dn} \beta \operatorname{dn} \gamma,$$

where we write  $x_1 = \operatorname{sn}\alpha$ ,  $x_2 = \operatorname{sn}\beta$  and  $x_3 = \operatorname{sn}\gamma$  with normalized choice of  $\lambda_i$  according to  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$  and  $\lambda_3 = k^{-2}$  with  $k^2 < 1$ , and the k dependence of the Jacobi elliptic functions has been suppressed, i.e.,  $\operatorname{sn}\delta = \operatorname{sn}(\delta, k)$ . Typically the Jacobi elliptic function  $\operatorname{sn}(\delta, k)$  is defined by

$$\delta = \int_0^{\operatorname{sn}(\delta,k)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)}} dt.$$

These functions have properties analogous to trigonometric functions. The variables  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  vary in the ranges  $\alpha \epsilon [-K, K], \beta \epsilon [K - iK', K + iK']$  and  $\gamma \epsilon [iK' - K, iK' + K]$ . In addition to elliptic coordinates in Euclidean space there are also elliptic coordinates on the *n*-dimensional sphere. These are defined by relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{s_k^2}{z - \lambda_k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (z - x_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (z - \lambda_k)},\tag{1.4}$$

where  $s_1^2 + \cdots + s_{n+1}^2 = 1$ . The inverse relations are

$$s_k^2 = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$  and the coordinates satisfy  $\lambda_1 < x_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n < x_n < \lambda_{n+1}$ . These coordinates enable the ansatz of separation of variables to be used for problems on the sphere analogous to those solved in Euclidean space. If n = 2, the coordinates can also be written in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions according to [1]

$$s_1 = k \operatorname{sn} \alpha \operatorname{sn} \beta, \quad s_2 = i \frac{k}{k'} \operatorname{cn} \alpha \operatorname{cn} \beta, \quad s_3 = \frac{1}{k'} \operatorname{dn} \alpha \operatorname{dn} \beta$$
 (1.5)

with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  varying in the same ranges as for Euclidean elliptical coordinates. The Jacobi elliptical coordinates enabled the problem of geodesic motion on an ellipsoid to be solved. It was on the basis of these investigations of Jacobi that subsequent investigations in the theory of separation of variables developed. Most notable among these were the mechanism of separation extended by Stäckel [51] to quite general systems of orthogonal coordinates. Subsequently Levi Civita [41] gave a set of nonlinear partial differential equations that must be satisfied if separation of variables is possible in a particular coordinate system. The next important results were obtained by Eisenhart [6], who gave an intrinsic characterization of orthogonal separable coordinate systems and also discussed the product separability of the Helmholtz or Schrödinger equation  $\Delta \Psi + \lambda_1 \Psi = 0$ . Included in his analysis was the geometrical significance of the additional criterion for product separation to occur, i.e.,  $\Psi = \prod_{k=1}^n \Psi(q_k, \lambda)$  in some suitable coordinate system q. This condition was originally determined by Robertson [48] in a formal manner. In more recent times the study of separation of variables has advanced significantly both from the point of view of intrinsic characterization as well as classification of the various different kinds of separation that are possible on spaces of constant curvature. With regard to the latter problem it is in a sense true that "all" orthogonal separable systems on spaces of constant curvature are limiting cases of the original elliptic coordinates found by Jacobi.

Jacobi's discovery of elliptic coordinates, followed much later by the development of quantum mechanics, led to the interest in second-order superintegrable systems. In both classical mechanics and in its quantum extension there are some special mechanical systems on Riemannian manifolds, expressed as kinetic energy terms plus a potential, that can be solved via separation of variables in more than one coordinate system. Such multiseparable systems are not only integrable, they are multiply integrable and much additional information about the systems can be obtained by interrelating the separate separable solutions. These systems have a theory rich in structure .

Although the definition of second-order superintegrability does not mention multiseparability, we see that, for important classes of superintegrable systems, multiseparability is implied. We start by studying an important example of a superintegrable system in two-dimensional Euclidean space, with separation in elliptical coordinates, that illustrates the typical features of superintegrable systems. In the remainder of this paper we lay out the essentials of a structure and classification theory for all these systems in two-dimensional Riemannian spaces and important results for three-dimensional conformally flat spaces. These results are very recent and the extensive details of the proofs will appear elsewhere.

A classical superintegrable system

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{ij} g^{ij} p_i p_j + V(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1.6}$$

on an *n*-dimensional local Riemannian manifold is one that admits 2n-1 functionally independent symmetries  $S_k$ ,  $k=1,\dots,2n-1$ , where we choose  $S_1=\mathcal{H}$  for conveience, [54]. That is,  $\{\mathcal{H}, S_k\} = 0$  where

$$\{f,g\} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_j} f \partial_{p_j} g - \partial_{p_j} f \partial_{x_j} g))$$

$$(1.7)$$

is the Poisson bracket for functions  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$  on phase space, [13, 10, 11, 14, 42]. (We refer to these functions as symmetries because each leads to a conserved quantity

for the associated physical system. Furthermore they are examples of generalized symmetries in the Lie sense. Finally, for spaces of constant curvature the quaratic terms in the symmetries can be identified with second order elements in the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie symmetry algebra of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.) Note that 2n-1 is the maximum possible number of functionally independent symmetries and, locally, such symmetries always exist. The main interest is in symmetries that are polynomials in the  $p_k$  and are globally defined, except for lower-dimensional singularities such as poles and branch points. A system is integrable if it admits n functionally independent symmetries, including the Hamiltonian itself, that are mutually in involution,  $\{S_i, S_k\} = 0$ . Sometimes the definition of superintegrable systems also requires integrability, but we shall not require this here. Many tools in the theory of Hamiltonian systems have been brought to bear on superintegrable systems, such as R-matrix theory, Lax pairs, exact solvability and quasi-exact solvability, [49, 12, 52, 17, 40]. However, the most detailed and complete results are obtained from separation of variables methods in those cases for which they are applicable. Standard orthogonal separation of variables techniques are associated with second-order symmetries, e.g., [7, 8, 44, 29, 45, 21, 46] and multiseparable Hamiltonian systems provide numerous examples of superintegrability. Here we concentrate on second-order superintegrable systems, that is those in which the symmetries take the form  $S_k = \sum a^{ij}(\mathbf{x})p_ip_j + W^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})$ , i.e. they are quadratic in the momenta. (We show for all cases treated in this paper that second-order superintgrability implies integrability.)

