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Abstract

The alcove walk model gives a combinatorial method for computing intersections of subsets of the affine flag variety. Work by Beazley-Brubaker [4] expanding upon work of Parkinson-Ram-Schwer [1] has shown that we can define the Whittaker function in terms of the alcove walk model. In this paper we prove results on folding in the alcove walk model: namely how crossings change sign after folding, the independence of the existence of a folded walk on the reduced expression we choose, and the maximum number of allowed folds in the alcove model for any particular simple Lie algebra. Lastly we describe the triple intersections on which the Whittaker function is supported given a weight.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Background 2

   2.1 Root systems and Weyl groups 2
   2.2 The affine Weyl group 3
   2.3 The sl3 case 3

3 The Alcove Walk Model 4

   3.1 The alcove model and actions on alcoves 4
   3.2 Positive and negative folding 7
   3.3 Combinatorics of hyperplanes and walks 8
   3.4 Iwahori triple intersections and double Iwasawa cells 11

4 Whittaker Functions 15

   4.1 Definitions 15
   4.2 Support on \( U^+v_1 I \cap IwI \cap U^+v_2 I \) 16

1 Introduction

Given a field \( K \) and a simple complex Lie algebra \( g \), we can construct a Chevalley group \( G(K) \). For any field, we can define subgroups \( B, U^+, U^-, K, I \) of \( G \), and \( G \) decomposes into double cosets for pairs of these subgroups [1]:

\[
G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB \quad \quad \quad K = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} IwI
\]

\[
G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} IwI \quad \quad \quad G = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in Q_+^\vee} Kl_\lambda K
\]

\[
G = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in Q^\vee} U^\pm t_\mu K \quad \quad \quad G = \bigsqcup_{v \in W} U^\pm vI
\]
We are particularly interested in double intersections of the form $U^{-}t_{\lambda}K \cap U^{+}t_{\mu}K$ which further decompose into triple intersections of the form $U^{-}t_{\lambda}wI \cap IvI \cap U^{+}t_{\mu}w'I$. Labelled folded alcove walks are the combinatorial tools we use to enumerate the points of this triple intersection in the affine flag variety $G/I$. We demonstrate how steps in a walk change after folding which depends only on the action of the finite Weyl group. We also prove that the existence of a labelled folded walk of type $v$ ending in $w$ is independent of the reduced expression that we choose for $v$. Finally, for any simple Lie algebra, we show that the maximum number of folds in a given walk is equal to the length of the long element $w_0$ in the finite Weyl group.

These alcove walks can also be used to compute the Whittaker function of an antidominant weight $\lambda \in Q^\vee$ in a way that is independent of Cartan type. We comment on the triple intersections which gives non-trivial contribution to the Whittaker function for any particular weight for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$.

2 Background

2.1 Root systems and Weyl groups

Here we introduce some introductory theory of finite root systems and finite Weyl groups. Let $\mathfrak{h}^*_R$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product induced by the Killing form. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*_R$, let $H_{\alpha}$ denote the hyperplane perpendicular to $\alpha$:

$$H_{\alpha} := \{ \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*_R \mid (\beta, \alpha) = 0 \}.$$

If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are elements of $\mathfrak{h}^*_R$, then define

$$\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = 2 \frac{(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

Then

$$s_{\alpha}(\beta) := \beta - \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \alpha$$

is the reflection over $H_{\alpha}$. In particular, $s_{\alpha} \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*_R)$ is diagonalizable with exactly one eigenvalue not equal to 1. A root system $R$ is a finite set of nonzero elements of $\mathfrak{h}^*_R$ such that

1. $R \cap R = \{ \alpha, -\alpha \}$ for all $\alpha \in R$.
2. $s_{\alpha}(R) = R$ for all $\alpha \in R$.
3. $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ is integral for all $\alpha, \beta \in R$.

We will assume $R$ is irreducible; that is no subset of $R$ is also a root system. Then there is a subset $\Delta \subset R$ called simple roots which form an integral basis for $R$. Moreover, if

$$\alpha = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Delta} k_{i} \alpha_i$$

with $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$ then $k_{i} \geq 0$ or $k_{i} \leq 0$ for all $i$. We say $\alpha$ is positive or negative respectively. There is a disjoint decomposition

$$R = R^+ \cup R^-.$$

where $R^+$ is the set of positive roots and $R^-$ is the set of negative roots. The Weyl group $W$ is defined by

$$W := \{ s_i \mid \alpha_i \in \Delta \}$$

where $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$.
Remark 2.1. Property (2) of a root system is often phrased by saying that $R$ is $W$-invariant. If $\alpha$ is a root, then we say $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ is the coroot of $\alpha$. The set of coroots $R^\vee$ form a root system called the dual root system of $R$, but we will not need this fact. Instead, let $Q^\vee$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear span of $R^\vee$ called the weight lattice. Since $R$ has integral basis $\Delta$, we have a decomposition $Q^\vee = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i^\vee$.

2.2 The affine Weyl group

The Weyl groups $W$ can be extended to an infinite group $W_{\text{aff}}$ by introducing translates of hyperplanes. We will see that the affine Weyl group $W_{\text{aff}}$ induces a tessellation of $h^*R$ into alcoves. Let $W$ be a Weyl group and $R$ its associated root system. Let $\delta : h^*_R \to \mathbb{R}$ be the (non-linear) functional with $\delta(\lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in h^*_R$. The affine root system is $R_{\text{aff}} = R + \mathbb{Z}\delta$. The affine hyperplane $H_{\alpha + j\delta}$ is defined by $H_{\alpha + j\delta} := \{ \beta \in h^*_R | \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = j \}$. If we normalize the length of the roots so that the short roots have length 1, then $H_{\alpha + j\delta}$ is the hyperplane $H_\alpha$ shifted by $j$ in the direction of $\alpha$. The affine reflection across $H_{\alpha + j\delta}$ is $s_{\alpha + j\delta}(\beta) := \beta - (\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle + j)\alpha^\vee$.

