

# Solutions to Problem Set #1

Math 8600

October 11, 2002

**Exercise 1** For  $n > 0$ , let  $P_n$  be the vector space of all real-valued polynomials  $p(t)$  on the real line that are of order  $\leq n$ . Let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  be positive real numbers and choose real numbers  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$  to define the real bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $P_n$  such that

$$(p, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j p(t_j) q(t_j), \quad p, q \in P_n.$$

1.  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an inner product on  $P_n$ . In particular, it is obvious that  $(p, q) = (q, p)$  for all  $p, q \in P_n$ . Further, it is evident from the definition that

$$(\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2, q) = \alpha_1 (p_1, q) + \alpha_2 (p_2, q)$$

for all real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $p_1, p_2, q \in P_n$ . If  $q \in P_n$  then

$$(q, q) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j q^2(t_j) \geq 0.$$

If  $(q, q) = 0$  then we must have  $q(t_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $q$  is a polynomial of order  $\leq n$  that vanishes at  $n + 1$  points. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, this is possible if and only if  $q(t) \equiv 0$  for all  $t$ .

2. Show that the **interpolation polynomials**

$$\ell_k(t) = \frac{\prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^n (t - t_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (t_k - t_j)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n$$

form a basis for  $P_n$ .

*Proof:* Note that  $\ell_k(t_j) = \delta_{jk}$ . Given  $p(t) \in P_n$  let

$$\tilde{p}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n p(t_k) \ell_k(t).$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{p} \in P_n$ , as is the polynomial  $q(t) = p(t) - \tilde{p}(t)$ . However,  $\tilde{p}(t_j) = \sum_{k=0}^n p(t_k) \ell_k(t_j) = p(t_j)$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , so  $q(t_j) = p(t_j) - \tilde{p}(t_j) = 0$ . By the argument in the previous proof, this means that  $q(t) \equiv 0$ . Hence

$$p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n p(t_k) \ell_k(t).$$

and the interpolation polynomials form a basis for  $P_n$ .

3. The  $\ell_k$ , suitably normalized, form an ON basis for  $P_n$ .

*Proof:*

$$(\ell_h, \ell_k) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \ell_h(t_j) \ell_k(t_j) = a_h \delta_{hk}$$

so the functions

$$\hat{\ell}_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_k}} \ell_k(t), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

form an ON basis of  $P_n$ .

4. Expand  $p(t) \in P_n$  in terms of the basis, and compute the expansion coefficients: We have

$$p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \hat{\ell}_k(t)$$

where

$$b_k = (p, \hat{\ell}_k) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j p(t_j) \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_k}} \ell_k(t_j) = \sqrt{a_k} p(t_k).$$

**Exercise 2** Consider the Gaussian pulse

$$s(t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi T^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-t^2/T^2 + 2\pi i \omega_0 t},$$

normalized to have unit energy. Verify that the ambiguity function is given by

$$A_s(u, w) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u^2}{T^2} + 4\pi^2 w^2 T^2\right)} e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u}.$$

*Proof:* We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_s(u, w) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s\left(t - \frac{u}{2}\right) \bar{s}\left(t + \frac{u}{2}\right) e^{4\pi i t w} dt = \left(\frac{2}{\pi T^2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2t^2 + u^2/2}{T^2}} e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u + 4\pi i t w} dt \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi T^2}\right) e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u^2}{T^2} + 4\pi^2 w^2 T^2\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{T} + \sqrt{2}i\pi w T\right)^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

From complex variable theory, we know that this integral is independent of  $w$ . Setting  $w = 0$  under the integral sign and using the well known integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = \sqrt{\pi}$ , we obtain the result.

Describe the level curves  $|A_s(u, w)| = k$  in the  $u - w$  plane. Discuss the effect of varying the pulse length  $T$  on the problem of estimating the range and velocity of the target.

The level curves are ellipses

$$\frac{u^2}{T^2} + 4\pi^2 w^2 T^2 = \lambda, \quad \lambda = \ln k^{-2}.$$

For  $k = 1$  the ellipse shrinks to the point  $(0, 0)$ . As  $k$  decreases, the ellipse grows. As  $T$  grows the ellipse becomes elongated along the  $u$ -axis and shrinks along the  $w$ -axis. Thus we obtain a more accurate estimate of the velocity of the target, but a less accurate estimate of the position. The reverse happens as  $T$  grows smaller.

