Comments about Chapter 1 of the Math 5335 (Geometry I) text

Joel Roberts

September 8, 2003

1. ***Introduction.*** Please see the online Course Description for this material.

2. ***§1.4: Simple curves and their lengths.*** [Already incorporated in the Fall 2003 version]. Examples 12 and 13 are very important since they're going to be the basis for our definition of angular measure in §1.5.

3. ***§§1.4 and 1.5. About the integral used in the definition of angular measure.*** If U and V are the unit direction indicators of the two rays that define the angle, then we define the angular measure to be $\int_{\langle U,V\rangle}^{1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}$. Now, the integrand $\frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}$ doesn't seem too mysterious: it's what we encounter when we evaluate the length of an arc of a circle of radius = 1. But perhaps it's less clear why we would choose the particular lower bound that we chose for the definite integral, namely the inner product $\langle U,V\rangle$.

   One way to explain this choice this involves looking at Theorem 22 of chapter 1. Let's put the vertex of our angle at the origin, and use the Theorem to evaluate (*i*) the distance from the point U to the line $\overleftrightarrow{OV}$ and (*ii*) the point closest to U on that line. Well, actually, that closest point is the main item of interest, and it turns out to be $\langle U,V\rangle V$. Since the vector V is a unit vector, the segment $\overline{OV}$ has length = 1, and therefore the distance from O to the foot of the perpendicular is = $\langle U,V\rangle$, as shown in the figure.



The length of this segment is what one ordinarily calls the ***cosine*** of the angle, namely the ratio (adjacent side)/(hypotenuse). {Note that the hypotenuse has length = 1, since we're working with the unit circle.} Now, in the special case where V is on the positive x-axis, ***i.e.,*** $V = (1,0)$, and the equation of the circle is $y = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$, the arc-length calculation that we're doing is about finding the length of this curve between the endpoints $x = \langle \mathrm{U,V} \rangle = \cos(\angle UOV)$ and $x = 1$. In other words, it's just the length of the circular arc subtended by the angle.



4. ***§1.5 A concrete interpretation of angular measure.*** To re-emphasize our "down-to-earth" interpretation of this definition, suppose that a circle of radius = 1 is drawn with its center at the vertex of the angle. The angular measure is then the length of the arc of this circle which is subtended by the angle, ***i.e.*** the length of the arc whose endpoints lie on the two sides of the angle. This turns out to coincide with the usual ***radian measure***.



(In the figure, the measure of $\angle POQ$ is the length of the circular arc that is covered by the red shading.

5. **[optional]** ***§1.5 Angular measure: our definition compared with protractor measure.*** In the usual protractor, a circle is divided into 360 equal arcs -- or more literally, a half circle is divided into 180 equal arcs. If the arc subtended by an angle is covered by an integral number m of these arcs, then the protractor measure, or ***degree measure***, of the angle is $= m$. To extend this to include fractional degrees, we can pick a positive integer n (the denominator of the desired fraction) and divide the half circle into $180n$ equal parts. For instance, if $n = 7$, then we divide the circle into $180 \cdot 7 = 1260$ equal arcs. Each small arc should have degree measure $= 1/n$. If the arc subtended by an angle is covered by an integral number m of these smaller arcs, then its degree measure should be $= m/n$.

   On the other hand, each of the small arcs has length $= \pi/180n$. Since the arc subtended by our angle is covered by m of these, its length must be $m(\pi/180n) = (m/n)\,(\pi/180)$. This proves that if the degree measure of an angle is a rational number, then we have the [expected] relationship:
   (radian measure) = $(\pi/180)\cdot$(degree measure).
   Conversely, if the radian measure [or subtended arc length measure] of an angle is of the form (rational number)$\cdot\pi$, then we have
   (degree measure) = $(180/\pi)\cdot$(radian measure)
   and accordingly the degree measure is a rational number.

   Finally, let's try asking whether or not the degree measure of an angle could be an ***irrational*** number. Well, it's not actually impossible to divide our half circle into an irrational number of equal portions. On the other hand, it's completely possible that the arc subtended by an angle could be of the form (irrational number)$\cdot\pi$. Accordingly, we could just use the equation:
   (degree measure) = $(180/\pi)\cdot$(radian measure)
   as our ***definition of degree measure***. Our previous discussion shows that it agrees with the usual discussion in the case of rational degree measure.

Go back:

To the class homepage

To the homework page

To the course description