There is an analogous definition for second-order quantum superintegrable systems with the Schrödinger operator

$$H = \Delta + V(\mathbf{x}), \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{ij} \partial_{x_i} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij}) \partial_{x_j}, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold, expressed in local coordinates  $x_j$  [8]. Here there are 2n-1 second-order symmetry operators  $S_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{ij} \partial_{x_i} (\sqrt{g} a_{(k)}^{ij}) \partial_{x_j} + W^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}), \ k = 1, \cdots, 2n-1, \ \text{with } S_1 = H \ \text{and } [H, S_k] \equiv H S_k - S_k H = 0$ . Again multiseparable systems yield many examples of superintegrability. There is also a quantization problem in extending the results for classical systems to operator systems. This problem turns out to be very easily solved in two dimensions and not difficult in higher dimensions for nondegenerate potentials. Most of the standard solvable models in basic quantum mechanics are second-order superintegravle [39]. The inverse-square Calogero system for two and three particles on a line turns out to be second-order superintegrable [2] but the general Calogero systems for n particles, though superintegrable, correspond to symmetry operators of higher order than two.

To illustrate the main features of superintegrable systems we give a simple example in real Euclidean space. Consider the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation  $H\Psi = E\Psi$  or [16, 31]

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega^2(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{k_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{x^2} + \frac{k_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{y^2}\right)\Psi = E\Psi. \tag{1.9}$$

This equation admits multiplicatively separable solutions in three systems: Cartesian coordinates (x, y); polar coordinates,  $x = r \cos \theta$ ,  $y = r \sin \theta$ , and elliptical coordinates,

$$x^2 = c^2 \frac{(u_1 - e_1)(u_2 - e_1)}{(e_1 - e_2)}, \qquad y^2 = c^2 \frac{(u_1 - e_2)(u_2 - e_2)}{(e_2 - e_1)}.$$

The bound state energy levels are degenerate with energies  $E_n = \omega(2n + 2 + k_1 + k_2)$  for integer n. The corresponding wave functions are

#### 1. Cartesian:

$$\Psi_{n_1,n_2}(x,y) = 2\omega^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1+k_2+2)} \sqrt{\frac{n_1!n_2!}{\Gamma(n_1+k_1+1)\Gamma(n_2+k_2+1)}} x^{(k_1+\frac{1}{2})} y^{(k_2+\frac{1}{2})}$$
(1.10)
$$e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(x^2+y^2)} L_{n_1}^{k_1}(\omega x^2) L_{n_2}^{k_2}(\omega y^2), \qquad n = n_1 + n_2,$$

and the  $L_n^k(x)$  are Laguerre polynomials [9].

#### 2. Polar:

$$\Psi(r,\theta) = \Phi_q^{(k_1,k_2)}(\theta)\omega^{\frac{1}{2}(2q+k_1+k_2+1)}\sqrt{\frac{2m!}{\Gamma(m+2q+k_1+k_2+1)}}$$

$$e^{(-\omega r^2/2)}r^{(2q+k_1+k_2+1)}L_m^{2q+k_1+k_2+1}(\omega r^2), \quad n=m+q,$$

$$\Phi_q^{(k_1,k_2)}(\theta) = \sqrt{2(2q+k_1+k_2+1)\frac{q!\Gamma(k_1+k_2+q+1)}{\Gamma(k_2+q+1)\Gamma(k_1+q+1)}}$$

$$\times(\cos\theta)^{k_1+(1/2)}(\sin\theta)^{k_2+(1/2)}P_q^{(k_1,k_2)}(\cos2\theta)$$
(1.11)

and the  $P_q^{(k_1,k_2)}(\cos 2\theta)$  are Jacobi polynomials, [9] .

#### 3. Elliptical:

$$\Psi = e^{-\omega(x^2 + y^2)} x^{k_1 + \frac{1}{2}} y^{k_2 + \frac{1}{2}} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x^2}{\theta_m - e_1} + \frac{y^2}{\theta_m - e_2} - c^2 \right), \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$\frac{x^2}{\theta - e_1} + \frac{y^2}{\theta - e_2} - c^2 = -c^2 \frac{(u_1 - \theta)(u_2 - \theta)}{(\theta - e_1)(\theta - e_2)}.$$

These are ellipsoidal wave functions, [53, 1].

A basis for the second-order symmetry operators is

$$S_{1} = \partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} - k_{1}^{2}\right)}{x^{2}} - \omega^{2}x^{2}, \qquad S_{2} = \partial_{y}^{2} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} - k_{2}^{2}\right)}{y^{2}} - \omega^{2}y^{2}$$

$$S_{3} = (x\partial_{y} - y\partial_{x})^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - k_{1}^{2}\right)\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - k_{2}^{2}\right)\frac{x^{2}}{y^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$(1.13)$$

(Note that  $-2H = S_1 + S_2$ .) The separable solutions are eigenfunctions of the symmetry operators  $S_1, S_3$  and  $S_3 + e_2S_1 + e_1S_2$  with corresponding eigenvalues

$$\lambda_c = -\omega(2n_1 + k_1 + 1),$$
  $\lambda_p = (2q + k_1 + k_2 + 1)^2 + (1 + k_1^2 + k_2^2),$ 

$$\lambda_e = 2(1 - k_1)(1 - k_2) - 2e_2\omega(k_1 + 1) - 2e_1\omega(k_2 + 1) - \omega^2 e_1 e_2 -$$

$$4\sum_{m=1}^{q} \left[ e_2 \frac{k_1 + 1}{\theta_m - e_1} + e_1 \frac{k_2 + 1}{\theta_m - e_2} \right],$$

respectively.

