

Corrections to the Corrected Printing and Paperback Edition of

Olver, P.J., *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*,
Second Edition, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1993.

Last updated: May 7, 2019

*** On page 10, line 6, change

$$\phi \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

to

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*** On page 19, line 17, change

$$x \in V_0 = \{x : |x| < \frac{1}{2}\}$$

to

$$x \in V_0 = \{-1 < x < \frac{1}{3}\}$$

*** On page 36, line 9, change

$$\varepsilon, \theta \in V$$

to

$$(\varepsilon, \theta) \in V$$

*** On page 43, line 23, change

smoth vector fields

to

smooth vector fields

*** On page 52, Example 1.58, change

Lie proved that

to

Lie proved, [4], that

*** On page 67, line 15, change

sort

to

short

*** On page 70, Exercise 1.8, change

in polar coordinates,

to

in polar coordinates with $r > 0$,

*** On page 72, Exercise 1.24(b), change

$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ has the property

to

$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a *normal subalgebra* (or *ideal*), meaning that it has the property

*** On pages 79–81, the ends of the proofs of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 that refer back to (1.40) are subtly flawed, in that, under our definition of a local group action, even when $g = g_1 \cdot g_2$ with $g, g_1, g_2 \in G_x$, there is no guarantee that $g_1 \in G_{g_2 \cdot x}$, i.e., that $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x)$ is defined even though $g \cdot x = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot x$ is defined.

However, a more direct argument, that avoids this difficulty, is to replace the end of the proof of Proposition 2.6 by the following:

... Conversely, if (2.1) holds everywhere, then

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \zeta(\exp(\varepsilon \mathbf{v})x) = 0$$

where defined, and hence $\zeta(\exp(\varepsilon \mathbf{v})x) = \zeta(x) = c$ is constant for ε in the connected interval containing 0 in $\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exp(\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) \in G_x\}$. Using the fact that the exponential map is a local diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ to a neighborhood of $e \in G_x$, we conclude that $\zeta(g \cdot x) = c$ for all g in an open neighborhood of the identity in G_x . Now, set $\tilde{G}_x = \{g \in G_x \mid \zeta(g \cdot x) = c\}$. Applying the preceding argument at the point $g \cdot x$ for any $g \in \tilde{G}_x$ proves that \tilde{G}_x is open, while continuity proves that it is closed in G_x . Thus, by connectivity, $\tilde{G}_x = G_x$, and the result follows. \square

Similarly, replace the end of the proof of Theorem 2.8 by:

... We have thus shown that if x_0 is a solution to $F(x) = 0$, and \mathbf{v} is an infinitesimal generator of G , and ε is sufficiently small, then $\exp(\varepsilon \mathbf{v})x_0$ is also a solution. As in the proof of Proposition 2.6, one then shows that $\tilde{G}_x = \{g \in G_x \mid F(g \cdot x_0) = 0\}$ is both open and closed in G_x , and hence, by connectivity, $\tilde{G}_x = G_x$. \square

- Thanks to Colin James Stockdale Klaus for correspondence on this point.

*** On page 83, line 14, change

following

to

following

*** On page 93, line 8, change

functon

to

function

*** On page 106, line -5, the term inside the parentheses should be $\Xi_\varepsilon(x)$. Thus, the equation should read

$$\sum_l \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_{-\varepsilon}^k}{\partial \tilde{x}^j \partial \tilde{x}^l} (\Xi_\varepsilon(x)) \frac{d \Xi_\varepsilon^l}{d\varepsilon}(x) = 0,$$

*** On page 111, line 3, change

$J\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x)$
to
 $J\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(\tilde{x})$

*** On page 123, line 5, change

$\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$
to
 $\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

*** On page 151, line after (2.110), change

normal subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}
to
normal subalgebra (ideal) of \mathfrak{g}

*** On page 163, line -3, change

differential equatons
to
differential equations

*** On page 167, line 2, change

$\binom{p+k-1}{k} \cdot l$
to
 $\binom{p+k}{k} \cdot l$

*** On page 167, Definition 2.83, change

differential equatons
to
differential equations

*** On page 169, line -9, change

Exercise 2.32
to
Exercise 2.33

- Thanks to Mariano Hermida de La Rica for the preceding four corrections.