**Exercise 3** Show that the area enclosed by a level curve  $|A_s(u, w)| = k$  in problem 2 is independent of  $T$ .

*Proof:* let  $A$  be the area. Then

$$A = 4 \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi T}} \sqrt{\lambda T^2 - 4\pi^2 w^2 T^4} dw = \frac{2\lambda}{\pi} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx = \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

**Exercise 4** Consider the rectangular pulse with unit energy

$$s(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \chi_T(t) e^{2\pi i \omega_0 t}$$

where

$$\chi_T(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -T \leq t \leq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that the ambiguity function is

$$A_s(u, w) = e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u} \begin{cases} \frac{\sin[(1 - \frac{|u|}{2T})(4\pi w T)]}{4\pi w T} & \text{if } |u| \leq 2T \\ 0 & \text{if } |u| > 2T. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* Since  $A_s(u, w)$  is an even function of  $u$ , we can restrict ourselves to the case  $u \geq 0$ . Then

$$A_s(u, w) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u}}{2T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_T(t - \frac{u}{2}) \chi_T(t + \frac{u}{2}) e^{4\pi i t w} dt.$$

Note that the integrand is nonzero only in the intersection of the  $t$  intervals  $(-T + \frac{u}{2}, T + \frac{u}{2})$  and  $(-T - \frac{u}{2}, T - \frac{u}{2})$ . Thus the integrand is 0 for  $u \geq 2T$ . Otherwise the integrand is nonzero in the overlap  $(-T + \frac{u}{2}, T - \frac{u}{2})$  and we have

$$A_s(u, w) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u}}{2T} \int_{-T + \frac{u}{2}}^{T - \frac{u}{2}} e^{4\pi i t w} dt = e^{-2\pi i \omega_0 u} \frac{\sin 4\pi w (T - \frac{u}{2})}{4\pi w T}.$$

Describe the level curves  $|A_s(u, w)| = k$  in the  $u - w$  plane.

*Demonstration:* In the  $u - w$  plane the curves have the equation

$$\left| \frac{\sin \left[ 4\pi w \left( T - \frac{|u|}{2} \right) \right]}{4\pi w T} \right| = k, \quad |u| \leq 2T.$$

Since the left-hand side is an even function of  $u$  and an even function of  $w$ , we can, without loss of generality, limit ourselves to the quadrant  $u \geq 0, w \geq 0$ . Now we rewrite the equation in the form

$$\frac{\sin \left[ 4\pi w T \left( 1 - \frac{u}{2T} \right) \right]}{4\pi w T \left( 1 - \frac{u}{2T} \right)} = \frac{k}{1 - \frac{u}{2T}}, \quad 0 \leq u \leq 2T. \quad (1)$$

Then the left-hand side takes the form

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}, \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

and  $f(0) = 1$ . Here,

$$x = 4\pi wT \left(1 - \frac{u}{2T}\right).$$

From elementary calculus (also from the treatment of the Gibb's phenomenon example in the online wavelets notes) we know that  $f(x)$  has the following properties

1.  $f$  takes on its maximum value at  $x = 0$ , and  $f(0) = 1$ .
2.  $f$  is continuous and differentiable for all  $x$ .
3.  $f$  is monotonically decreasing from 1 to 0 on the interval  $[0, \pi]$  and the has a unique, continuous, inverse on this interval.
4. Thus, for each  $z$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq 1$  there is a unique  $x(z) \in [0, \pi]$  such that  $z = f(x)$ . Further  $x(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow 1$ .

For fixed  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 1$  we see that the left-hand side of (1) can take values only in the range  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ , whereas the right-hand side  $z = \frac{k}{1 - \frac{u}{2T}}$  can take values only in the range  $k \leq z$ . Since the two sides are equal, for a solution  $z$  we must have  $k \leq z \leq 1$ . Thus  $u = 2T(1 - \frac{k}{z})$  is constrained to lie in the range  $0 \leq u \leq 2T(1 - k)$ . Once  $u$  is chosen in this range then  $x$ , hence  $w = x/4\pi T(1 - \frac{u}{2T}) = \frac{xz}{4\pi kT}$  is uniquely determined by the equation  $f(x) = z$ . As  $k \rightarrow 1$  we must have  $z \rightarrow 1$ , since  $k \leq z \leq 1$ . Thus  $x \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow 1$ . We see from this that the solutions  $(u, w)$  of (1) go smoothly to  $(0, 0)$  as  $k \rightarrow 1$ .

Now let us fix  $k$  and consider the effect of varying  $T$ . Choose a  $z$  in the range  $k \leq z \leq 1$  and let  $x$  be the unique value in the range  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$  such that  $z = f(x)$ . Then

$$u = 2T \left(1 - \frac{k}{z}\right), \quad w = \frac{xz}{4\pi kT},$$

so the determination of position becomes less accurate and the determination of velocity more accurate as  $T$  grows.

Show that for  $k = 1 - c^2$  with  $c$  very close to zero, the level curves can be approximated by  $\frac{|u|}{2T} + \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 w^2 T^2 = c^2$ .

