

Lecture 8: Quick review from previous lecture

- Gaussian elimination (with pivoting) can bring any matrix to the following form, which is called [row echelon form](#):

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 \textcircled{*} & * & \dots & * & * & \dots & * & * & \dots & \dots & * & * & * & \dots & * \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \textcircled{*} & \dots & * & * & \dots & \dots & * & * & * & \dots & * \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \textcircled{*} & \dots & \dots & * & * & * & \dots & * \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \textcircled{*} & * & \dots & * \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0
 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The number of pivots is called the [rank](#) of the matrix A .

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{number of its pivots}$$

- $n \times n$ matrix A is nonsingular if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = n$.
- This system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ is called homogeneous.

Today we will discuss the determinant and vector space.

- Quiz 2 (covers sec. 1.4-1.6) will take place in the beginning of the class on Wed. 2/12

Example.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -4 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact. Let $A = A_{m \times n}$ be the matrix of size $m \times n$.

(1)

$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has a nontrivial solution $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(A) < n$.

(2) If $m < n$, the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ always has a nontrivial solution.

(3) If $m = n$, the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has a nontrivial solution $\Leftrightarrow A$ is singular.

- Later, we will learn that the set of all \mathbf{x} satisfying $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ is called the **kernel** of A .

1.9 Determinants

Recall that

- We saw previously that a 2-by-2 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

has an inverse if and only if (iff) $\det(A) \neq 0$, where $\det(A) = ad - bc$ is the *determinant* of A .

- Thus,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ is invertible} \iff \det(A) \neq 0 \iff A \text{ is nonsingular}$$

Today we will now see how to generalize this to all square matrices.

§ Generalize to $n \times n$ matrix.

The key ingredient will be the permuted LU factorization that we have already seen.

As we have known, any square matrix A can be turn to LU form (Gaussian elimination with pivoting):

$$PA = LU$$

where P is a permutation matrix (the product of all the elementary row swap matrices), L is lower unitriangular, and U is upper triangular.

- We also know that A is **invertible** precisely when

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & & & \\ 0 & u_{22} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with all } u_{11}, \dots, u_{nn} \text{ are } \mathbf{nonzero}.$$

- Thus, we conclude that the *product* of all these numbers,

$$\det(U) = u_{11} \cdots u_{nn} \neq 0 \iff A \text{ is invertible (nonsingular)}$$

- Motivated by this, we **define** $\det(A)$ as follows:

(1) If A is regular, then

$$\det(A) = \det(U) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_{i,i}.$$

$u_{11} u_{22} \dots u_{nn}$

(2) If A is nonsingular, and requires k row interchanges to arrive at its permuted factorization $PA = LU$, then

$$\det(A) = \det(P) \det(U) = (-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^n u_{i,i}.$$

In summary, one has

$$\det(A) = (-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^n u_{i,i}$$

where k denotes the number of row permutations we performed to bring A into upper triangular form.

✓ Then $\det(A) \neq 0$ iff A is nonsingular.

Example. Compute the determinant of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Gaussian Elimination with pivoting,

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{2} - 2\textcircled{1} \\ \textcircled{4} - \textcircled{1} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{3} - 2\textcircled{2} \\ \textcircled{4} - \textcircled{2} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Switch} \\ \textcircled{3} \textcircled{4} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = U.$$

$$\det A = (-1)' \det U = -1(1 \cdot 1 \cdot (-2) \cdot 3) = \underline{6} \neq$$

RK: $\det A \neq 0$, so A is nonsingular. Spring 2020

An immediate result:

- Note that if $A_{n \times n}$ has a row consisting entirely of zeros, then $\det(A) = 0$.

EX: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = U$, $\det U = 1 \cdot (-3) \cdot 0 = 0$.

§ Elementary Row Operations on the determinant of a $n \times n$ matrix A :

- If B is a matrix obtained by adding a multiple of one row of A to another row of A . Then

$$\det(A) = \det(B).$$

- If B is a matrix obtained by interchanging any two rows of A , then

$$\det(B) = -\det(A).$$

- If B is a matrix obtained by multiplying a row of A by a nonzero scalar k , then

$$\det(B) = k \det(A).$$

EX: $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 3a+d & 3b+e & 3c+f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$

$$\det A = \det B.$$

EX: $B = \begin{pmatrix} 3a & 3b & 3c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$, $\det B = \underline{\underline{3 \det A}}$

§ The det operator behaves well with matrix multiplication, inversion, and transposition (but NOT addition!).

(0)

$$\det(cA) = c^n \det(A).$$

(1) If A and B are two square matrices of the same size, then

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B).$$

*In particular, note that $\det(AB) = \det(BA)$, even though AB need not equal BA .

(2) AB is invertible \Leftrightarrow both A and B are invertible.

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \det I &= \det(A^{-1}A) \\ &\stackrel{(\ast)}{=} \det A^{-1} \det A \end{aligned}$$

(3) If A is invertible, then

$$\Rightarrow \det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A}$$

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

(4) $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.

EX: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 21 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\det A = 2 \cdot 7, \quad \det B = 6 \cdot 21 = 3^2 \det A$$

$$(2) \quad \det(AB) \stackrel{(\ast)}{=} \det A \det B \neq 0.$$

So $\det A \neq 0$ and $\det B \neq 0$,
which gives A, B are invertible.

Similar for the converse statement.

§ There is a **formula for** $\det(A)$ that is explicit in its dependence on the entries of A , but is typically harder to compute.

Page 72 in the textbook: $n \times n$ matrix A with entries a_{ij} :

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\substack{\text{permutations} \\ \pi \text{ of } \{1, \dots, n\}}} \text{sign}(\pi) a_{\pi(1),1} a_{\pi(2),2} \cdots a_{\pi(n),n}$$

The sum is over all possible permutations π of the rows of A .

The sign of the permutation written $\text{sign}(\pi)$, and $\text{sign}(\pi) = \det(P)$, where P is the corresponding permutation matrix.

$\text{sign}(\pi)$

= $\begin{cases} +1 & \text{if the permutation is composed of an "even" number of row interchange;} \\ -1 & \text{if the permutation is composed of an "odd" number of row interchange.} \end{cases}$

EX = $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{matrix} + | a_{1,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{3,3} \\ - | a_{2,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{3,3} \\ & a_{2,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{1,3} \\ - | a_{3,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{1,3} \\ & a_{3,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{2,3} \\ - | a_{1,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{2,3} \end{matrix}$

*Unsurprisingly, this formula is typically NOT used to actually compute $\det(A)$, except in special cases. From our perspective, the main interest in this formula is that it depends only on A , not on the steps of Gaussian elimination.

*For most matrices, the "best" way to compute a determinant is "Gaussian Elimination with pivoting".