*** On page 187, line 8, change

with respect y

to

with respect to y

*** On page 187, line 14, change

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \hat{x}} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}$$

to

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \hat{x}} \frac{\partial \hat{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}$$

- Thanks to Wen-xiu Ma and his class for catching this and several other errors.

*** On page 202, lines 13–14, change

linear system of ordinary differential equations,

to

linear, constant coefficient system of ordinary differential equations,

*** On page 207, line -2, change

Example 2.64

to

Example 2.44

*** On page 212, on both line 12 and line 13, change

M/G

to

M/G^2

*** On page 214, line 13, change

$$\tilde{F}(x) = F[\pi(x)]$$

to

$$F(x) = \tilde{F}[\pi(x)]$$

*** On page 215, line -1, change

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-s})$$

to

$$\tilde{F} = \hat{F}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-s})$$

*** On page 217, line 6, change

$$F(R, K) = 0$$

to

$$\widehat{F}(R, K) = 0$$

*** On page 238, Exercise 3.7, change the formula to

$$R = \left(\frac{t^2 E}{p_0} \right)^{1/5} h \left[p_0 \left(\frac{t^6}{\rho_0^3 E^2} \right)^{1/5} \right]$$

- Thanks to Kameron Decker Harris for spotting this.

*** On page 273, line -7, change

tecnica

to

technique

*** On page 280, in the table, change

$$I_x = xD - yA + \frac{1}{2} x u u_t + t M_x$$

to

$$I_x = xD + yA + \frac{1}{2} x u u_t + t M_x$$

- Thanks to Gehrt Hartjen for checking through this table and the table on page 340 in his *Mathematics Diplomarbeit in Aachen, 2001*.

*** On page 290, line -4, change

Exercise 2.33

to

Exercise 2.35

*** On page 331, insert minus sign after equals sign in second displayed equation:

$$D_{\Delta}^* Q = -q_t - q_{xx} + u q_x$$

*** On page 340, in line 4 of the table, change

$$-y u_{xxx} + x u_{xyy} + u_{xy}$$

to

$$-y u_{xxx} + x u_{xxy} + u_{xy}$$

*** On page 340, in line 5 of the table, change

$$u_{xx}(yu_{yt} + \frac{1}{2}u_t) - u_{yy}(xu_{xt} + \frac{1}{2}u_t)$$

to

$$-u_{xx}(yu_{yt} + \frac{1}{2}u_t) + u_{yy}(xu_{xt} + \frac{1}{2}u_t)$$

*** On page 350, lines -8 to -7, change

the their Fréchet
to
their Fréchet

*** On page 364, Theorem 5.92, first line, change

$$P[u] \in \mathcal{A}^p$$

to

$$P[u] \in \mathcal{A}^q$$

- Thanks to Thomas von Schroeter for spotting this.

*** On page 366, line -7, change

$$J \setminus I$$

to

$$I \setminus J$$

- Thanks to Rob Thompson for catching this and several other errors.

*** On page 381, Exercise 3.16a:

The system does not, in fact have a recursion operator, although there is a recursive formula for generating the higher order symmetries. On the other hand, the related system

$$u_t = u_{xx} + v^2, \quad v_t = v_{xx},$$

does admit a recursion operator. Details can be found in: Beukers, F., Sanders, J.A., and Wang, J.P., On integrability of systems of evolution equations, *J. Diff. Eq.* **172** (2001), 396-408.

*** On page 381, Exercise 3.16b:

A proof that the Bakirov system has only one generalized symmetry can now be found in: Beukers, F., Sanders, J.A., and Wang, J.P., One symmetry does not imply integrability, *J. Diff. Eq.* **146** (1998), 251–260.

*** On page 397, equation (6.17), change closing } to > :

$$\{F, H\}(x) = \langle x; [\nabla F(x), \nabla H(x)] \rangle, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (6.17)$$

*** On page 420, line -8, change

rocedure.

to

procedure.

*** On page 427, lines 23–24, change

Recently, Weinstein, [3], proposed the less historically motivated term “Casimir function” for these objects, ...

to

Recently, R. Littlejohn, *AIP Conference Proc.* **88** (1982), 47–66, and A. Weinstein, *AIP Conference Proc.* **88** (1982), 1–11, and [3], have proposed the less historically motivated term “Casimir function” for these objects, ...

- *Thanks to Phil Morrison for alerting me to these earlier references.*

*** On page 469, change title of second reference by H. Bateman to

On dissipative systems and related variational principles