Math 5615 Honors: Sequential compactness Cauchy sequences

Sasha Voronov

University of Minnesota

October 12, 2020

Definition

Let X be a metric space. A subset $K \subset X$ is *sequentially compact* if every sequence in K has a subsequence that converges to a point in K.

Compare to the *Bolzano-Weirestrass property*: every infinite subset of K has a limit (cluster) point in K.

(1) 19 (2) Midler (3) (3)

Theorem

 $K \subset X$ TFAE:

- K is compact;
- It is sequentially compact;
- K has the B-W property.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Previous theorem. (1) \Rightarrow (3): A theorem proven last Friday, 10/02/2020. (3) \Rightarrow (1): A problem on the Midterm.

Proof of Compactness Criterion, continued

Spec K D E ∞ sabset. Hence I a sequence in K with ∞ many elements in E wohe of which repeated, K seq. compact ⇒ I subsequence contempty to a point in K. This pt must be a limit pt of E. (E.g., using the defter of a limit pt of E as having ∞ many pts of E in every open bill at the limit pt.) The simplest thing to be done now: Show $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$.

Cauchy Sequences

Definition

A sequence $\{x_k\}$ in a metric space X is a *Cauchy sequence* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a natural N such that if $m, n \ge N$, then $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem

A sequence that converges is necessarily a Cauchy sequence.

Proof.
$$\exists \mathbf{x} \in X$$
; $\forall \mathbf{z} \approx \exists \mathbf{N} > 0$; $\forall \mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{N} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$
 $\leq \mathbf{z}/2$. Then $\forall \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{N}$ we have
 $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x}) + d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$
 $\leq \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}$. \Box

Λ

Complete Spaces

Definition

A metric space X is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X has a limit in X.

Theorem

 \mathbb{R}^n is complete.

(XR) in X Any Cauchy sequence Proof. Then 1=3 67/1 XEEBM (X_1)

Continuation of Proof of Completeness of \mathbb{R}^n

Step 2. Prove a version of B-W theorem: arlen ble sequence to R' contains a convergent subsequence. Follows from compactness of closed nedl. An n-cell is therefore sequentially conjust > [X6] has a subsequence the converging to a point in the cell (and in IR"). Step 3. Let L = the lound of this subsequence. Clark: Then the whole sequence has L as a himt. Given E>O take N(: | Xn2-L| < E if k > N, Given E>O take N(: | Xn2-L| < E if k > N, and take N2: [Xk-Xe] < E + k, R > N2. Then Hb > Max/H, N |Xk-L|S| Xp - Xn2 | + |Xn2-L| < E + E < E (Inster NR>k) |Xk-L|S| Xp - Xn2 | + |Xn2-L| < E + E < E (Inster NR>k) |Xk-L|S| Xp - Xn2 | + |Xn2-L| < E + E < E (Inster NR>k)

Theorem

Proof.

If X is a complete metric space with respect to a metric d and Y is a nonempty closed subset of X, then Y is a complete metric space with the same metric d.

Then (Xh) is also Cauchy Then 4270 3 N: YKZN dixe, X =) even open ball about X contains a pt in Y, => XEY or a cluster pt of Y. Since Y=\$ and closed, XEY

be Canchy seq.

ùΧ

Theorem

Completeness is necessary for compactness.

X compact => X complete. Proof. A (Canch) sequence in a compact X hes a subsequence convergent to XE X. The whole sequence converges to X, just as in Step 3 of the proof of completeness of R. D