We let $\alpha_0 = -\varphi + \delta$ where $\varphi$ is the highest root. The affine Weyl group $W_{\text{aff}}$ is defined by $W_{\text{aff}} := \langle s_i | 0 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ where $n = |\Delta|$ is the number of simple roots of $R$ so that $W$ embeds in $W_{\text{aff}}$ as the subgroup $\langle s_i | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. If $t_\mu : h^*_R \to h^*_R$ is the translation by $\mu$, $t_\mu^\vee(\lambda) := \lambda + \mu^\vee$, then $s_{\alpha + k\delta} = t_{-k\alpha^\vee}s_\alpha$ and we have an isomorphism $W_{\text{aff}} \cong W \ltimes Q^\vee$.

2.3 The $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ case

We will from now most examples will come from $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. However, most of our results for alcove walks hold for general simple Lie algebras. The finite root system for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is type $A_2$ so $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are the simple roots and the root system takes the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_1 & \varphi & \alpha_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\end{array}
\]

The finite Weyl group $W$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_3$ and the affine Weyl group $W_{\text{aff}}$ is isomorphic to the affine symmetric group $\tilde{S}_3$. Write $W_{\text{aff}} = \langle s_0, s_1, s_2 | s_i^2 = (s_is_j)^3 = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq i < j \leq 2 \rangle$ so that $W \subset W_{\text{aff}}$ embeds as the subgroup generated by $s_1$ and $s_2$. The coroot lattice is $Q^\vee = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1^\vee + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2^\vee$. 
3 The Alcove Walk Model

3.1 The alcove model and actions on alcoves

The alcoves are the open connected components of

\[ \mathfrak{h}_R^* \setminus \left( \bigcup_{-\alpha_i + j\delta \in \tilde{R}^I_{re}} H_{-\alpha_i + j\delta} \right) \]

where \( H_{-\alpha_i + j\delta} = \{ x^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}_R^* \mid \langle x^\vee, \alpha_i \rangle = j \} \)

There is a natural left action of \( W_{aff} \) on alcoves defined on generators where \( s_i \) acts by reflecting an alcove \( A \) across the hyperplane \( H_{\alpha_i} \). Each alcove is a fundamental region for action of \( W_{aff} \) and this action is simply transitive (see \[1\] (5.16) and (5.17)). We identify \( 1 \in W_{aff} \) with the fundamental alcove

\( 1 = \{ \beta \in \mathfrak{h}_R^* \mid \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq 2 \} \),

so there is a bijection

\[ W_{aff} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{alcoves} \} \] (1)

Under (1), we will write \( w \) both for elements of \( W_{aff} \) and alcoves. In our setting, the alcove model is the infinite diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
H_{\alpha_2 + \delta} & H_{\alpha_2} & H_{-\alpha_2 + \delta} \\
- & + & - \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
H_{-\alpha_1 + \delta} & H_{\alpha_1} & H_{\alpha_1 + \delta} \\
+ & - & - \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
H_{\alpha_0} & \cdots & \cdots \\
+ & - & + \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
H_{\varphi} & \cdots & \cdots \\
+ & - & + \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
H_{\varphi + \delta} & \cdots & \cdots \\
+ & - & - \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{array} \]

where we have suppressed some of the alcove labels and hyperplanes for simplicity. The centres of hexagons are in bijective correspondence with elements of the coroot lattice \( Q^\vee \), so if we identify \( W \) with the corresponding alcoves in \[1\],

\[ \bigcup_{\lambda^\vee \in Q^\vee} t_{\lambda^\vee} W \]

is a mutually disjoint open cover for the alcoves. Hence to each alcove (or walk) \( w \) there corresponds a unique \( \lambda^\vee \) such that \( w \in t_{\lambda^\vee}(W) \), and we say the alcove (or walk) \( w \) belongs to \( \lambda^\vee \). We also assign an orientation to the hyperplanes such that
1. 1 lies on the positive side of $H_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in R^+$.

2. $H_{\alpha_i + j\delta}$ and $H_{\alpha_i}$ have parallel orientations for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, and we say the hyperplanes have parallel periodic orientation (see [1]) in accordance with property (2). Observe that the chambers of $h_\Sigma$ correspond to the alcoves of $W$ with the dominant chamber corresponding to the fundamental alcove and the antidominant chamber corresponding to $w_0$.

Words $w$ in the generators $s_i$ can be viewed as walks starting from 1 to $w$ and we will refer to elements of $w \in W_{\text{aff}}$ as walks in the alcove model. When we do so, we will always assume $w$ is a minimal (or reduced) expression unless otherwise specified. In particular, folded walks will often not be reduced.

**Remark 3.1.** Elements $w \in W_{\text{aff}}$ have three meanings. They are either elements of $W_{\text{aff}}$, alcoves in the alcove model, or walks in the alcove model from 1 to $w$. In the third case, we additionally assume $w$ is minimal. From context it will always be clear which meaning we are referring to.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the reduced expression $w = s_1s_2s_0s_1$.

![Diagram of hyperplanes and alcoves](image)

The walk $w$ is the path given by moving across the sequence of alcoves 1, $s_1$, $s_1s_2$, $s_1s_2s_0$, and then into $w$. On the other hand, the alcove $w$ is obtained from the action of $W_{\text{aff}}$ on 1 by applying the reflections $s_1s_2s_0s_1$ from right to left.