The algebra constructed by repeated commutators is

$$[S_1, S_3] = [S_3, S_2] \equiv R, \qquad [S_i, R] = 4\{S_i, S_j\} + 16\omega^2 S_3, \qquad i \neq j, \ i, j = 1, 2, \ (1.14)$$

$$[S_3, R] = 4\{S_1, S_3\} - 4\{S_2, S_3\} + 8(1 - k_2^2)S_1 - 8(1 - k_1^2)S_2,$$

$$R^2 = \frac{8}{3}\{S_1, S_2, S_3\} + \frac{64}{3}\{S_1, S_2\} - 16\omega^2 S_3^2 - 16(1 - k_2^2)S_1^2$$

$$-16(1 - k_1^2)S_2^2 - \frac{128}{3}\omega^2 S_3 - 64\omega^2 (1 - k_1^2)(1 - k_2^2).$$

Note that these relations are quadratic. Here  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ , is a symmetrizer. The classical algebra has basis

$$S_{1} = p_{x}^{2} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - k_{1}^{2}}{x^{2}} - \omega^{2} x^{2}, \quad S_{2} = p_{y}^{2} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - k_{2}^{2}}{y^{2}} - \omega^{2} y^{2},$$

$$S_{3} = (xp_{y} - yp_{x})^{2} + (\frac{1}{4} - k_{1}^{2}) \frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} + (\frac{1}{4} - k_{2}^{2}) \frac{x^{2}}{y^{2}}, \quad -2\mathcal{H} = S_{1} + S_{2}.$$

$$(1.15)$$

The classical quadratic algebra relations (with  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  the Poisson Bracket) are

$$\{S_1, S_3\} = \{S_3, S_2\} \equiv \mathcal{R}, \qquad \{S_i, \mathcal{R}\} = 8S_i S_j + 16\omega^2 S_3, \qquad i \neq j, \ i, j = 1, 2,$$

$$\{S_3, \mathcal{R}\} = 8S_1 S_3 - 8S_2 S_3 + (4 - 16k_2^2) S_1 - (4 - 16k_1^2) S_2, \qquad (1.16)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = 16S_1 S_2 S_3 - 16\omega^2 S_3^2 + (4 - 16k_2^2) S_1^2 - (4 - 16k_1^2) S_2^2 + 4\omega^2 (1 - 4k_1^2) (1 - 4k_2^2).$$

Note the following features.

- The algebra generated by  $S_1, S_2, S_3, R$  is **closed under commutation**, [15, 47]. This is remarkable but typical of superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potentials. Closure is at level 6 since we have to express the square of the 3rd-order operator R in terms of the  $S_j$  basis of 2nd-order operators.
- The eigenfunctions of one separable system can be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions of another and this is the source of nontrivial special function expansion theorems [43, 30].
- The quadratic algebra identities allow us to relate eigenbases and eigenvalues of one symmetry operator to those of another. Indeed the representation theory of the abstract quadratic algebra can be used to derive spectral properties of the generators  $L_j$  in a manner analogous to the use of Lie algebra representation theory to derive spectral properties of quantum systems that admit Lie symmetry algebras [5, 3, 50].

• A common feature of quantum superintegrable systems is that after splitting off a multiplicative functional factor,

$$x^{(k_1+\frac{1}{2})}y^{(k_2+\frac{1}{2})}e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(x^2+y^2)}$$

in the example, the Schrödinger and symmetry operators are acting on a space of polynomials, [35]. There is a Hilbert space structure and the variable separation yields bases of multivariable orthogonal polynomials.

• There is a close relationship to the theory of exactly and quasi-exactly solvable systems, [40]. In the example the one-dimensional ordinary differential equations obtained by separation in the Cartesian and polar systems are exactly solvable in terms of hypergeometric functions and the energy eigenvalues are easily obtained. The elliptic system separated equations are quasi-exactly solvable and polynomial solutions are obtained for only particular values of E. However, these values are just the energy eigenvalues obtained in the Cartesian and polar systems!

In the example the potential is nondegenerate, i.e., it depends on 3 arbitrary parameters (or 4 if we include the trivial constant that we can always add to a potential). In  $n \geq 2$  dimensions the nondegenerate potentials depend on n+2 parameters. Systems with nondegenerate potentials have the most beautiful properties, but there are also superintegrable systems with degenerate potentials depending on fewer than n+2 parameters. For n=2 we show that all of these are in a certain sense specializations of the nondegenerate systems. For degenerate systems first-order symmetries may exist. Note that in the classical case the symmetries corresponding to a constant potential are just Killing tensors.

Many examples of such systems are known and lists of possible systems have been determined for constant curvature spaces in two and three dimensions as well as a few other spaces, [16, 26, 28, 27, 47, 36]. Here rather than focus on particular spaces and systems we employ a theoretical method based on integrability conditions to derive structure common to all such systems. We firstly consider classical superintegrable systems on a general two-dimensional Riemannian manifold, real or complex, and uncover their common structure. We show that for superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potentials there exists a standard structure based on the algebra of  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrices, that such systems are necessarily multiseparable and that the quadratic algebra closes at level 6. This is all done without making use of lists of such systems so that generalization to higher dimensions [36], where relatively few examples are known, is much easier.

Then we study the Stäckel transform, or coupling constant metamorphosis [4, 19], for two-dimensional classical superintegrable systems. This is a conformal transformation of a superintegrable system on one space to a superintegrable system on another space. We prove that all nondegenerate two-dimensional superintegrable systems are Stáckel transforms of constant curvature systems and give a complete classification of all two-dimensional superintegrable systems. We discuss briefly how to extend these results to three-dimensional systems and the quantum analogs of two-dimensional and three-dimensional classical systems.

