

(6)

More formally ...

DEF'N: $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is in row-echelon form if

- (a) its zero rows all come at the end ($\text{row } i \text{ is zero} \Rightarrow \text{row } j \text{ is zero } \forall j > i$)
- (b) each nonzero row has its leftmost nonzero entry being 1 (called a pivot)
- (c) if rows i and $i+1$ are nonzero, the pivot 1 in row i is left of the pivot 1 in row $i+1$
- (d) pivot 1's are the only nonzero entry in their column.

e.g.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{pivot columns} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & 0 & - & 0 & (1) & * & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & (1) & * & * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & (1) & * & * \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & (1) & * \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & - & 0 & 0 & - \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION: Every matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ can be brought to row-echelon form by a sequence of row ops of type (i), (ii), (iii)

proof: Induct on the number of nonzero rows. ~~but we're not doing induction~~
(sketch)

~~the base case~~

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} (1) & * & - & - \\ & (2) & * & - \\ & & (1) & * \\ & & & (1) & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right]$$

(Q: What does the base case of the induction look like?)

In the inductive step, one has it in this form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} (1) & 0 & * & ; \\ & (2) & 0 & ; \\ & & (1) & * \\ & & & (1) & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right]$$

and one finds the leftmost nonzero entry below the dotted line, scales its row to make it a pivot 1, swaps rows until it is just below the dotted line, then uses the pivot 1 to eliminate entries ~~in the same column~~ in the same column.

9/10/18

REMARK: The row-echelon form for M is unique, but we won't need this.

(7) Another key point is that the 3 types of row ops one can apply to M are the same as multiplying M on the left by ~~the~~ 3 types of elementary matrices, all of which are invertible (with inverses also elementary matrices).

Type (i): $E = \underset{\text{row } i}{\xrightarrow{\text{row}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \square & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has $M \mapsto EM$ adding a times row i of M to row j

$$\text{and } E^{-1} = \underset{\text{row } i}{\xrightarrow{\text{row}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -a & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

e.g. $\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{row } i} \\ i=2 \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8+5a & 9+a & 10+a \end{bmatrix}$

Type (ii): $E = \underset{\text{row } i}{\xrightarrow{\text{row } i}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underset{\text{row } j}{\xrightarrow{\text{row } j}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has $M \mapsto EM$ swapping rows i & j of M

e.g. $\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{row } i} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{row } 3} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{row } 1} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$

Type (iii): $E = \underset{\text{row } i}{\xrightarrow{\text{row } i}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & c & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has $M \mapsto EM$ scaling row i of M by c

e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9c & 10c & 11c & 12c \end{bmatrix}$

and $E^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{1}{c} & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Q: Does this make sense without parentheses?
E.g. is $A(B(CD)) = ((AB)C)D$??

This will have a lot of consequences, starting with a simple observation.

PROP: If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r have ^(2-sided) inverses $A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_r^{-1}$ then so does their product $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_r$, namely $(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_r)^{-1} = A_r^{-1} A_{r-1}^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1} A_1^{-1}$

In particular, a product ~~of~~ $E_1 E_2 \cdots E_r$ of elementary matrices is always invertible.

Proof: Check $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_r \cdot A_r^{-1} A_{r-1}^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1} A_1^{-1} = I$ and $A_r^{-1} A_{r-1}^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1} A_1^{-1} \cdot A_1 A_2 \cdots A_r = I$ by induction on r .

(8)

COROLLARY:

(THM 1.2.16,
PROP 1.2.20) For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

(i) A can never have a right-inverse $AR = I_m$ if $m > n$

(but might have a left-inverse)

(ii) A — " — left-inverse $LA = I_n$ if $m < n$

(but might have a right-inverse)

(iii) if $m=n$ so A is square $n \times n$, the following are equivalent:
(T.F.A.E.)

(a) A has I_n as a row-echelon form

(b) $A = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_r$ for some elementary matrices E_i

(c) A has a (two-sided) inverse

(d) A has a right-inverse.

(e) A has a left-inverse

proof: (i): If $m > n$ then the echelon form A' for A definitely

has some zero rows:

$$\left[\begin{matrix} A \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right] \xrightarrow[m \times n]{\text{row-reduce}} \left[\begin{matrix} \overset{(1)}{0} & \overset{(1)}{0} & \dots & \overset{(1)}{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{matrix} \right] = A' = \underbrace{E_1 E_2 \cdots E_r}_{\text{elementary matrices}} A = PA$$

where $P = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_r$
is invertible.

Then ~~A' if A had a right-inverse R ,~~

$$A'R = I_m$$

↓

$$P(A'R)P^{-1} = P I_m P^{-1} = I_m$$

$$\underset{\substack{\parallel \\ \text{has a zero row}}}{A' \cdot RP^{-1}}$$

has no zero row

has a zero row

contradiction

(a)

(ii) If $m < n$ and A had a left-inverse $LA = I_n$ (with $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$), then applying (i) to L gives a contradiction, since A is a right-inverse of L .

(iii) Let's prove $(d) \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$ first, assuming $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): If the echelon form A' for A has $A' \neq I_n$,

then A' has a zero row: $A' = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & & & \\ & \textcircled{1} & & \\ & & \textcircled{1} & \\ & & & \textcircled{1} \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & \end{bmatrix}}_n$

Then as above in (i), A cannot have a right inverse $AR = I_n$ or else since $A' = PA$ for some invertible P , one has

$$PAP^{-1} = P I_n P^{-1} = \underbrace{I_n}_{\text{has no zero row}}$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{A}'(RP^{-1})}$ contradiction

has a zero row

(a) \Rightarrow (b): If $A' = I_n = E_r E_{r-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 A$

echelon
form for A

then multiplying by $E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1}$ gives $\underbrace{E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_r^{-1}}_{\text{a product of elementary matrices}} = A$

(b) \Rightarrow (c): $A = E_1 \cdots E_r \Rightarrow \bar{A} = E_r^{-1} \cdots E_1^{-1}$ as shown earlier, is a 2-sided inverse for A .

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Obviously 2-sided inverses are right inverses.

Now let's check $(e) \Rightarrow (c)$, since $(c) \Rightarrow (e)$ is also obvious.

If A has a left-inverse $LA = I_n$, with $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

the L has A as a right-inverse, so L has a 2-sided inverse B by what we've shown already, but then $B(LA) = \cancel{B(L)}A$

$B =$ "A" ■