In general, if

$$w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_{k(w)}},$$

then we say the action of $s_{i_k} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}$ on $s_{i_k}$ is step $k$ in the walk. Geometrically, the $k$-th step of the walk can be viewed as the segment of the path from the alcove $s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}$ to $s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_k}$.
The head of \( w \) at step \( k \) is the subwalk consisting of steps \( i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1} \), and the tail of the walk at step \( k \) is the subwalk consisting of steps \( i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)} \) starting at the alcove \( s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \).

The hyperplanes are defined such that each step in a walk \( w \) moves further away from the fundamental alcove with respect to the inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( h_R \). We define the inversion set \( R(w) := \{ \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell \} \) to be the ordered set of hyperplanes crossed by the walk \( w \). Since each step of the walk crosses a hyperplane, the \( k \)-th element of the inversion set is precisely the hyperplane crossed in the walk at step \( k \). Unfortunately, it’s not obvious how to compute \( R(w) \) from the expression for \( w \) in terms of the \( s_i \). We will introduce another action on alcoves from which it is clear how to obtain \( w \) by walking across a sequence of hyperplanes. We say that a hyperplane \( H_{\pm \alpha_i + j\delta} \) is of type \( i \). In particular every hyperplane is of type 0, 1, or 2 (\( \ell(w_0) \) types). The closure of every alcove \( w \) intersects a unique hyperplane of type \( i \) on its boundary for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2 \). Accordingly, we say \( w \) is bounded by hyperplanes of type \( 0 \leq i \leq 2 \). Define actions \( \sigma_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2 \) on the fundamental alcove 1 such that \( \sigma_i \) takes 1 to the alcove obtained by step the hyperplane of type \( i \) that bounds it. Define

\[
V := \langle \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle
\]

be the group generated by these actions and extend this action to \( V \) by composition. Observe \( V \) has relations similar to \( W_{\text{aff}} \) including modified braid relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_0^2 &= 1, & \sigma_1^2 &= 1, & \sigma_2^2 &= 1, \\
\sigma_2\sigma_0\sigma_1 &= \sigma_1\sigma_0\sigma_2, & \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_0 &= \sigma_0\sigma_2\sigma_1, & \sigma_0\sigma_1\sigma_2 &= \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_0.
\end{align*}
\]

\( V \) acts simply transitively on the alcoves and there are bijections

\[
W_{\text{aff}} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{alcoves} \} \leftrightarrow V,
\]

but \( V \) is not isomorphic to \( W_{\text{aff}} \) since the \( \sigma_i \) are not reflections over fixed hyperplanes. However, \( V \) enjoys the property that the alcove \( \sigma = \sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_k} \) is obtained by walking across the sequence of hyperplanes of type \( i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \), read from left to right, starting from the fundamental alcove 1.

**Example 3.2.** Consider again the walk \( w = s_1s_2s_0s_1 \). In the \( \sigma_i \), \( w \) corresponds to \( \sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_0 \).

Indeed, \( w \) in Example 3.1 is obtained by walking across the sequence of hyperplanes of type 1, 0, 1, 0.

There is an explicit bijection between \( W_{\text{aff}} \) and \( V \).

**Proposition 3.1.** The bijection \( \psi : W_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow V \) is given on \( W_{\text{aff}} \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(1) &= 1, \\
\psi(s_1) &= \sigma_1, \\
\psi(s_2) &= \sigma_2, \\
\psi(s_1s_2) &= \sigma_1\sigma_0, \\
\psi(s_2s_1) &= \sigma_2\sigma_0, \\
\psi(w_0) &= \sigma_1\sigma_0\sigma_2,
\end{align*}
\]
and extends to $W_{\text{aff}}$ by setting
\[
\psi(s_0) := \sigma_0, \\
\psi(s_1 s_0) := \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \\
\psi(s_2 s_0) := \sigma_2 \sigma_1, \\
\psi(s_1 s_2 s_0) := \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \sigma_1, \\
\psi(s_2 s_1 s_0) := \sigma_2 \sigma_0 \sigma_2, \\
\psi(w_0 s_0) := \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \sigma_2 \sigma_0.
\]

**Proof.** Since every alcove can be viewed as a product of elements in $W$ and $W s_0$, $\psi$ is surjective. If $\psi(w_1) = \psi(w_2)$, then $\psi(w_1)$ and $\psi(w_2)$ are the same word in the $\sigma_i$ up to the braid relations
\[
\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_0, \quad \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 \sigma_2 \sigma_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 \sigma_0 \sigma_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \sigma_2.
\]
As $\psi(w_0) = \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \sigma_2$, $\psi$ takes braid relations to braid relations so $w_1$ and $w_2$ differ up to braid relations in the $s_i$. Hence $w_1$ and $w_2$ represent the same element in $W_{\text{aff}}$. The bijectivity of $\psi$ follows. \hfill \square

### 3.2 Positive and negative folding

As in \[1\], the elements of
\[
I w I = \{ x_i (c_1) n_i^{-1} x_i (c_2) n_i^{-1} \ldots x_i (c_\ell) n_i^{-1} I \mid c_1, \ldots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q \}
\]
are in bijection with walks to $w$ with edges labeled by $c_1, \ldots, c_\ell$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Positively and negatively folded walks to $v_1$ and $v_2$ with edges labels in $\mathbb{F}_q$ are in bijection with elements of $U^{-v_1} I$ and $U^{+v_2} I$ respectively. Therefore to describe Iwahori double and triple intersections it is necessary to understand how folding a labeled walk $w$ in the alcove model alters the walk. Our main tool is a rewritten group relation which we will refer to as the main folding law:
\[
x_\alpha (c) n_\alpha^{-1} = x_{-\alpha} (c^{-1}) x_\alpha (-c) h_{\alpha^\vee} (c). \quad (3)
\]
which allows us to rewrite elements of $I w I$ as elements in $U^{-v_1} I$ or $U^{+v_2} I$ (see \[1\] equation (7.6)). Geometrically, the main folding law takes steps of the form
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{v \alpha_i} & + & H_{v \alpha_i} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow
\end{array} & \quad & \begin{array}{c}
\downarrow
\end{array} \\
c & - & c
\end{array}
\]
and replaces them with folded steps:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{v \alpha_i} & + & H_{v \alpha_i} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow
\end{array} & \quad & \begin{array}{c}
\downarrow
\end{array} \\
c^{-1} & - & c^{-1}
\end{array}
\quad (4)
\]