## 2 Maximal dimensions of the spaces of polynomial constants in 2D

From the example in the preceding section we see that it is important to compute the dimensions of the spaces of symmetries of superintegrable systems that are of orders 2, 3, 4 and 6. As illustrated by the example these symmetries are necessarily of a special type.

- The highest order terms in the momenta are independent of the parameters in the potential.
- The terms of order 2 less in the momenta are linear in these parameters, those of order 4 less are quadratic and those of order 6 less are cubic.

The system is second-order order superintegrable with nondegenerate potential if

- 1. it admits 3 functionally independent second-order symmetries and
- 2. the potential has 3 parameters (in addition to the usual additive parameter).

$$V(x,y) = \alpha_1 V^{(1)}(x,y) + \alpha_2 V^{(2)}(x,y) + \alpha_3 V^{(3)}(x,y), \tag{2.1}$$

that is, at each regular point we can prescribe the values of  $V_x$ ,  $V_y$  and  $V_{xx}$  arbitrarily. Nondegenerate potentials exhibit the most structure and one can show that superintegrable systems with potentials depending on 1 or 2 parameters are special cases or limits of 3-parameter systems. The following result is proved using the integrabilty conditions for the requirement that a symmetry  $\mathcal{S}$  of a nondegenerate superintegrable system must satisfy the condition  $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{S}\} = 0$ , and the parameter restrictions listed above.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hamiltonian of a two-dimensional superintegrable system with nondegenerate potential.

- The space of second-order constants of the motion is exactly 3-dimensional.
- The space of third -order constants of the motion is at most 1-dimensional.
- The space of fourth-order constants of the motion is at most 6-dimensional.
- The space of sixth-order constants is at most 10-dimensional.

An ordered pair of complex numbers  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_0, y_0)$  is a **regular point** for a superintegrable system if the potential is defined and analytic and the three basis symmetries are functionally independent in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

Corollary 1. The quadratic terms  $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$  of a second-order symmetry

$$S = \sum a^{ij}(\mathbf{x})p_ip_j + W(\mathbf{x})$$

are uniquely determined by their values  $a^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  at a regular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

By assumption every two-dimensional superintegrable system admits 3 functionally independent second-order symmetries. Our strategy is to choose a basis of 3 second-order symmetries and show that the second- and third-order polynomials in these basis elements form a basis for the fourth- and sixth-order symmetries, reaching the maximum dimensions given in the theorem. This implies closure of the quadratic algebra. Of course third-order symmetries cannot be expressed in terms of polynomials of second-order symmetries andwe have to study this case separately. Again the result is obtained through a careful study of integrability conditions for the symmetry.

**Theorem 2.** Let K be a third-order constant of the motion for a superintegrable system with nondegenerate potential V:

$$\mathcal{K} = \sum_{k,j,i=1}^{2} a^{kji}(x,y) p_k p_j p_i + \sum_{\ell=1}^{2} b^{\ell}(x,y) p_{\ell}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then

$$b^{\ell}(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} f^{\ell,j}(x,y) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j}(x,y)$$

with

$$f^{\ell,j} + f^{j,\ell} = 0, \quad 1 \le \ell, j \le 2,$$

and the  $a^{ijk}$  and  $b^{\ell}$  are uniquely determined by the number

$$f^{1,2}(x_0,y_0)$$

at some regular point  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \sum a_{(1)}^{kj} p_k p_j + W_{(1)}, \quad \mathcal{S}_2 = \sum a_{(2)}^{kj} p_k p_j + W_{(2)}$$

be second-order constants of the the motion for a superintegrable system with nondegenerate potential and let  $\mathcal{A}_{(i)}(x,y) = \{a_{(i)}^{kj}(x,y)\}, i=1,2$ , be  $2\times 2$  matrix functions. Then the Poisson Bracket of these symmetries is given by

$$\{S_1, S_2\} = \sum_{k,j,i=1}^{2} a^{kji}(x,y) p_k p_j p_i + b^{\ell}(x,y) p_{\ell}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$f^{k,\ell} = 2\lambda \sum_{j} (a^{kj}_{(2)} a^{j\ell}_{(1)} - a^{kj}_{(1)} a^{j\ell}_{(2)}).$$

Thus  $\{S_1, S_2\}$  is uniquely determined by the skew-symmetric matrix

$$[\mathcal{A}_{(2)}, \mathcal{A}_{(1)}] \equiv \mathcal{A}_{(2)}\mathcal{A}_{(1)} - \mathcal{A}_{(1)}\mathcal{A}_{(2)}, \tag{2.4}$$

hence by the constant matrix  $[A_{(2)}(x_0, y_0), A_{(1)}(x_0, y_0)]$  evaluated at a regular point.

Corollary 2. Let V be a superintegrable nondegenerate potential. Then the space of third-order constants of the motion is 1-dimensional and is spanned by Poisson Brackets of the second-order constants of the motion.

Corollary 3. Let V be a superintegrable nondegenerate potential and  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be second-order constants of the motion with matrices  $A_{(1)}$  and  $A_{(2)}$ , respectively. Then

$$\{\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2\} \equiv 0 \iff [\mathcal{A}_{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_{(2)}] \equiv 0 \iff [\mathcal{A}_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_0), \mathcal{A}_{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_0)] = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

at a regular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

#### 2.1 A standard form for two-dimansional superintegrable systems

For superintegrable nondegenerate potentials we see that there is a standard structure that allows the identification of the space of second-order constants of the motion with the space of  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrices and allows identification of the space of third-order constants of the motion with the space of  $2 \times 2$  skew-symmetric matrices. Indeed,

• if  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a regular point, then there is a 1-1 linear correspondence between second-order operators S and their associated symmetric matrices  $\mathcal{A}_{(\mathbf{x}_0)}$ . Let  $\{S_1, S_2\}' = \{S_2, S_1\}$  be the reversed Poisson Bracket. The map

$$\{S_1, S_2\}' \iff [A_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_0), A_{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_0)]$$
 (2.6)

is an algebraic isomorphism.