*Proof:* For  $k$  very close to 1,  $(u, w)$  is constrained to lie very close to  $(0, 0)$ , so, expanding the sin in a power series and dropping terms of order  $\geq 3$  in

$u, w$ , we have

$$\frac{\sin[4\pi wT(1 - \frac{|u|}{2T})]}{4\pi wT} \sim 1 - \frac{|u|}{2T} - \frac{1}{6}(1 - \frac{|u|}{2T})^3(16\pi^2 w^2 T^2) = 1 - c^2$$

or

$$\frac{|u|}{2T} + \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 w^2 T^2 = c^2.$$

**Exercise 5** Assuming that  $\chi(t)$  is a continuously differentiable function of  $t$ , use a differential equations argument to show that the only nonzero solutions of the functional equation

$$\chi(t_1 + t_2) = \chi(t_1)\chi(t_2), \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

are  $\chi(t) = e^{at}$ , where  $a$  is a constant.

*Proof:* Differentiating the functional equation with respect to  $t_1$  we have  $\chi'(t_1 + t_2) = \chi'(t_1)\chi(t_2)$ . Setting  $t_1 = 0, t_2 = t$  we have

$$\chi'(t) = a\chi(t), \quad a = \chi'(0).$$

The general solution of this differential equation is  $\chi(t) = \alpha e^{at}$  where  $\alpha$  is a constant. Substituting this result into the functional equation we have  $\alpha e^{a(t_1+t_2)} = \alpha^2 e^{at_1+at_2}$ , or  $\alpha = \alpha^2$ . This means  $\alpha = 0, 1$ . Since  $\chi(t) \neq 0$  we must have  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Exercise 6** (Haar wavelets on  $[0,1]$ ) Let  $\phi(t)$  be the Haar scaling function

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $h_k(t) = \sqrt{n}\phi(nt - k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

1. Show that  $\{h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}\}$  is an ON set in  $L^2[0, 1]$ .

*Proof:* Note that

$$h_k(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if } \frac{k}{n} \leq t < \frac{k+1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\int_0^1 h_k(t)h_\ell(t)dt = 0$  if  $k \neq \ell$  because  $h_k(t)h_\ell(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . However,

$$\int_0^1 h_k^2(t)dt = n \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} dt = 1.$$

2. Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  and form the projection  $f_n(t)$  on the subspace  $S_n$  of  $L^2[0, 1]$  spanned by  $\{h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}\}$ :

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f, h_k) h_k.$$

Show that  $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$  pointwise uniformly in  $t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof:* We have

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} n \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(\tau) d\tau \phi(nt - k).$$

Thus,

$$f_n(t) = n \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } \frac{k}{n} \leq t < \frac{k+1}{n}.$$

By the mean value theorem of calculus, there is a point  $t_{n,k}$  in the interval  $(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n})$  such that the integral expression on the right is equal to  $f(t_{n,k})$ . Thus

$$f_n(t) = f(t_{n,k}) \quad \text{for } \frac{k}{n} \leq t < \frac{k+1}{n}.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous on the closed bounded set  $[0, 1]$ , it is uniformly continuous on this set. Thus for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f(t) - f(t')| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|t - t'| < \delta(\epsilon)$ . Now given  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $n > \frac{1}{\delta(\epsilon)}$ . Then

$$|f(t) - f_n(t)| = |f(t) - f(t_{n,k})| < \epsilon, \quad \text{because } |t - t_{n,k}| < \frac{1}{n} < \delta(\epsilon)$$

so  $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ , uniformly in  $t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

3. For  $f(t) = 1 - t^2$ , use MATLAB, Maple, or  $\dots$  to compute explicitly the Haar wavelet decomposition for  $n = 4, 8$ , and 16. Plot the results.

A solution using MATLAB. The following MATLAB code will give a simultaneous plot of the three functions.

```

t= 0:1/(2^7):1-1/(2^7);
f4= zeros(1,2^7);
N=4;
for Integer =0:N-1
for number = (2^7)*Integer/N + 1 : (2^7)*Integer/N + (2^7)/N;
f4(number)=1- (Integer*(Integer+1)/(N)^2)-(1/(3*N^2));
end
end
f8= zeros(1,2^7);
N=8;
for Integer =0:N-1
for number = (2^7)*Integer/N + 1 : (2^7)*Integer/N + (2^7)/N;
f8(number)=1- (Integer*(Integer+1)/(N)^2)-(1/(3*N^2));
end
end
f16= zeros(1,2^7);
N=16;
for Integer =0:N-1
for number = (2^7)*Integer/N + 1 : (2^7)*Integer/N + (2^7)/N;
f16(number)=1- (Integer*(Integer+1)/(N)^2)-(1/(3*N^2));
end
end
plot(t,f4,t,f8,t,f16,t , 1-t.^2)
title('Plot of f(t)=1-t^2 and Haar approximations for N=4,8,16')