In the first case, we say the fold is positive and in the second we say the fold is negative. If $w$ is a labeled walk that folds positively (resp. negatively) at step $k$ then the folded walk $w'$ as a word is obtained from $w$ by removing the letter $s_{\alpha_k}$. Unless otherwise specified, we will assume we always fold positively or always fold negatively and it will be clear to which case we are referring. The positively folded walk $w_+$ (resp. negatively folded walk $w_-$) corresponding to $w$ is the walk obtained by folding at every possible step. Since $w_+$ and $w_-$ are obtained by removing letters from $w$ it is not true that $w_+$ and $w_-$ are always minimal expressions. Accordingly, when we refer to folded walks we mean walks with steps of the forms in \[4\].
Remark 3.2. It is necessary to assume that the label of the step is nonzero in order for $c^{-1}$ to be defined. That is, folding cannot occur at steps with edge label 0.

Example 3.3. If the walk $w = s_1s_2s_0s_1$ has labels $(0, 0, c, 0)$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then the only folding allowed occurs at step 3 and it is a positive fold. Thus $w = w_-$ and $w_+ = s_1s_2s_1$. The negatively folded walk $w_+$ is

We will be slightly terse and consider walks $w$ that fold either positively or negatively at step $k$. Here it is implicit that the walk is labeled and step $k$ in the walk is of the form $H_{\alpha_0} \pm c_k$ with $c_k$ nonzero. In this sense, we can avoid explicitly mentioning the labels.

We say a walk $w$ is of positive (resp. negative) type $v$ if $w_+ = v$ (resp. $w_- = v$) in $W_{aff}$. Then from [1] (see Theorem (7.1)) we have

$$P(w)_v := \{\text{labeled walks to } w \text{ of positive type } v\} \longleftrightarrow U^-v I \cap I w I.$$  \hfill (5)

Since positive and negative folding are the same up to a change in sign, by following the same reasoning as in [1] there is an analogous result for negative type:

$$N(w)_v := \{\text{labeled walks to } w \text{ of negative type } v\} \longleftrightarrow U^+ v I \cap I w I.$$  \hfill (6)

How the sets $P(w)_{v_1}$ and $N(w)_{v_2}$ interact is critical to understanding the Iwahori triple intersections.

### 3.3 Combinatorics of hyperplanes and walks

The parallel periodic orientation of the hyperplanes encodes combinatorial information about walks in the alcove model, and quite often heavily restricts the possible types of folded walks that can occur. We start with a lemma that tells us how folding affects the tail of a walk.
Lemma 3.1. If $w$ is a walk with a positive (resp. negative) fold at step $k$ across the hyperplane $H_{\pm \alpha, j \delta}$, then the folded walk $w_f$ is obtained from $w$ by introducing a folded step at step $k$ and reflecting the tail across $H_{\pm \alpha, j \delta}$ where $w = us_k v$.

Proof. Write $w = us_k v$ so that $v$ is the tail. By applying $u^{-1}$ to the left-hand side of $w$, the claim is equivalent to showing that $v$ and $s_i v$ are reflections of each other across $H_{\alpha_i}$. The claim follows since $s_i v$ is obtained from $v$ by reflecting over $H_{\alpha_i}$. 

We can partition the set of hyperplanes by parallelism. Furthermore, each set of this partition is indexed by a positive root $\alpha$, which we will call the “type” of the hyperplane. Observe that a minimal alcove walk crosses hyperplanes of type $\alpha$ in exactly one orientation: either from the negative side to the positive side, or vice versa. Thus we can identify each step in the walk $\overrightarrow{w}$ with a root: if step $k$ in $\overrightarrow{w}$ crosses a hyperplane of type $\alpha$ from the negative side to the positive side, then we say it has “shape” $\alpha$, and if it crosses the hyperplane in the other orientation, then we say it has shape $-\alpha$. Positive folding only occurs at steps whose shape is a negative root since these are exactly the steps that cross a hyperplane from the positive side to the negative side. Similarly, negative folding occurs at steps whose shape is a positive root.

For example in $\mathfrak{sl}_3$

\[\begin{array}{c}
+ \quad \text{and} \quad + \\
- \quad \text{and} \quad -
\end{array}\]

these are steps of shape $\mp \varphi$. Similarly, the steps of shape $\mp \alpha_1$ are

\[\begin{array}{c}
+ \quad \text{and} \quad + \\
- \quad \text{and} \quad -
\end{array}\]

and the steps of shape $\mp \alpha_2$ are

\[\begin{array}{c}
- \quad \text{and} \quad - \\
+ \quad \text{and} \quad +
\end{array}\]

Now we know that folding a minimal walk $\overrightarrow{w}$ at step $k$ across a hyperplane $H_{\pm \alpha, j \delta}$ reflects the steps in the tail of our walk over this hyperplane. Therefore, we can compute the new shapes of the steps in the tail: we simply apply the reflection $s_\alpha$ to these steps (which correspond to roots) in order to get their shapes after folding.