• Let  $\mathcal{E}^{ij}$  be the  $2 \times 2$  matrix with a 1 in row i, column j and 0 for every other matrix element. Then the symmetric matrices

$$\mathcal{A}^{(ij)} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}^{ij} + \mathcal{E}^{ji}) = \mathcal{A}^{(ji)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$
 (2.7)

form a basis for the 3-dimensional space of symmetric matrices.

• Moreover,

$$[\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}, \mathcal{A}^{(k\ell)}] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{jk} \mathcal{B}^{(i\ell)} + \delta_{j\ell} \mathcal{B}^{(ik)} + \delta_{ik} \mathcal{B}^{(j\ell)} + \delta_{i\ell} \mathcal{B}^{(jk)} \right), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}^{(ij)} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}^{ij} - \mathcal{E}^{ji}) = -\mathcal{B}^{(ji)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Here  $\mathcal{B}^{(ii)} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}^{(12)}$  forms a basis for the space of skew-symmetric matrices. Thus (2.8) gives the commutation relations for the second-order symmetries.

• We define a standard set of basis symmetries  $S^{(jk)} = \sum_{ih} a^{ih}_{(jk)}(\mathbf{x}) p_i p_h + W^{(jk)}(\mathbf{x})$  corresponding to a regular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1^1 & f_2^1 \\ f_1^2 & f_2^2 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \lambda(\mathbf{x}_0) \begin{pmatrix} a^{11} & a^{12} \\ a^{21} & a^{22} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}_0} = \lambda(\mathbf{x}_0) \mathcal{A}^{(jk)}, \quad W^{(jk)}(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0.$$
 (2.9)

The condition on  $W^{(jk)}$  is actually 3 conditions since  $W^{(jk)}$  depends upon 3 parameters.

#### 2.2 Multiseparability of two-dimensional systems

Necessary and sufficient conditions for variables to separate in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a classical system are well-known [45, 46]. They require a second-order symmetry, S, as well as an algebraic condition on the matrix of S. However, for superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potential the conditions simplify.

**Theorem 3.** Let V be a superintegrable nondegenerate potential and S be a second-order constant of the motion with matrix function  $A(\mathbf{x})$ . If at some regular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  the matrix  $A(\mathbf{x}_0)$  has 2 distinct eigenvalues, then  $\mathcal{H}$  and S characterize an orthogonal separable coordinate system.

Note: Since a generic  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrix has distinct roots, it follows that any superintegrable nondegenerate potential is multiseparable.

#### 2.3 The quadratic algebra

**Theorem 4.** The 6 distinct monomials,

$$(\mathcal{S}^{(11)})^2$$
,  $(\mathcal{S}^{(22)})^2$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}^{(12)})^2$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^{(11)}\mathcal{S}^{(22)}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^{(11)}\mathcal{S}^{(12)}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^{(12)}\mathcal{S}^{(22)}$ ,

form a basis for the space of fourth-order symmetries. The 10 distinct monomials,

$$(\mathcal{S}^{(ii)})^3, \ (\mathcal{S}^{(ij)})^3, \ (\mathcal{S}^{(ii)})^2 \mathcal{S}^{(jj)}, \ (\mathcal{S}^{(ii)})^2 \mathcal{S}^{(ij)}, \ (\mathcal{S}^{(ij)})^2 \mathcal{S}^{(ii)}, \ \mathcal{S}^{(11)} \mathcal{S}^{(12)} \mathcal{S}^{(22)},$$

 $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$  form a basis for the space of sixth-order symmetries.

These theorems are proved by computing the values and first derivatives of the symmetries at a regular point to verify linear independence of the monomials. Since the number of monomials listed is the same as the maximum possible dimension of the space of symmetries, they must form a basis. Note that by use of the standard form for symmetries one can explicitly expand any 4th- or 6th-order symmetry in terms of the standard basis.

### 3 The Stäckel transform for two-dimensional systems

The Stäckel transform [4] or coupling constant metamorphosis [19] plays a fundamental role in relating superintegrable systems on different manifolds. Suppose we have a superintegrable system

$$H = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{\lambda(x_1, x_2)} + V(x_1, x_2) \tag{3.1}$$

in local orthogonal coordinates with nondegenerate potential V(x, y). This 4-parameter family is uniquely characterized by a system of partial differential equations of the form

$$V_{22} = V_{11} + A^{22}V_1 + B^{22}V_2, V_{12} = A^{12}V_1 + B^{12}V_2.$$
(3.2)

Now suppose  $U(x_1, x_2)$  is a particular solution of equations (3.2) which is nonzero in an open set. Then the transformed system

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{\tilde{\lambda}(x_1, x_2)} + \tilde{V}(x_1, x_2) \tag{3.3}$$

with nondegenerate potential  $\tilde{V}(x_1, x_2)$ :

$$\tilde{V}_{22} = \tilde{V}_{11} + \tilde{A}^{22}\tilde{V}_1 + \tilde{B}^{22}\tilde{V}_2, 
\tilde{V}_{12} = \tilde{A}^{12}\tilde{V}_1 + \tilde{B}^{12}\tilde{V}_2$$
(3.4)

is also superintegrable, where

$$ilde{\lambda} = \lambda U, \quad ilde{V} = rac{V}{U},$$

$$\tilde{A}^{12} = A^{12} - \frac{U_2}{U}, \ \ \tilde{A}^{22} = A^{22} + 2\frac{U_1}{U}, \ \ \tilde{B}^{12} = B^{12} - \frac{U_1}{U}, \ \ \tilde{B}^{22} = B^{22} - 2\frac{U_2}{U}.$$

Let  $S = \sum a^{ij} p_i p_j + W = S_0 + W$  be a second-order symmetry of H and  $S_U = \sum a^{ij} p_i p_j + W_U = S_0 + W_U$  be the special case of this that is in involution with  $\lambda^{-1}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + U$ . Then

$$\tilde{S} = S_0 - \frac{W_U}{U}H + \frac{1}{U}H$$

is the corresponding symmetry of  $\tilde{H}$ . Since one can always add a constant to a nondegenerate potential, it follows that 1/U defines an inverse Stäckel transform of  $\tilde{H}$  to H. See [4, 27] for many examples of this transform. We say that two superintegrable systems are **Stäckel equivalent** if one can be obtained from the other by a Stäckel transform.

