Lecture 37: Quick review from previous lecture

• Suppose that $A \in M_{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $\mathbf{x}^* = A^+ \mathbf{b}$. Then \mathbf{x}^* is the least squares solution to the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

If A has n linearly independent columns (ker $A = \{0\}$), then

$$\mathbf{x}^* = A^+ \mathbf{b} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b},$$

where

$$A^+ = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T.$$

• If $A = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_j u_j v_j^T$, then the matrix $A_k = \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j u_j v_j^T}_{j=1} k < r$ minimizes the distance to A, that is,

$$\min_{\operatorname{rank}(B)=k} \|A - B\|_{2} = \|A - \underline{A}_{k}\|_{2}.$$

$$A = A_{m \times n} \text{ with } \operatorname{rank}(A) = Y.$$

$$A = \bigcup \Sigma V^{\mathsf{T}} = [u_{1} \cdots u_{m}] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \cdots u_{r} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \cdots u_{r} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_{r} \\ \vdots & \sigma_{r} & \sigma_$$

$$= \nabla_{1} u_{1} V_{1}^{T} + \dots + \nabla_{r} u_{r} V_{r}^{T}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{r} \nabla_{j} u_{j} V_{j}^{T}$$

- Lecture will be recorded -

• Information for Final Exam and Course Grade has been posted on Canvas, see "Announcements".

§ Condition number

A very useful quantity for understanding the behavior of a matrix is its *condition number*.

For simplicity, let's take a square matrix $A = A_{n \times n}$, and suppose the rank is r = n (so the matrix is <u>nonsingular</u>). Call the singular values

$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n > 0.$$

Definition: The condition number of a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix is the ratio between its largest and smallest singular values, namely,

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}.$$

The condition number $\kappa(A)$ measures the "sensitivity of operations" we perform with A to changes in the input data.

Example. Suppose we apply A to a vector $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$. Write the SVD $\underline{A} = U\Sigma V^T$; then we can write $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$

Sup
$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s} \mathbf{v}_{1}$$

 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_{i} \rangle \mathbf{u}_{i}$ $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{j} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{2}$
What if we perturb \mathbf{x} a little bit, along the direction of \mathbf{v}_{1} ? How inucle would \mathbf{v}_{1} the product $A\mathbf{x}$ change?
Pick a small number \mathbf{S} , define $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_{1}$.
Then $A \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = A (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s} \mathbf{v}_{1})$
 $= A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{S} A(\mathbf{v}_{1})$ $A \mathbf{v}_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \langle \mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{i} \rangle \mathbf{u}_{i} = \sigma_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}$
 $= A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{S} \sigma_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}$
Su, $\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{S} \sigma_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}\|_{2} = |\mathbf{S}| \sigma_{1}$ since $\|\mathbf{u}_{1}\|_{2} = 1$.

The relative difference between
$$A \times and A \times is$$
 the value
MATH 4242-Week 15-2 $||A \times -A \times ||_2 = \frac{2}{(\sigma_1^2 \langle x, y \rangle^2 + \cdots + \sigma_n^2 \langle x, y \rangle^2)^{1/2}}$ Spring 2020

[Continue]
For example, we take
$$\underline{x} = \underline{v}_{n}$$
; then $\langle x, v_{i} \rangle = 0$ if $i \neq n$.
So, the xelative difference is

$$\frac{||A|\overline{x} - A \times ||_{2}}{||A \times ||_{2}} = \frac{|S||\nabla_{1}}{|S||} = \frac{|S||K(A)}{|S||}$$
On the other hand,

$$\frac{||\overline{x} - x||_{2}}{||X||_{2}} = \frac{||S|v_{1}||_{2}}{||S||_{2}} = |S||$$
 since $||v_{1}||_{2} = |S||$

We have shown the following:

Fact: For certain vectors \mathbf{x} , perturbing \mathbf{x} by relative amount $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ along \mathbf{v}_1 can result in a change in $A\mathbf{x}$ by relative amount $|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\kappa(A)$.

So, if $\kappa(A)$ is very large, then small changes in **x** can result in large changes in A**x**.

