

10/3/18

Math 8680 A pre-symplectic structure \mathcal{W}

\mathcal{W} is a closed differential 2-form (could be degenerate) on an $(n+m)$ -dim'l rational manifold.

Since \mathcal{W} possibly degenerate, we don't necessarily have a Poisson bracket w/ which to define log-canonicality

so we instead say functions $g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{n+m}$ are log-canonical w.r.t \mathcal{W}

if $\mathcal{W} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+m} w_{ij} \frac{dg_i}{g_i} \wedge \frac{dg_j}{g_j}$ where w_{ij} are constants.

We still define $\mathcal{R}^g = (w_{ij})$ as the coeff matrix.
 \mathcal{R}^g is skew-symmetric by construction.

Def: Pre-symp. structure \mathcal{W} on a rational manifold is compatible w/ the cl. alg. A if all clusters in A are log-canonical w.r.t. \mathcal{W} .

Example 6.1 Let $\tilde{X} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $\tilde{B} = B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

No non-trivial Poisson bracket compatible w/ this cluster algebra, but if we let

$$\mathcal{W} = \lambda \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \mu \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} + \nu \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3}$$

we can determine when this pre-symp. str. compatible w/ A .

(2)

Observe $x_1' = \frac{x_2 + x_3}{x_1}$.

$$\text{Claim: } \frac{dx_1}{x_1} = -\frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} + \frac{dx_2}{x_2 + x_3} + \frac{dx_3}{x_2 + x_3}.$$

$$\text{PF: } d\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{g df - f dg}{g^2} \text{ by quotient rule}$$

$$\left[\text{or using } d\left(\frac{1}{g}\right) = -\frac{dg}{g^2} \text{ & product rule } d(fg) = f dg + g df \right]$$

$$d\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{x_1}\right) = \frac{d(x_2 + x_3)}{x_1^2}$$

$$\text{So } dx_1' = x_1 \left(\frac{dx_2 + dx_3}{x_1^2} \right) - \frac{dx_1(x_2 + x_3)}{x_1^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dx_1}{x_1} = -\frac{dx_1' \cdot x_1}{x_2 + x_3} + \frac{dx_2 + dx_3}{x_2 + x_3}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{x_1}{x_2 + x_3} = \frac{1}{x_1'} \text{ so we get the desired equality. } \square$$

Hence we can rewrite w in terms of x_1', x_2, x_3 as

$$w = -\lambda \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \left(\mu + \frac{\lambda x_3 + \nu x_2}{x_2 + x_3} \right) \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dx_2 \wedge dx_2}{x_2} = 0 \\ & \left(\text{using } \frac{dx_3 \wedge dx_3}{x_3} = 0 \right) \quad - \nu \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3}. \\ & \underline{dx_3 \wedge dx_2 = -dx_2 \wedge dx_3} \end{aligned}$$

(3)

More details:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= \lambda \left(\frac{-dx_1'}{x_1'} + \frac{dx_2}{x_2+x_3} + \frac{dx_3}{x_2+x_3} \right) \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \mu \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} \\
 &\quad + \nu \left(\frac{-dx_1'}{x_1'} + \frac{dx_2}{x_2+x_3} + \frac{dx_3}{x_2+x_3} \right) \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} \\
 &= -\lambda \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \cancel{\lambda \frac{dx_2}{x_2+x_3} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2}}^{\circ} + \cancel{\lambda \frac{dx_3}{x_2+x_3} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2}}^{\circ} \\
 &\quad + \mu \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} - \nu \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} + \nu \frac{dx_2}{x_2+x_3} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} \\
 &\quad + \cancel{\nu \frac{dx_3}{x_2+x_3} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3}}^{\circ} \\
 &= -\lambda \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \left(\mu - \cancel{\lambda \frac{x_3}{x_2+x_3} + \nu x_2}^{\circ} \right) \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3} \\
 &\quad + \cancel{\nu \frac{dx_1'}{x_1'} \wedge \frac{dx_3}{x_3}}^{\circ}.
 \end{aligned}$$

minus sign from
 $dx_3 \wedge dx_2 = -dx_2 \wedge dx_3$

Hence, this form is compatible only if $\left(\mu + \frac{-\lambda x_3 + \nu x_2}{x_2+x_3} \right)$ is a constant, i.e. only if $\lambda = -\nu$.

Using mutations in the other directions can yield $\boxed{\lambda = \mu}$
and we'll get $\mathcal{N}^X = \lambda B$ if compatible.

(4)

Analogous to Thm 4.5 regarding compatible Poisson brackets, we get Thm 6.2 which classifies the vector space of possible pre-symplectic structures.

(Part of) Thm 4.5 If $\text{rank } \tilde{B} = n$ (\tilde{B} is $(n+m) \times n$), all compatible Poisson brackets form a vector space of dimension $r(B) + \binom{m}{2}$ where $r(B)=1$ if B irreducible
 $= \# \text{blocks in decomp if reducible}$

Thm 6.2 As long as \tilde{B} has no zero rows, all compatible pre-symp. structures form a vec sp. of dimension $r(B) + \binom{m}{2}$. [i.e. the same dimension if $\text{rank } \tilde{B} = n$]

Cor. When B irreducible & $\tilde{B} = B$ (i.e. $m=0$) then $r(B)=1$, $\binom{m}{2}=0 \Rightarrow$ 1-dimensional space of pre-symp. structures, i.e. scalar multiple of \tilde{B} as E.g. 6.1

Note also that if

$$w = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+m} w_{jk} \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \wedge \frac{dx_k}{x_k} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+m} w'_{jk} \frac{dx'_j}{x'_j} \wedge \frac{dx'_k}{x'_k}$$

after mutation in the i th direction

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}\} \xrightarrow{\text{Mi}} \{x'_1, \dots, x'_{m+n}\}$$

then $w'_{ij} = -w_{ij}$ & for $j \neq i, k \neq i$,

$$w'_{jk} = w_{jk} + w_{ik} b_{ij} \quad \begin{matrix} b_{ij} \cdot b_{ik} < 0 \\ (\text{e.g. } b_{ij} > 0 \text{ & } b_{ik} < 0) \end{matrix}$$

↓ continued

⑤ and $w_{jk}' = w_{jk}$ if $b_{ij} \circ b_{ik} \geq 0$.

Furthermore $w_{ij} \circ b_{ki} = w_{ik} \circ b_{ji}$ in this case,
i.e. if $b_{ji} \circ b_{ki}$ are both positive

$$\frac{w_{ij}}{b_{ji}} = \frac{w_{ik}}{b_{ki}} = m_i.$$

$$\text{Hence } \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} X[n+m_j, n] = \text{diag}(m_1, \dots, m_n) \tilde{B}.$$

Corollary 6.4 Suppose $m = 0$, so $\tilde{B} = B$, and
assume B is skewsymmetric. Further assume B is irreducible.
Then up to a scalar, there exists a unique
closed 2-form W on what's called the secondary
cluster manifold compatible w/ $A(B)$. This form is symplectic.

Called the Weil-Petersson form associated w/ $A(B)$

$$\text{i.e. } W = \sum_{j,k} b_{kj} \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \wedge \frac{dx_k}{x_k}.$$

Also get χ -coordinates on secondary cluster manifold as

$$x_j = \prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{b_{kj}} \quad \begin{cases} \text{Although no longer necessarily} \\ \text{functionally independent.} \end{cases}$$

Next time: Teichmüller theory & Weil-Petersson form
in that case.