

(67) EXAMPLES: let's compute orders in  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^\times$ :  
 $\{1, 2\}$                        $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$	$\text{ord}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$
$(\bar{1}, \bar{1})$	1
$(\bar{1}, \bar{2})$	4
$(\bar{1}, \bar{3})$	4
$(\bar{1}, \bar{4})$	2
$(\bar{2}, \bar{1})$	2
$(\bar{2}, \bar{2})$	4
$(\bar{2}, \bar{3})$	4
$(\bar{2}, \bar{4})$	2

Looks similar to  $(\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ! Not a coincidence...

2<sup>nd</sup> century AD?   
Sun Ze's Theorem ("Chinese Remainder Thm")  
 (PROP 2.11.3 + more)

Given  $m, n$  with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , the map

$$\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

$\begin{matrix} \swarrow \bar{a} & & \swarrow \bar{a} & = & \swarrow \bar{a} \\ \text{(mod } mn) & & \text{(mod } m) & & \text{(mod } n) \end{matrix}$

is well-defined, a bijection, and respects both + and x,  
 so that it gives group isomorphisms

$$(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^+ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^+ \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^+$$

$$\text{and } (\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

10/12/2018 > proof: Well-defined-ness of  $f$  comes from  $\bar{a} = \bar{a}'$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow a - a' &\in mn\mathbb{Z} \\ \Rightarrow a - a' &\in m\mathbb{Z}, n\mathbb{Z} \\ \Rightarrow \bar{a} = \bar{a}' &\text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \\ \bar{a} = \bar{a}' &\text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Respecting +, x comes from their componentwise def'n on right.  
 Bijectivity of  $f$  comes from an explicit inverse map  $g$ , that comes  
 from picking any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $xm + yn = 1$  (since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ).

(68)

This let's one define  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$   
 $(\bar{c}, \bar{d}) \mapsto \overline{ync + xmd}$

and check  $(g \circ f)(\bar{a}) = g((\bar{a}, \bar{a})) = \overline{yna + xma} = \overline{(yn + xm) \cdot a} = \overline{1 \cdot a} = \bar{a}$

and  $(f \circ g)(\bar{c}, \bar{d}) = f(\overline{ync + xmd}) = (\overline{ync + xmd}, \overline{ync + xmd})$   
 $= (\overline{ync}, \overline{xmd})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$   
 $\stackrel{v}{=} (\bar{1} \cdot \bar{c}, \bar{1} \cdot \bar{d}) = (\bar{c}, \bar{d})$

since  $xm + yn = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \overline{xm} = \bar{1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$   
 $\overline{yn} = \bar{1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$



There's an alternate way we might recognize  $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^+ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^+ \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^+$   
via a general product recognition result.

$G = G_1 \times G_2$  has 2 subgroups  $H = G_1 \times \{1\}$   
 $K = \{1\} \times G_2$

that <sup>(a)</sup> intersect in  $\{1\}$  ( $= H \cap K$ )

<sup>(b)</sup> commute with each other:  $hk = kh \quad \forall h \in H, k \in K$  (since  $(g_1, 1) \cdot (1, g_2) = (g_1, g_2) = (1, g_2) \cdot (g_1, 1)$ )

and <sup>(c)</sup>  $G = H \cdot K$  since  $(g_1, g_2) = (g_1, 1) \cdot (1, g_2)$   
 $= \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}$

That's all you need...

PROPOSITION: If a group  $G$  has two subgroups  $H, K < G$ , then the  
(PROP 2.11.4)

multiplication map  $H \times K \xrightarrow{\mu} G$   
 $(h, k) \mapsto hk$

is an isomorphism of groups  $\iff$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) H \cap K = \{1\} \\ (b) H, K \text{ commute, i.e. } hk = kh \quad \forall h \in H, k \in K \\ (c) HK = G \end{array} \right.$

proof: check  $\mu$  is injective  $\iff$  (a)  $H \cap K = \{1\}$ : If  $\mu$  is injective then any  $g \in H \cap K$   
has  $\mu(g, g^{-1}) = g \cdot g^{-1} = 1 = \mu(1, 1)$   
forcing  $g = 1$ , i.e.  $H \cap K = \{1\}$   
If  $H \cap K = \{1\}$  and  $\mu(h, k) = 1$  then  $hk = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow h = k^{-1} \in K$   
 $\Rightarrow h \in H \cap K \Rightarrow h = 1$ .