Matrices with large condition numbers are said to be **ill-conditioned**. One must be careful when working with ill-conditioned matrices, since small errors in inputs can become inflated.

Fact: If A is $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix, then A and A^{-1} have the same condition number.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7_{0} & \text{see this} \end{bmatrix} \text{ Since } A \text{ is non nonsingular matrix,} \\ \text{there are singular values } \sigma_{1} \ge \sigma_{2} \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_{n} > 0. \\ \text{Then singular values of } A^{-1} \text{ are } \\ 0 < \frac{1}{\sigma_{1}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{2}} \le \cdots \le \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \\ \leq \sigma_{n} & \text{So. } K(A) = \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{n}} \\ K(A^{-1}) = \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{n}} \\ K(A^{-1}) = \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{n}} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \\ \frac{$$

8.6 Incomplete Matrices

If a matrix is not complete, then it is not similar to a diagonal matrix. For example,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = 2, 2, \\ A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

s not complete and so is not diagonalizable.
Recall: A diagonalizable matrix A can be written as $A = VDV^{-1}$.
where $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A is similar to D .

However, it can be shown that a not complete matrix is similar to a matrix of the following form, called a **Jordan matrix**:



Here, each matrix J_{λ_i,n_i} is a **Jordan block matrix**, which is the following n_i -by- n_i matrix:

$$J_{\lambda_{i},n_{i}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i} & 1 & & & \\ & \lambda_{i} & 1 & & & \\ & & \lambda_{i} & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_{i} & 1 \\ & & & & & \lambda_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

The numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are the eigenvalues of A. The eigenvalue λ_i has multiplicity n_i , the size of its Jordan block J_{λ_i,n_i} .

Note that if each Jordan block were 1-by-1 (i.e. $n_i = 1$ for all i), then the Jordan matrix would be diagonal, and A would be complete.

§ The Jordan Canonical Form. Let's see how we get this Jordan matrix. Throughout this section, we suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix. Let

$$\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k$$

be the eigenvalues of A.

Definition: A **Jordan chain** of length j for a square matrix A is a sequence of nonzero vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_j$ that satisfies

$$\underline{A}\mathbf{w}_1 = \lambda \mathbf{w}_1, \quad A\mathbf{w}_i = \lambda \mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{w}_{i-1}, \qquad i = 2, \dots, j,$$

where λ is an eigenvalue of A.

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{\mathsf{EX}}_{:} & j = 3 \quad , \quad (A - \lambda I) \quad \mathsf{w}_{1} = \mathcal{D}_{.} \\ & (A - \lambda I) \quad \mathsf{w}_{2} = \quad \mathsf{w}_{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (A - \lambda I)^{2} \quad \mathsf{w}_{2} = (A - \lambda I) \\ & (A - \lambda I) \quad \mathsf{w}_{3} = \quad \mathsf{w}_{2} \Rightarrow \quad (A - \lambda I)^{3} \quad \mathsf{w}_{3} = \quad \mathcal{O}_{.} \\ & (A - \lambda I) \quad \mathsf{w}_{3} = \quad \mathsf{w}_{2} \Rightarrow \quad (A - \lambda I)^{3} \quad \mathsf{w}_{3} = \quad \mathcal{O}_{.} \\ & A \left[\underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{.} \quad \underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{2} \quad \underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{3} \right] = \left[\underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{.} \quad \underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{2} \quad \underbrace{\mathsf{w}}_{3} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \circ \\ \circ \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \left[$$

The initial vector \mathbf{w}_1 in a Jordan chain is a "genuine" eigenvector. The rest $\mathbf{w}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_j$ are **generalized eigenvectors**, in the following definition:

Definition: A nonzero vector
$$\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$$
 such that
 $(A - \lambda I)^k \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ for some $k > 0$
and λ is a **generalized eigenvector** of the matrix A .

Example. Find the Jordan form of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ $\partial = \det(A - \lambda Z)$, $\pi = 2, Z$. $A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A - 2Z)) = 1$. Jordan form $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example. Find the Jordan form of $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\lambda = -1, -1, -1,$ $d_{1} \left(\mathcal{N}(A + 1) \right) = 1.$ Jordon form is $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$