We now have the machinery to show that the number of folds which can occur in a walk is heavily restricted by $W$ for all simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 3.2. If a minimal walk $\overrightarrow{v}$ ends in a chamber $w \cdot C$ where $w \in W$ and $C$ is the dominant chamber, then the steps of $\overrightarrow{v}$ have shape $w \cdot R^+$.

Proof. Minimal walks $\overrightarrow{v}$ that end in $C$ have steps of shape $R^+$ by our definition of shapes and our orientation of the hyperplanes. Thus a minimal walk ending in $w \cdot C$ has steps of shape $w \cdot R^+$. 

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\overrightarrow{v}$ is a labeled walk ending in the chamber $w \cdot C$. Then the maximum number of folds that can occur in the positive folded walk is the length of a reduced expression for $w$ in terms of the simple reflections of $W$. Similarly the maximum number of folds in a negatively folded walk of type $v$ is the length of a reduced expression for $w_0 w$.

Proof. The argument is analogous for negatively folded walks, so we prove the theorem for positively folded walks.
By the previous lemma, we know that the steps of $\overrightarrow{v}$ have shapes lying in the set $w \cdot R^+$. By [2] Proposition 5.6, we know that the number of negative roots contained in the set $w \cdot R^+$ is equal to the length of a reduced expression for $w$ in terms of the simple reflections. Furthermore, we can only positively fold at steps whose shape is a negative root, and we can compute the shapes of steps after a fold at a hyperplane of type $\beta$ by applying $s_\beta$ to the steps in the tail. In the tail, the steps of the walk have shape $s_\beta w \cdot R^+$.

Thus we have proven the theorem once we show that $s_\beta w$ has length less than $w$ where $-\beta$ is a negative root in $w \cdot R^+$. We can explicitly write down the negative roots of $w \cdot R^+$ which are:

$$s_i \cdots s_{i_{n-1}}(-\alpha_{i_n})$$
$$s_i \cdots s_{i_{n-2}}(-\alpha_{i_{n-1}})$$
$$\vdots$$
$$-\alpha_{i_1}$$

Say $-\beta = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(-\alpha_{i_k})$. Then

$$s_\beta = (s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}})s_{i_k}(s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}})^{-1}$$

since this is a reflection that takes $\beta$ to $-\beta$. Finally observe that

$$s_\beta w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} s_{i_k+1} \cdots s_{i_n}$$

Therefore the maximum number of folds in a positively folded walk is $l(w)$. 

**Corollary 3.1.** The maximum number of folds (positive or negative) in a particular alcove model is equal to the length of the long element of the Weyl group for that Lie algebra.

We can put a partial ordering on the sets $\{\pm \varphi, \pm \alpha_1, \pm \alpha_2\}$ given by the action of the $s_i$:

```
(\varphi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)
(\varphi, \alpha_1, -\alpha_2)
(-\varphi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)
(-\varphi, \alpha_1, -\alpha_2)
```

The ordering is such that positive folding corresponds to moving up the ordering and negative folding corresponds to moving down. This embodies the fact that no positive folding can occur in $(\varphi, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and no negative folding can occur in $(-\varphi, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_2)$. Theorem 3.1 then loosely says there are at most $\ell(w_0)$ elements in any saturated chain of this ordering.

Since walks in the alcove model are not in bijection with elements of $W_{\text{aff}}$ it is natural to wonder if two different reduced expressions for the same word fold to different alcoves. This is possible if we are given the freedom to choose labels.

**Proposition 3.2.** If $w$ is a labeled walk that positively (resp. negatively) folds to some alcove, then any other reduced expression $w'$ positively (resp. negatively) folds using the same number of folds to the same alcove for a possibly different labeling.

**Proof.** The claim is analogous for negative folds so assume all folds are positive. We will prove by strong induction on $\ell(w)$. This is allowed since the length of a word is independent of its reduced expression. If $\ell(w) = 1$, then the reduced expression is unique and the claim follows. So suppose that the claim holds for all walks of length at most $n$ and all labelings.
If \( \ell(w) = n + 1 \). Write \( w = vs_i \) and \( w' = v's_j \). By the induction hypothesis choose a labeling for \( v' \) such that \( v \) and \( v' \) both positively fold using the same number of folds to the same alcove. If \( i = j \), then we are done. If \( i \neq j \), then \( w = us_is_jsi \) and \( w' = u's_js_is_i \) where \( us_is_j = v \) and \( u's_js_is_i = v' \) because \( w \) and \( w' \) have equivalent expressions up to braid relations. By the induction hypothesis choose a labeling for \( v' \) such that \( v \) and \( v' \) both positively fold using the same number of folds to the same alcove. If \( i = j \), then we are done. If \( i \neq j \), then \( w = us_is_j \) and \( w' = u's_js_is_j \) where \( us_is_j = v \) and \( u's_js_is_j = v' \) because \( w \) and \( w' \) have equivalent expressions up to braid relations. By the induction hypothesis choose a labeling for \( u' \) such that \( u \) and \( u' \) both fold to the same alcove using the same number of folds. If we do not fold in the tail of \( u \) then we are done since the braids \( s_is_jsi \) and \( s_js_is_j \) are walks to the same alcove. If we fold in the tail of \( u \), then since the induction is strong we can choose a labeling for the tail of \( u' \) such that both tails fold to the same alcove. In all cases we can find a labeling for \( w' \) such that \( w' \) and \( w \) both fold to the same alcove.