(69)

Check  $\mu$  is a group homomorphism  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $H, K$  commute

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2)) &= \mu((h_1, k_1)) \mu((h_2, k_2)) \quad \forall h_i \in H, k_i \in K, i=1,2 \\ &\stackrel{=}{=} \mu((h_1 h_2, k_1 k_2)) \\ &\stackrel{=}{=} h_1 h_2 k_1 k_2 \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} &\stackrel{=}{=} \mu((h_1, k_1)) \mu((h_2, k_2)) \\ &\stackrel{=}{=} h_1 k_1 \cdot h_2 k_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_1 h_2 k_1 k_2 = h_1 k_1 h_2 k_2 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{mult. on left by } h_1^{-1}, \\ \text{on right by } k_2^{-1} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h_2 k_1 = k_1 h_2 \quad \forall k_i \in K, h_2 \in H \quad \text{i.e. } H, K \text{ commute.}$$

Lastly  $\mu$  is surjective  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)  $G = HK$  since  $HK = \text{im}(\mu)$ .  $\blacksquare$

This proposition <sup>almost</sup> applies to  $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times = G$  if  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$

with subgroups  $H = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong$  multiples of  $n$  inside  $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times$

$K = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong$  multiples of  $m$  inside  $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times$

Q: Why is  $H \cap K = \{1\}$  ( $= \{0\}$ )?

Why do  $H, K$  commute?

( $G = H + K$  is less obvious, but can note both  $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and  $H \times K$  have same cardinality  $\phi(mn)$ , so the injective homomorphism  $\mu$  must also surject).

REMARK:

Note that one can use Dirichlet's Thm. and induction on  $k$  to prove

if  ~~$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$~~  have  $\text{gcd}(m_i, m_j) = 1 \quad \forall i \neq j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}/m_1 m_2 \dots m_k \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_k \mathbb{Z} \\ \bar{a} &\mapsto (\bar{a}, \dots, \bar{a}) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection respecting  $+$ ,  $\times$

COROLLARY:  $(\mathbb{Z}/m_1 m_2 \dots m_k \mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m_1 \mathbb{Z})^\times \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/m_k \mathbb{Z})^\times$  if  $\text{gcd}(m_i, m_j) = 1$

so if  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$  for distinct primes  $p_i$ , then  $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{e_1}) \dots \phi(p_r^{e_r}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{e_i} - p_i^{e_i-1})$ .

(70) A digression to describe RSA encryption

Alice wants to be able to have Bob send her secret messages (as some  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ), while Eve is eavesdropping (!?)

STEP 1:

Alice picks two huge random primes  $p, q$  keeping them secret, ( $\sim 10^{1000}$ )

but then computes  $n = p \cdot q$  and publishes  $n$ .

She also picks a randomly chosen encryption exponent  $\bar{e} \in (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)(q-1))^*$

and publishes  $e$  as an integer in range  $1, 2, \dots, (p-1)(q-1)$ ,

keeping  $(p-1)(q-1)$  secret.

She lastly computes the decryption exponent  $\bar{d} = \bar{e}^{-1}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)(q-1))^*$ , but keeps it secret.

STEP 2: When Bob wants to send Alice  $\bar{x}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,

he computes  $\bar{y} := \bar{x}^e$  and sends  $\bar{y}$  to Alice publicly instead.

STEP 3: Alice ~~is~~ decrypts  $\bar{y}$  by computing

$$\bar{y}^{\bar{d}} = (\bar{x}^e)^{\bar{d}} = \bar{x}^{e\bar{d}} = \bar{x}^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = \bar{x} \cdot (\bar{x}^{(p-1)(q-1)})^k = \bar{x} \cdot 1 = \bar{x}$$

↑ for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $\bar{d} = \bar{e}^{-1}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)(q-1))^*$

↑ by Euler's Thm, since  $\phi(n) = \phi(pq) = (p-1)(q-1)$

10/15/18 >

Crucial points

- Every computation Alice or Bob must do need to be achievable quickly, meaning at worst in # of steps at most a polynomial in # of digits of  $p$  or  $q$  ( $= \log(p), \log(q)$ ) (Math 5248 discusses these computational issues)
- Eve would need to either factor  $n$  into  $p \cdot q$  (only slow, exponential algorithms known !?) or compute  $\sqrt[\bar{e}]{\bar{y}} = \bar{x}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  (same story !?)