If  $\lambda$  is the metric of a space that admits a nondegenerate superintegrable system, then it is always possible to choose coordinates x, y such that  $\lambda_{12} = 0$  [38]. In [22] we prove the following basic result.

**Theorem 5.** If  $ds^2 = \lambda(dx^2 + dy^2)$  is the metric of a nondegenerate superintegrable system (expressed in coordinates x, y such that  $\lambda_{12} = 0$ ), then  $\lambda = \mu$  is a solution of the system

$$\mu_{12} = 0,$$
 $\mu_{22} - \mu_{11} = 3\mu_1(\ln a^{12})_1 - 3\mu_2(\ln a^{12})_2 + (\frac{a_{11}^{12} - a_{22}^{12}}{a^{12}})\mu,$ 
(3.5)

where either

I) 
$$a^{12} = X(x)Y(y), \quad X'' = \alpha^2 X, \quad Y'' = -\alpha^2 Y,$$
 (3.6)

or

$$II) \quad a^{12} = \frac{2X'(x)Y'(y)}{C(X(x) + Y(y))^2},\tag{3.7}$$

$$(X')^2 = F(X), \quad X'' = \frac{1}{2}F'(X), \quad (Y')^2 = G(Y), \quad Y'' = \frac{1}{2}G'(Y)$$

and

$$F(X) = \frac{\alpha}{24}X^4 + \frac{\gamma_1}{6}X^3 + \frac{\gamma_2}{2}X^2 + \gamma_3X + \gamma_4, \tag{3.8}$$

$$G(Y) = -\frac{\alpha}{24}Y^4 + \frac{\gamma_1}{6}Y^3 - \frac{\gamma_2}{2}Y^2 + \gamma_3 Y - \gamma_4.$$
(3.9)

Conversely every solution  $\lambda$  of one of these systems defines a nondegenerate superintegrable system. If  $\lambda$  is a solution, then the remaining solutions  $\mu$  are exactly the nondegenerate superintegrable systems that are Stäckel equivalent to  $\lambda$ .

Corollary 4. Every nondegenerate superintegrable two-dimensional system is Stäckel equivalent to a nondegenerate superintegrable system on a space of constant curvature.

The nondegenerate superintegrable potentials on two-dimensional constant curvature spaces have already been classified [32, 33]

There is an extensive literature on what amount to superintegrable systems with zero potential. In this case the second order symmetries are called Killing tensors [37, 18]. In a tour de force Koenigs [38] has classified all two-dimensional manifolds with only isolated singularites that admit exactly 3 second order Killing tensors, i.e. no potentials, and listed them in two tables: Tableau VI and Tableau VII.

#### TABLEAU VI

$$[1] ds^{2} = \left[\frac{c_{1} \cos x + c_{2}}{\sin^{2} x} + \frac{c_{3} \cos y + c_{4}}{\sin^{2} y}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[2] ds^{2} = \left[\frac{c_{1} \cosh x + c_{2}}{\sinh^{2} x} + \frac{c_{3} e^{y} + c_{4}}{e^{2y}}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[3] ds^{2} = \left[\frac{c_{1} e^{x} + c_{2}}{e^{2x}} + \frac{c_{3} e^{y} + c_{4}}{e^{2y}}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[4] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + \frac{c_{2}}{x^{2}} + \frac{c_{3}}{y^{2}} + c_{4}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[5] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + \frac{c_{2}}{x^{2}} + c_{3}y + c_{4}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[6] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + c_{2}x + c_{3}y + c_{4}\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

#### TABLEAU VII

$$[1] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\sin^{2}(x,k)} - \frac{1}{\sin^{2}(y,k)}\right) + c_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\cos^{2}(x,k)} - \frac{1}{\cos^{2}(y,k)}\right) + c_{3}\left(\frac{1}{\sin^{2}(x,k)} - \frac{1}{\sin^{2}(y,k)}\right) + c_{4}\left(\sin^{2}(x,k) - \sin^{2}(y,k)\right)\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[2] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\sin^{2}x} - \frac{1}{\sin^{2}y}\right) + c_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\cos^{2}x} - \frac{1}{\cos^{2}y}\right) + c_{3}\left(\cos 2x - \cos 2y\right) + c_{4}\left(\cos 4x - \cos 4y\right)\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[3] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}(\sin 4x - \sin 4y) + c_{2}(\cos 4x - \cos 4y) + c_{3}(\sin 2x - \sin 2y) + c_{4}(\cos 2x - \cos 2y)\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[4] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}} - \frac{1}{y^{2}}\right) + c_{2}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + c_{3}(x^{4} - y^{4}) + c_{4}(x^{6} - y^{6})\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

$$[5] ds^{2} = \left[c_{1}(x - y) + c_{2}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + c_{3}(x^{3} - y^{3}) + c_{4}(x^{4} - y^{4})\right] (dx^{2} - dy^{2})$$

Our theorem above shows easily that these are exactly the spaces that admit superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potentials. (We do not list the potentials here due to space requirements. One space may correspond to several distinct superintegrable systems.) Our derivation is very straightforward and simpler than that of Koenigs. From our point of view Koenigs' impressive contribution shows that every two-dimensional manifold that admits 3 second order Killing tensors also admits at least one nondegenerate potential.