Example 3.4. Consider the two walks \( w = s_2s_0s_1s_2 \) and \( w' = s_2s_1s_0s_2 \). They are walks to the same alcove since they differ by the braid \( s_0s_1s_0 = s_1s_0s_1 \). We have colored \( w \) and \( w' \) by red and blue respectively:

Let the labels for \( w \) be \( (c_1, c_2, 0, 0, c_5) \) with \( c_1, c_2, c_5 \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \). Consider the negatively folded walk. Then the only fold in \( w \) occurs at step 2 and folding reflects the red braid to the blue braid with an addition step into \( v \). Choosing the \( c_4 \) label for \( w' \) nonzero means we fold at step 4 and indeed folding here reflects the blue tail of \( w' \) to the additional red step into \( v \). Thus \( w' \) folds to the same alcove as \( w \) with labels \( (c_1, c_2, 0, 0, c_5) \) given \( c_4 \neq 0 \).

3.4 Iwahori triple intersections and double Iwasawa cells

Throughout, we have assumed that our loop group \( G \) has two Iwahori decompositions

\[
G = \bigsqcup_{v \in \widetilde{W}} U^-vI \quad \text{and} \quad G = \bigsqcup_{v \in \widetilde{W}} U^+vI
\]

where the Iwahori subgroup \( I \) we have chosen is the same for both decompositions. Here we prove that we can actually do so.
Recall the main folding law
the residue field \( \mathcal{O}_K \). Let Proposition 3.3.
which can be rewritten as \( U_t \) which gives us the Iwahori decomposition since moving a torus element \( a \) decomposition \( \leq I \) an ordering on the positive roots. If \( w \) product of elements of the one parameter subgroups \( a \) is in \( G \). Let \( n \) simple reflections, then \( n \) elements \( \) then apply the main folding law, so that \( \) first step consists of ordering \( R \) and it is possible that \( x \) elements \( \). Now for the \( \) left. Now it is possible that as we move \( x \) past the \( i \). Thus we apply the following algorithm: the first step consists of ordering \( R^+ \) so that \( \alpha_i \) comes first. Then start moving \( n_{i_1}^{-1} \) past the elements \( x_{\alpha} \) in \( u_+ \), which leaves them in \( I \). If the product \( u_+ \) contains an element from \( X_{\alpha_i} \), then apply the main folding law, so that \( x_{-\alpha}(c^{-1}) \) lies in \( U^- \) and move the torus element \( h_{\alpha_{i_1}}(c) \) to the right since it lies in \( I \). Otherwise we do not have to apply the main folding law, and we proceed with the next step.

Assume we have done this successfully for \( m \) steps, so we have
\[
g = t^\lambda u' (n_{j_1}^{-1} \cdots n_{j_t}^{-1}) u'_+ (n_{i_{m+1}}^{-1} \cdots n_{k_1}^{-1}) t''
\]
Now for the \( m + 1 \) step, order \( R^+ \) so that \( \alpha_{i_{m+1}} \) comes first, and write \( u'_+ \) as a product of elements in \( X_{\alpha} \) with this ordering. Start moving \( n_{i_{m+1}}^{-1} \) past the \( x \)'s as before. If we do need to apply the main folding law, then we need to move \( x_{-\alpha_{i_{m+1}}}(c) \) past the \( n_{j_1}^{-1} \)'s on the left. Now it is possible that as we move \( x_{-\alpha_{i_{m+1}}}(c) \) to the left, that at some point, we have
the situation $n^{-1}_- x_\beta(c')$ for $\beta$ a positive root. Since $U^- = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} X_{-\alpha}$, we need to apply the main folding law again. Now we have gotten rid of $n^{-1}_-$ and can proceed with moving $x_\beta(c')$ to the left. Move the other terms from this application of the main folding law to the right, and if need be repeatedly apply the main folding law. Proceeding in this way, we can eventually write $g$ as an element of $U^- v I$ for some $v \in \tilde{W}$, and so we finally have the Iwahori decomposition

$$G = \bigsqcup_{v \in \tilde{W}} U^- v I$$

The reason for going through all of that trouble is the following. For two weights $\lambda, \mu \in Q^\vee$, we can define the double Iwasawa cell

$$C_{\lambda \mu} = U^- t^\lambda K \cap U^+ t^\mu K$$

and write it as a triple intersection

$$C_{\lambda \mu} = U^- t^\lambda (\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I w I) \cap U^+ t^\mu (\bigsqcup_{w \in W} I w I) = \bigsqcup_{w, w' \in W} U^- t^\lambda w I \cap I v I \cap U^+ t^\mu w'I$$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $w$ be a walk that belongs to $\lambda^\vee = k_1 \alpha_1^\vee + k_2 \alpha_2^\vee$. Then $w_+$ (resp. $w_-$) belongs to some weight $\mu^\vee = m_1 \alpha_1^\vee + m_2 \alpha_2^\vee$ such that $|m_1| \leq |k_1|$ and $|m_2| \leq |k_2|$.

**Proof.** We will prove the slightly stronger claim where $w$ is assumed to be a walk starting at any alcove in $W$, and we will prove by strong multidimensional induction on $k_1$ and $k_2$. If $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ then $w \in W$ and the claim follows since $W$ is closed under multiplication by $s_1$ and $s_2$ and folding corresponds to removing a letter $s_1$ or $s_2$. Suppose that $\lambda^\vee = (n + 1) \alpha_1^\vee + (n + 1) \alpha_2^\vee$ and the claim holds for all walks belonging to $\nu^\vee = m_1 \alpha_1^\vee + m_2 \alpha_2^\vee$. Write $w = v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_l}$ (with $l \leq \ell(w_0)$) by Theorem 3.1, where the last step of each $v_{i_l}$ is a fold. For each fold, applying a sufficient translation sends the start of the tail to an alcove in $W$ and then the claim holds by the strong induction hypothesis. Thus $w_+$ belongs to $\mu^\vee = m_1 \alpha_1^\vee + m_2 \alpha_2^\vee$ such that $|m_1| \leq |k_1|$ and $|m_2| \leq |k_2|$. The induction for negative $k_1$ and $k_2$ is analogous finishing the proof. \qed