```

*If we needed to use many different values of  $N$ , it would be easier to define a function  $haar(N)$  to compute  $f_N(t)$  for us, save it in the file  $haar.m$ , and then write a simple code to plot  $haar(N)$  for different values of  $N$ . (You would need to open *MATLAB* in the directory containing  $haar.m$ , or make sure that this directory is in the startup path of *MATLAB*. For example, the file  $haar.m$  could take the form*

```

function x = haar(N)
% This function computes the Haar approximation f_N(t)
% to f(t)=1-t^2 at 128 equally spaced points on the
% unit interval, for any positive integer N.
x= zeros(1,2^7);

```



```

for Integer =0:N-1
for number = (2^7)*Integer/N + 1 : (2^7)*Integer/N + (2^7)/N;
x(number)=1- (Integer*(Integer+1)/(N)^2)-(1/(3*N^2));
end;
end;

```

*Then the main program would be very short (even if we add the graph for  $N=32$ ):*

```

t= 0:1/(2^7):1-1/(2^7);
plot(t,haar(4),t,haar(8),t,haar(16),t,haar(32),t , 1-t.^2)
title('Plot of f(t)=1-t^2 and Haar approximations for N=4,8,16,32')

```

*A more flexible (but less accurate) approach, useful for treating several different functions  $f(t)$  and values of  $N$ , would be easier to define a function  $\text{Haar}(f, N)$  to compute  $f_N(t)$  for us (using the MATLAB function  $\text{quad}(f, a, b)$ , Simpson's rule for evaluation of  $\int_a^b f(t)dt$ ), save the definition in the file *Haar.m*, and then write a simple code to plot  $\text{Haar}(f, N)$  for different choices of  $f, N$ . (You would need to open MATLAB in the directory containing *Haar.m*, or make sure that this directory is in the startup path of MATLAB. For example, the file *Haar.m* could take the form*

```

function x = Haar(f,N)
% This function computes the Haar approximation f_N(t)
% to the function f(t) at 128 equally spaced points
%on the unit interval
x= zeros(1,2^7);
for Integer =0:N-1
for number = (2^7)*Integer/N + 1 : (2^7)*Integer/N + (2^7)/N;
x(number)=N*quad(f,Integer/N,(Integer+1)/N);
end;
end;

```

*Then the main program would be very short:*

```

t= 0:1/(2^7):1-1/(2^7);
plot (t,Haar('1-t.^2',4),t,Haar('1-t.^2',8),t,Haar('1-t.^2',16),t,1-t.^2)
title('Plot of f(t)=1-t^2 and Haar approximations for N=4,8,16')

```

or

```
plot (t, Haar('sin(2*pi*t)',8),t, Haar('sin(2*pi*t)',16),t,sin(2*pi*t))
title('Plot of f(t)=sin(2*pi*t) and Haar approximations for N=8,16')
```

**Exercise 7** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $T$  an irreducible matrix representation of  $G$ . Choose  $h \in G$  and let

$$C_h = \{k \in G : k = g^{-1}hg \text{ for some } g \in G\}$$

be the **conjugacy class** containing  $h$ . Show that

$$\sum_{k \in C_h} T(k)$$

is a multiple of the identity matrix.

*Proof:* Let  $g_0 \in G$  and consider the set

$$g_0^{-1}C_h g_0 = \{g_0^{-1}k g_0 : k \in C_h\}.$$

1) If  $k \in C_h$  then  $k \in g_0^{-1}C_h g_0$ . Indeed, then  $k = g^{-1}hg$  for some  $g \in G$  and we have

$$k = (g_0^{-1}g_0)g^{-1}hg(g_0^{-1}g_0) = g_0^{-1} \left( (gg_0^{-1})^{-1}h(gg_0^{-1}) \right) g_0.$$

2) If  $k \in g_0^{-1}C_h g_0$  then  $k \in C_h$ . Indeed, then  $k = g_0^{-1}(g^{-1}hg)g_0$  for some  $g \in G$  and we have

$$k = (gg_0)^{-1}h(gg_0).$$

Thus

$$g_0^{-1}C_h g_0 \equiv C_h$$

for all  $h, g_0 \in G$ .

Now set  $A = \sum_{k \in C_h} T(k)$ . Then for any  $g_0 \in G$  we have

$$T(g_0^{-1})AT(g_0)T(g_0) = \sum_{k \in C_h} T(g_0^{-1})T(k)T(g_0) = \sum_{k \in C_h} T(g_0^{-1}k g_0) = \sum_{k \in C_h} T(k) = A.$$

Thus  $AT(g_0) = T(g_0)A$  for all  $g_0 \in G$ . Since  $T$  is irreducible,  $A$  is a multiple of the identity matrix.