### 4 Nondegenerate quantum superintegrable systems in two dimensions

Now we consider the operator version of superintegrable systems. For a manifold with metric  $ds^2 = \lambda(x,y)(dx^2 + dy^2)$  the Hamiltonian system

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{\lambda(x, y)} + V(x, y)$$

is replaced by the Hamiltonian (Schrödinger) operator with potential

$$H = \frac{1}{\lambda(x,y)}(\partial_{11} + \partial_{22}) + V(x,y). \tag{4.1}$$

A second-order symmetry of the Hamiltonian system

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{k,j=1}^{2} a^{kj}(x,y) p_k p_j + W(x,y),$$

with  $a^{kj} = a^{jk}$ , corresponds to the operator

$$S = \frac{1}{\lambda(x,y)} \sum_{k,j=1}^{2} \partial_k (a^{kj}(x,y)\lambda(x,y)\partial_j) + W(x,y), \quad a^{kj} = a^{jk}. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 1.

$$\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{S}\} = 0 \iff [H, S] = 0.$$

(This lemma is not generally true for higher-dimensional manifolds, where the quantization problem requires a modification of the potential.) It follows from Lemma 1 that the classical results for the space of second-order symmetries corresponding to a nondegenerate potential can be taken over without change. Thus the maximal dimensions of the the spaces of formally self-adjoint symmetry operators of orders 2,3,4 and 6 are the same as for the classical case. Also we can construct a basis of second-order symmetry operators  $S^{(ij)}$  in the neighborhood of a regular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  in exact analogy with the classical symmetries  $S^{(ij)}$ .

If A, B and C are linear operators, we define their symmetrized products by

$$\langle A, B \rangle \equiv AB + BA, \qquad \langle A, B, C \rangle \equiv ABC + BAC + CAB + ACB + BCA + CBA.$$

**Theorem 6.** The 6 distinct monomials

$$< S^{(11)}, S^{(11)} >$$
,  $< S^{(22)}, S^{(22)} >$ ,  $< S^{(12)}, S^{(12)} >$ ,  $< S^{(11)}, S^{(22)} >$ ,  $< S^{(11)}, S^{(12)} >$ ,  $< S^{(12)}, S^{(22)} >$ .

form a basis for the space of fourth-order symmetry operators.

Theorem 7. The 10 distinct monomials

$$< S^{(ii)}, S^{(ii)}, S^{(ii)} >, < S^{(ij)}, S^{(ij)}, S^{(ij)} > < S^{(ii)}, S^{(ii)}, S^{(ij)} >, < S^{(ii)}, S^{(ii)}, S^{(ij)} >, < S^{(ii)}, S^{(ij)} >, < S^{(ii)}, S^{(ii)} >, < S^{(i1)}, S^{(i2)}, S^{(i2)} >,$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$  form a basis for the space of sixth-order symmetries.

These theorems establish the closure of the quadratic algebra for two-dimensional quantum superintegrable potentials: All fourth-order and sixth-order symmetry operators can be expressed as symmetric polynomials in the second-order symmetry operators.

#### 4.1 The Stäckel transform for two-dimensional quantum systems

The quantum analog of the Stäckel transform or coupling constant metamorphosis for Hamilton-Jacobi systems is straightforward in the two-dimensional case. Suppose that we have a superintegrable system

$$H = \frac{1}{\lambda(x,y)}(\partial_{11} + \partial_{22}) + V(x,y) = H_0 + V \tag{4.3}$$

in local orthogonal coordinates with nondegenerate potential V(x,y) and suppose U(x,y) is a particular case of the 3-parameter potential V, nonzero in an open set. Then the transformed system

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}(x,y)} (\partial_{11} + \partial_{22}) + \tilde{V}(x,y) \tag{4.4}$$

is also superintegrable, where

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda U, \quad \tilde{V} = \frac{V}{U}.$$

Theorem 8. 1

$$[\tilde{H}, \tilde{S}] = 0 \iff [H, S] = 0.$$

2.  $\tilde{S} = \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{\lambda U} \partial_i \left( (a^{ij} + \delta^{ij} \frac{1 - W_U}{\lambda U}) \lambda U \right) \partial_j + \left( W - \frac{W_U V}{U} + \frac{V}{U} \right).$ 

Corollary 5. If  $S^{(1)}, S^{(2)}$  are second-order symmetry operators for H, then

$$[\tilde{S}^{(1)}, \tilde{S}^{(2)}] = 0 \iff [S^{(1)}, S^{(2)}] = 0.$$

**Theorem 9.** Every nondegenerate second-order quantum superintegrable system in two variables is Stäckel equivalent to a superintegrable system on a space of constant curvature.

#### 5 Conclusions and further results

In this paper we have described the classification of all two-dimensional superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potential. (In [23, 22] the details of the proofs are given and the results are extended to systems with degenerate potentials.) We have shown that all these systems are Stäckel equivalent to superintegrable systems on spaces of constant curvature the potentials of which have already been classified in detail [26, 28, 27]. We have proved the closure of the quadratic algebra and have shown in principle how to compute the structure of the algebra in individual cases.

The integrability condition approach of §2 that works for superintegrable systems on two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds extends to three-dimensional conformally flat spaces (2n-1=5 functionally independent constants of the motion) with some complications. In two dimensions the quadratic form  $a^{ij}$  has 3 independent components and there are 3 functionally independent second-order symmetries. Thus the value of the quadratic form at any regular point can be prescribed and this uniquely defines a symmetry. For n=3 there are 5 functionally independent second-order symmetries, but the the quadratic form  $a^{i6}$  has 6 independent components. This is a major complication. In [24] we overcome this problem by proving a  $5 \Longrightarrow 6$  Theorem, that is, 5 functionally independent second-order symmetries for a nondegenerate superintegrable three-dimensional system imply 6 linearly independent second-order symmetries. Then we demonstrate that for three-dimensional conformally flat superintegrable systems with nondegenerate potential the maximum possible dimensions of the spaces of second-, third- fourth- and sixth-order symmetries are 6, 4, 26 and 56, respectively and these dimensions are achieved. Again

the three-dimensional quadratic algebra generated by the second-order symmetries always closes at level 6 and there is a standard structure for the algebra.