Since there are finitely many weights with coefficients bounded in absolute value so that by Proposition 3.4, finitely many translates $t_{\lambda^\vee}(W)$ may give contributions to the Iwahori triple intersection.
Proposition 3.5. Let $\lambda, \mu$ be weights $Q^\vee$ with $\lambda$ antidominant. Then $U^{-t^\lambda}K \cap U^{t^\mu}K$ is nonempty when $\lambda \succeq \mu$ with respect to the usual ordering on weights. There is a stratification on the intersection above given by

$$U^{-t^\lambda}K \cap U^{t^\mu}K = \bigcup_{v \in W} \left( \bigcup_{w, w' \in W} U^{-t^\lambda}I \cap IvI \cap U^{t^\mu}I \right).$$

In the alcove walk model for $g$, the fundamental domains for the translation subgroup $T \leq \tilde{W}$ are the hexagons whose centers correspond bijectively to elements of $T$. Thus it suffices to show that given $\lambda$ and $\mu$, there exists a labelled positively folded walk of type $v$ to an alcove in $t^\lambda$ which is also a labelled negatively folded walk of type $v$ to an alcove in $t^\mu$.

Informally, if $\lambda \succeq \mu$, then there is a sequence of affine reflections that take $t^\lambda$ to $t^\mu$. Folding a walk at step $k$ corresponds to reflecting the remaining steps of the walk (which we will call the tail) across the hyperplane at which we have folded. Thus a walk to an alcove in $t^\mu$ can be folded positively to an alcove in $t^\lambda$ where the folds kill off the coefficients $k_1, k_2$ in $k_1 \alpha_i^\vee + k_2 \alpha_j^\vee = \lambda - \mu$

Now suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2$ are two weights for $g$ in $Q^\vee$ such that $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = k_2 \alpha_2^\vee$. Then there are $2k$ hyperplanes of type $i$ between $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$, thought of as centers of hexagons in the alcove walk model, including the one containing $\mu_2$. This is because there are $2$ hyperplanes of type $i$ between $0$ and $\alpha_i^\vee$. Also notice that the image of $t^\mu_1$ under reflection across the $k$th hyperplane of type $i$ between the two weights is $t^\mu_2$.

Proof. We are now ready to prove the claim. Assume that $\lambda$ is antidominant and $\lambda - \mu$ equals $k_1 \alpha_1^\vee$ or $k_2 \alpha_2^\vee$ where $k_1$ is a positive integer in each case. Let $v$ be a minimal walk to an alcove in $t^\mu$, and forget about the labels for now. Notice that by our assumptions, we cross hyperplanes of type $i$ from the positive side to the negative side, which means that we are able to fold positively at steps of shape $- \alpha_i$. Since $\lambda$ is antidominant and $\lambda \succeq \mu$, we actually cross all $2k$ hyperplanes of type $i$ separating the two weights except possibly the one containing $\mu$, so if we positively fold $v$ at the step crossing the $k$th hyperplane, then the tail of $v$ lies in an alcove of $t^\lambda$.

Now suppose that $\lambda - \mu = k_1 \alpha_1 + k_2 \alpha_2$ where both coefficients are positive integers. $\mu$ lies in a chamber where we can either fold at steps of shape $- \alpha_1$ or $- \alpha_2$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\mu$ lies in the $s_1s_2$ chamber or the antidominant chamber so that we are able to postively fold at steps of shape $- \alpha_1$. Then if $\mu' = \mu + k_1 \alpha_1^\vee$, then there are $2k_1$ hyperplanes of type 1 between $\mu$ and $\mu'$, and we can fold $v$ at the step that crosses the $k_1$th hyperplane between the two weights so that the tail of $v$ lands in an alcove in $t^\mu'$. Now $\lambda - \mu' = k_2 \alpha_2^\vee$, and we have a walk to $\tilde{w} \in t^\mu'$ (not necessarily minimal) whose tail (steps after the fold) has steps of shape $\alpha_1, - \alpha_2, \varphi$. The tail crosses all $2k_2$ hyperplanes of type 2 between $\lambda$ and $\mu$ (because the angle between $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ lies in $[\pi/2, \pi]$ and our assumptions about the ordering $\lambda \succeq \mu' \succeq \mu$). Thus we can fold the tail of $\tilde{w}$ at the step where it crosses the $k_2$th hyperplane of type 2 between $\lambda$ and $\mu'$ to obtain a positively folded path of type $v$ to an alcove in $t^\lambda$. We now address the labels. The only constraints in the negatively folded walk to $v$ come from positive crossings. In the above example, these positive crossings (if any) come at steps of shape $\alpha_2$. Steps of shape $R^+$ don’t have any constraints on the labels in the positively folded walk, so we can make them 0 so that the labellings are compatible with the negatively folded walk. Because $s_1(\alpha_2) = \varphi$ is a positive crossing, there is no problem after the first fold at the hyperplane of type 1. For the rest of the labels, use the contraints forced by the positively folded walk.$\Box$

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that we have a minimal walk to an alcove $w$ in $t^\mu$. Then any positively folded walk of type $w$ ends in an alcove $v$ contained in $t^\nu$ such that $\nu \succeq \mu$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of folds. If we don’t fold at all, then $w$ ends in $w$ contained in $t^\mu$ and $\mu \succeq \mu$. Now suppose that the conclusion holds for positively folded walk of type $w$ where we have folded $k$ times for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$.\n
Say we have a positively folded walk of type $w$ ending in $v$ where we have folded $n$ times. Let $w'$ be the same folded walk of type $w$ without the $n$th fold. Then $w'$ lies in a hexagon $t^\mu$ and $\mu' \succeq \mu$. $v$ lies in a hexagon $t^\nu$, and all that’s left to show is that $\nu \succeq \mu'$. We know that $v$ is got from $w'$ by folding at a step $k$ after the $n-1$th fold, and that this step $k$ looks like $-\alpha_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Because folding at this step $k$ corresponds to reflecting the tail of $w'$ across a hyperplane of type $i$, we actually know that $\nu - \mu' = m\alpha_i$ for some positive integer $m$. Thus $\nu \succeq \mu$. 

