

(201)

Note that whenever $s_p (= \# \text{Sylow } p\text{-Subgroups}) = 1$,

then Sylow's 2nd Thm. implies that ~~there~~ the unique Sylow p -subgroup P

must be normal, i.e. $P \triangleleft G$, since $\forall g \in G, |gPg^{-1}| = |P|$

$\Rightarrow gPg^{-1}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup

$\Rightarrow gPg^{-1} = P$

COROLLARY: When $|G| = pq$ with p, q primes, $p < q$

and $p \nmid q-1$, then $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z})^+$

i.e. G is cyclic.

EXAMPLES: (1) $|G| = 15 = \overset{p}{3} \cdot \overset{q}{5}$ } $\Rightarrow G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z})^+$
(3 \nmid 5-1=4)

(2) But $|G| = 21 = \overset{p}{3} \cdot \overset{q}{7}$ does not imply $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z})^+$;
(3 \mid 7-1=6)

(Artin analyzes the other possibility^{for |G|=21} as part of his PROP. 7.7.7)

proof of corollary: Note Sylow's 3rd $\Rightarrow s_q \mid p \Rightarrow s_q = 1$ or p
and $s_q \equiv 1 \pmod q \Rightarrow \boxed{s_q = 1}$
(since $p < q$)

Also Sylow's 3rd $\Rightarrow s_p \mid q \Rightarrow s_p = 1$ or q

and $s_p \equiv 1 \pmod p$

i.e. p divides $s_p - 1 \Rightarrow \boxed{s_p = 1}$
since $p \nmid q - 1$

Hence there are unique Sylow p -subgroups P , with $P \triangleleft G$
and Sylow q -subgroups Q , $Q \triangleleft G$

- One way to finish the proof argues $P \times Q \xrightarrow{(h, k) \mapsto hk} PQ = G$ from this is an isomorphism
- Another way uses Sylow's 2nd to say every element of order p must lie in P and since $|G| = pq > p + q - 1 = |P \cup Q|$, there must be elements of order pq .

(102) Let's prove the Sylow Theorems, using lots of group actions!

proof of Sylow's 1st Thm: Given $|G| = p^e \cdot m$, $p \nmid m$, let's consider

the action of G on $S := \{\text{all } p^e\text{-element subsets } U \text{ of } G\}$

via left-translation i.e. $g * U := \{gu_1, gu_2, \dots, gu_{p^e}\}$
 $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{p^e}\}$

We'll show eventually that one of the stabilizers G_{U_0} is a Sylow p -subgroup, i.e. $|G_{U_0}| = p^e$.

To this end consider the orbit decomposition

$$S = \bigsqcup_{G\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_U \text{ on } S} \mathcal{O}_U$$

and $|S| = \sum_{G\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_U \text{ on } S} |\mathcal{O}_U| = \sum_{G\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_U \text{ on } S} \frac{|G|}{|G_U|} = \sum_{G\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_U \text{ on } S} \frac{p^e m}{|G_U|}$

$\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
 unless p^e divides $|G_U|$

of p^e -element subsets of G

$\binom{p^e m}{p^e}$ where $\binom{n}{k} = \text{binomial coefficient} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k(k-1)(k-2)\dots(1)}$

(A number theory LEMMA:)

$\binom{p^e m}{p^e} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $e \geq 1$, i.e. $p \nmid \binom{p^e m}{p^e}$

Proof: $\binom{p^e m}{p^e} = \frac{(p^e m)(p^e m - 1)(p^e m - 2)\dots(p^e m - j)\dots(p^e m - (p^e - 1))}{(p^e)(p^e - 1)(p^e - 2)\dots(p^e - j)\dots(p^e - (p^e - 1))}$

has p dividing the numerator and denominator the exact same number of times since the highest power p^l dividing $p^e m - j$ is the same for $p^e - j$ via this calculation: write $j = p^l m'$ with $m' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and then $p^e m - j = p^e m - p^l m' = p^l (p^{e-l} m - m')$ (so $l \leq e$ since $1 \leq j \leq p^e$)

$p^e - j = p^e - p^l m' = p^l (p^{e-l} - m')$

$\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
 $\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

e.g. $\binom{215}{2^2} = \binom{60}{4}$

~~...~~

$= \frac{60 \cdot 59 \cdot 58 \cdot 57}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}$

= odd!

CONCLUSION: At least one G -orbit \mathcal{O}_{U_0} must have p^e dividing $|G_{U_0}|$.

On the other hand, one always has $|G_{U_0}|$ dividing $|U_0| = p^e$ because G_{U_0} acts on $U_0 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{p^e}\}$ via left-translation and so G_{U_0} decomposes U_0 into G_{U_0} -orbits which are cosets $G_{U_0} \cdot u_i$ having the same size $|G_{U_0} \cdot u_i| = |G_{U_0}|$.

Hence p^e divides $|G_{U_0}|$ which divides $|U_0| = p^e$, so $|G_{U_0}| = p^e$ i.e. G_{U_0} is a Sylow p -subgroup. \blacksquare

11/5/2018 >

proof of Sylow's 2nd Thm: Given any p -subgroup $H < G$, if we can show $H < gPg^{-1}$ for some particular Sylow p -subgroup $P < G$ then this will show both parts (take $H = P'$ any other Sylow p -subgroup to conclude $P = gPg^{-1}$).

Consider H acting on $S := \{\text{left cosets } gP : g \in G\} = G/P$ via left-translation, i.e. $h * gP := hgP$

$$\text{As usual, } |S| = \sum_{\substack{H\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_s \\ mS}} |\mathcal{O}_s| = \sum_{\substack{H\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O}_s \\ mS}} \frac{|H|}{|H_s|}$$

$$= |G/P|$$

$$0 \not\equiv m \pmod{p} \implies m = \frac{p^e m}{p^e}$$

$\begin{matrix} \nearrow \\ \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \text{unless } H_s = H \\ (\text{since } H \text{ is a } \\ \underline{p\text{-group}}) \end{matrix}$

Hence \exists some ~~subset~~ $s \in S = G/P$ with $H_s = H$,
i.e. \exists some coset gP with $hgP = gP \quad \forall h \in H$

$$g^{-1}hgP = P$$

$$g^{-1}hg \in P$$

$$h \in gPg^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } H < gPg^{-1} \blacksquare$$