The passage from the three-dimensional conformally flat classical superintegrable systems to quantum superintegrable systems is still straightforward, but requires modifying the quantum potential by an additive term proportional to the scalar curvature, [25]. Work is in progress to determine all three-dimensional superintegrable systems.

Jacobi's contribution remains central to this program. Indeed **all** orthogonal separable coordinates for n dimensional superintegrable systems on conformally flat manifolds are generalized Jacobi elliptic coordinates and their limiting cases [34].

#### References

- [1] Arscott F M 1964 Periodic Differential Equations (New York: Macmillan)
- [2] Benenti S, Chanu C and Rastelli G 2000 J. Math. Phys. 41 4654-4678
- [3] Bonatos D, Daskaloyannis C and Kokkotas K 1994 Phys. Rev. A 50 3700
- [4] Boyer C P, Kalnins E G and Miller W 1986 SIAM J. Math. Anal. 17 778
- [5] Daskaloyannis C 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 1100-1119
- [6] Eisenhart L P 1934 Ann. Math. 35 284-305
- [7] Eisenhart L P 1948 Phys. Rev. **74** 87
- [8] L.P. Eisenhart L P 1949 Riemannian Geometry (Princeton: Princeton University Press)
- [9] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhëttinger F and Tricomi F G 1953 Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [10] Evans N W 1990 Phys. Rev. A 41 5666; 1991 J. Math. Phys. 32 3369
- [11] Evans N W 1990 Phys. Lett. A 147 483
- [12] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1987 Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons (Berlin: Springer)
- [13] Friš J, Mandrosov V, Smorodinsky Y A, Uhlir M and Winternitz P 1965 *Phys. Lett.* **16** 354
- [14] Friš J, Smorodinskii Y A, Uhlír M and Winternitz P 1967 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 4 444
- [15] Granovsky Y A, Zhedanov A S and Lutzenko I M 1991 J. Phys. A 24, 3887
- [16] Grosche C, Pogosyan G S and Sissakian A N 1995 Fortschritte der Physik 43, 453
- [17] Harnad J 2000 In *Integrable Systems: From Classical to Quantum* J Harnad, G Sabidussi and P Winternitz eds. CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, **26** 21-54
- [18] Hauser I and Malhiot R J 1975 J. Math. Phys. 16 1625-1629

- [19] Hietarinta J, Grammaticos B, Dorizzi B and Ramani A 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 1707-1710
- [20] Jacobi C G J 1866  $Vorlesungen\ uber\ Dynamik$  Published by A Clebsch, (Berlin: Georg Reime )
- [21] Kalnins E G 1986 Separation of Variables for Riemannian Spaces of Constant Curvature, Pitman, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 28 (Essex, England: Longmans)
- [22] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2004 Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. 2: The classical 2D Stäckel transform. (submitted to J. Math. Phys.)
- [23] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2004 Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. 1: 2D classical structure theory. (submitted to J. Math. Phys.)
- [24] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2004 Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. 3: 3D classical structure theory. (in preparation)
- [25] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2004 Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. 4: 2D and 3D quantum structure theory. (in preparation)
- [26] Kalnins E G, Kress J M, Miller W and Pogosyan G S 2001 J. Phys. A 34 4705-4720
- [27] Kalnins E G, Kress J M , Miller W and Winternitz P 2003 J. Math. Phys. 44 5811-5848
- [28] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Winternitz P 2002 J. Math. Phys. 43 970-983
- [29] Kalnins E G and Miller W 1980 SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 1011-1026
- [30] Kalnins E G and Miller W 1991 SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 1450-1459
- [31] Kalnins E G, Miller W and Pogosyan G S 1996 J. Math. Phys. 37 6439
- [32] Kalnins E G, Miller W and Pogosyan G S 2000 J. Phys. A 33 4105
- [33] Kalnins E G, Miller W and Pogosyan G S 2000 J. Phys. A 33 6791-6806
- [34] Kalnins E G, Miller W and Reid G J 1984 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 394 183-206
- [35] Kalnins E G , Miller W and Tratnik M V 1991 SIAM J. Math. Anal. 22 272-294
- [36] Kalnins E G, Miller W, Williams G C and Pogosyan G S 2002 J. Phys. A 35 4655
- [37] Katzin G H and Levine J 1965 Tensor 16 97-104
- [38] Koenigs M G 1972 In Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces by Darboux. G 4 368-404 (New York: Chelsea)

- [39] Landau L and Lifshitz E 1958 Quantum Mechanics, Non-relativistic Theory (Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley)
- [40] Letourneau P and Vinet L 1995 Ann. Phys. 243 144-168
- [41] Levi-Civita T 1904 Math. Ann. 59, 383-397
- [42] Makarov A A, Smorodinsky Y A, Valiev K and Winternitz P 1967 Nuovo Cimento A 52 1061
- [43] Mardoyan L G, Pogosyan G S, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1985 Nuovo Cimento B 88 43; 1984 Theor. Math. Phys. 61 1021; 1985 J. Phys. A 18 455
- [44] Miller W 1977 Symmetry and Separation of Variables (Providence, Rhode Island: Addison-Wesley)
- [45] Miller W 1983 In Proceedings of School and Workshop on Nonlinear Phenomena, Oaxtepec, Mexico, November 29- December 17, 1982, Lecture Notes in Physics 189 (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [46] Miller W 1988 In Symmetries and Non-linear Phenomena p. 188 (World Scientific)
- [47] Rañada M F 1997 J. Math. Phys. 38 4165
- [48] Robertson H P 1927 Math. Ann. 98 749-752
- [49] Sklyanin E K 1989 J. Sov. Math. 47 2473
- [50] Smith S P 1990 Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** 285–314
- [51] Stäckel P 1891 Habilitationsschrift (Halle)
- [52] Ushveridze A G 1993 Quasi-Exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics (Bristol: Instutute of Physics)
- [53] Whittaker E T and Watson G N 1958 A Course of Modern Analysis (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Fourth Edition reprinted)
- [54] Wojciechowski S R 1983 Phys. Lett. A 95 279