By a similar argument, we know that any negatively folded walk of type $v \in t^\nu$ ending in an alcove $w \in t^\mu$ satisfies $\nu \succeq \mu$. Thus if there exists an alcove $v \in t^\nu$ such that $v$ positively folds to $t^\lambda w$ and negatively folds to $t^\mu w'$, then we have $\lambda \succeq \nu \succeq \mu$. Therefore the double Iwasawa cell $C_{\lambda\mu} := U^-t^\lambda K \cap U^+t^\mu K$ is nonempty if and only if $\lambda \succeq \mu$ for $g = \mathfrak{sl}_3$.

4 Whittaker Functions

4.1 Definitions

Let $g$ be a simple Lie algebra and $\lambda$ an antidominant weight for $g$. Let $G$ be the loop group given by the field $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ and Lie algebra $g$. Then the Whittaker coefficient $W(t^\lambda)$ can be defined as in [11] by

$$W(t^\lambda) = \int_{U^-} v_K(ut^\lambda) \psi(u) \, du$$

This integral is evaluated over the double Iwasawa cells $C_{\lambda\mu}$ defined earlier, and each $ut^\lambda = u't^\mu k \in U^+AK$

The stratification of the double Iwasawa cells into triple intersections allows us to reinterpret the Whittaker coefficient formula in terms of alcove walks. We normalize the volume form so that $I$ has integral 1, which allows us to write

$$W(t^\lambda) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K)} \sum_{\mu \in Q^+, \mu' \in W, \nu' \in W} \chi(t^\mu) \int_{U^-t^\lambda w \cap U \cap U^+t^\nu w' \cap I} \psi(u) \, du$$

Here we slightly abuse notation: we consider the points in the triple intersection as points in the affine flag variety $G/I$ and take $\psi$ to mean the function that takes the unipotent part of an element and then evaluates the character.

In order to compute the Whittaker coefficient in terms of alcove walks, follow this procedure:

1. First find all labelled walks $v$ that can positively fold to an alcove in $\lambda' = w_0 \cdot \lambda$. (In the alcove model, the fundamental domain for the translation subgroup $T \leq \tilde{W}$ is the closure of the union of the alcoves for $W$. Thus $\lambda'$ can be identified with the alcoves $t^{\lambda'} \cdot \tilde{W}$.)

2. Then for each labelled walk $v$ from the first step, find all the alcoves $t^\mu w'$ that $v$ can negatively fold to.

3. Let $(v, l, t^{\lambda'} w, t^\mu w')$ be a tuple that denotes a labelled walk to $v$ positively folding to $t^{\lambda'} w$ and negatively folding to $t^\mu w'$. Evaluate the character $\psi$ on the unipotent part of this tuple which corresponds to a point in $G/I$, and then sum over the possible labellings, i.e. the tuples which have the same first, third, and fourth entries. This sum is the integral in the formula written above.
4. \( w_0 \cdot \mu \) is an integer combination of the simple coroots, and \( \chi(t^\mu) \) is equal to the product of indeterminates \( z_i \) where the power of \( z_i \) in the product is the coefficient of \( \alpha_i^\vee \). Multiply the integral from the previous step by \( \chi(t^\mu) \).

5. Finally, divide the expression by 

\[
\text{vol}(K) = \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}
\]

For \( G = SL_2(F_q((t))) \), Beazley and Brubaker proved that this computation gives Tokuyama’s formula bijectively (see [4]). However, Tokuyama’s formula is only valid for Cartan types \( A_n \). There are other similar formulas for the other Cartan types, but the advantage of the alcove walk formulation is that it is independent of Cartan type and of any ordering on the positive roots.

4.2 Support on \( U^-v_1I \cap IwI \cap U^+v_2I \)

Since the alcove walk formulation requires us to sum over all \( v \) in the affine Weyl group, it may appear that our sum is infinite. However in practice, taking the character and integrating over all \( l \) in the tuples \( (v, l, t^{\lambda'}, t^{\mu'}) \) makes the integral 0 for all but finitely many \( v \).

Explicitly \( \psi \) can be taken to be the following. If \( q = p^n \) for \( p \) prime, then let \( \psi_0 \) be the character on \( F_q((t)) \) which sends \( F_q[[t]] \) to 1, and sends \( at^{-k} \) where \( a = c_1 + c_2 \alpha_1 + \cdots + c_n \alpha_{n-1} \) to \( \zeta^{c_1} \cdots \zeta^{c_n} \) where \( k > 0 \) and \( \zeta \) is a \( p \)th root of unity. Then define the character \( \psi \) on \( U^- \) by

\[
\psi(A) = \psi_0 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{i+1,1} \right)
\]

Now if the positively folded walk of type \( v \) positively crosses a hyperplane corresponding to a simple root \( \alpha \) with label \( \alpha + k\delta \) for \( k > 0 \), and the allowed labels on this crossing are all elements of \( F_q \), then the integral for this walk is 0, since the sum of all \( p \)th roots of unity is 0. What this means pictorially is that \( v \) cannot lie in a weight \( \nu \) that is “too far” from \( \lambda \), since this increases the likelihood of such a positive crossing mentioned previously.
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