

10/1/18

Math 8680

 $(n+m) \times n$

Recall from last time: Given $\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ c \end{bmatrix}$,

we define $\hat{B} + K = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & -c^T \\ \hline c & \begin{matrix} x_{n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & x_{n+m} \end{matrix} \end{array} \right]$,

$\tau_j = \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} x_i^{B_{ij}}$ where exponents are j th column.
(Laurent monomial)

$\tilde{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) \xrightarrow{(\hat{B} + K)^T} (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n+m})$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{rank } \tilde{B} = n_0$

non-degenerate
for some choice of x_i 's

Then $\Omega^\tau = (\hat{B} + K)^T \Omega^{\tilde{X}} (\hat{B} + K)$.

(part of) Thm 4.5 IF \tilde{B} has rank n & $\#$ permutation matrix P s.t. PBP^T block-diagonal, i.e. B is irreducible, then

the first n columns of Ω^τ , which we denote as $\Omega^\tau [n+m; n]$ satisfies

$$\boxed{\Omega^\tau [n+m; n] = \text{scalar multiple of } \tilde{B}}$$

PF: Suppose $\{x_i, x_j\} = w_{ij} x_i x_j$ for $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n+m\}$.
After mutation in the i th direction,

$$\{x_i', x_j\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\prod_{b_{ki} > 0} x_k^{b_{ki}} + \prod_{b_{ki} < 0} x_k^{-b_{ki}} \right), x_j \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad &= \frac{x_j}{x_i} \prod_{b_{ki} > 0} x_k^{b_{ki}} \left(\sum_{b_{ki} > 0} b_{ki} w_{kj} - w_{ij} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{x_j}{x_i} \prod_{b_{ki} < 0} x_k^{b_{ki}} \left(- \sum_{b_{ki} < 0} b_{ki} w_{kj} - w_{ij} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the new cluster is compatible w/ the Poisson bracket \Leftrightarrow

$$\boxed{ \sum_{b_{ki} > 0} b_{ki} w_{kj} - w_{ij} = \sum_{b_{ki} < 0} b_{ki} w_{kj} - w_{ij} \quad \forall j \neq i. }$$

Hence $\{x_i', x_j\} = \lambda_{i,j} x_i' x_j$ under this condition.

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{B} + K)^T \Omega^{\tilde{x}} [n+m, n] = \tilde{B}^T \Omega^{\tilde{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overset{n}{D} & \overset{m}{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

where D is diagonal. ($DB = \Omega^{\tilde{x}} [n, n]$ is skew-symmetric)

and $\Omega^{\tilde{x}}$ chosen so $\Omega^{\tilde{x}} [n+m, n]$ proportional to \tilde{B} .

(when B skew-symmetric and irreducible)

Note: Technical changes if B block diagonal after simultaneous permutation of rows & cols, or if B skew-symmetrizable.

(Rem: From above equation, we see that $\{j_j\}$ compatible w/ cluster structure equivalent to $\tilde{B}^T \Omega^{\tilde{x}} = [D \ 0]$.)

3

Example 4.6 Let $\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

$$\Omega^{\tilde{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B}+K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Omega^{\tau} = (\hat{B}+K)^T \Omega^{\tilde{x}} (\hat{B}+K) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice: $\Omega^{\tau} [7; 2] = Z \cdot \tilde{B}$.
(First 2 columns)

τ_i 's are Laurent monomials

$$\tau_1 = \frac{x_2 x_5}{x_4 x_6}, \quad \tau_2 = \frac{x_3 x_6}{x_1 x_7}, \quad \tau_3 = \frac{x_3}{x_2}, \quad \tau_4 = x_1 x_4$$

$$\tau_5 = \frac{x_5}{x_1}, \quad \tau_6 = \frac{x_1 x_6}{x_2}, \quad \tau_7 = x_2 x_7$$

(4)

We now consider how τ -coordinates transform under mutation.

Lemma 4.4 If $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\} \xrightarrow{(\widehat{B}+K)^T} \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n+m}\}$

After mutation in \bar{i} th direction (w/ B' = new exchange matrix)

$\{x_1, \dots, x'_{\bar{i}}, \dots, x_{n+m}\} \xrightarrow{(\widehat{B}' + K)^T} \{\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_{n+m}\}$

Then $\tau'_i = \frac{1}{\tau_i}$

$$\tau'_j = \begin{cases} \tau_j \left(\frac{1}{\tau_i} + 1\right)^{-b_{ij}} & \text{for } b_{ij} > 0 \\ \tau_j (\tau_i + 1)^{-b_{ij}} & \text{for } b_{ij} < 0 \\ \tau_j & \text{for } b_{ij} = 0 \text{ \& } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Pf: straight-forward calculation using the definition of matrix mutation & $(\widehat{B}+K)^T$.

As a consequence, a compatible Poisson bracket on $\widetilde{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$ can be identified w/ a

skew-symmetric bilinear form ω on the

$(n+m)$ -dim'l vector space L spanned by $\log \tau_i$'s.

$$\omega(\log \tau_i, \log \tau_j) = \{\log \tau_i, \log \tau_j\} = w_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

⑤ This skew-symm, bilinear form ω turns L into a symplectic vector space.

we use $\log(Fg) = \log F + \log g$, $\log\left(\frac{1}{F}\right) = -\log F$,

and
$$\frac{\partial \log F}{\partial F} = \frac{1}{F}$$

We let $\langle e_1, \dots, e_{n+m} \rangle$ be a basis for L
 where $e_i := \log \tau_i$

Then ^{extended} mutation matrix \widehat{B} (notice no K here)
 allows us to interpret mutation in j th direction as

$\langle e_1, \dots, e_{n+m} \rangle \mapsto \langle e'_1, \dots, e'_{n+m} \rangle$ where

$$e'_i = \begin{cases} -e_j & \text{if } i=j \\ e_i & \text{if } w(e_j, e_i) \geq 0 \neq i \neq j \\ \sigma_{e_j}(e_i) & \text{if } w(e_j, e_i) < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_v = L \rightarrow L$ is a symplectic transvection,
 the map $x \mapsto x - w(x, v) \cdot v$.

Hence we interpret a cluster alg corresponding to a specific lattice & symplectic vec space L , and a seed is a choice of coordinates/basis with mutation being a transition to different coordinates/basis & hence changes ω -~~form~~

⑥ Notice $\log(\tau_i') = \log\left(\frac{1}{\tau_i}\right) = -\log \tau_i$

$$e_i' = -e_i \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } j \neq i, \quad \log(\tau_j') &= \log(\tau_j) - b_{ij} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau_i}\right) \\ &= \log(\tau_j) - b_{ij} \log(1 + \tau_i) \\ &\quad + b_{ij} \log(\tau_i) \quad \text{for } \underline{b_{ij} > 0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \log(\tau_j') = \log(\tau_j) - b_{ij} \log(1 + \tau_i) \quad \text{for } b_{ij} < 0$$

Notice that if we think of τ_i as "large",

$$\log \tau_i \approx \log(1 + \tau_i)$$

Thus for $j \neq i$, $e_j' \approx e_j$ for $b_{ij} > 0$

$$\& \quad e_j' \approx e_j - b_{ij} e_i \quad \text{for } b_{ij} < 0$$

agreeing w/ def'n of $\{e_{i,j}, e_{n+m}\}$'s. 

Remark: Can have this symplectic vector space L only if $n+m$ is even.

Need to handle $n+m$ odd differently, we discuss pre-symplectic structures next.