

2. B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Matveev, and S. P. Novikov, "Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-zone linear operators, and Abelian varieties," *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 31, No. 1, 56-136 (1976).
3. V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Their Applications* [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977).
4. B. M. Levitan, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1984).

A FORMULA FOR MUMFORD MEASURE IN SUPERSTRING THEORY

A. A. Voronov

UDC 517.43+514.84

Polyakov quantization of bosonic structure leads to integration, over the moduli space of algebraic curves, of a measure which is initially expressed in terms of determinants of Laplace operators. After Manin [2] had observed numerical coincidences in string theory and curve moduli theory, Belavin and Knizhnik [3] expressed Polyakov measure as the modulus squared of a holomorphic measure, now known as the Mumford form. This enabled Manin [4] and Beilinson and Manin [5] to express Polyakov measure in terms of the values of differentials at points of curves. In this note we propose a formula for the analog of the Mumford form in superstring theory. In the first part we prove a conjecture of Manin [2, 5]: $\lambda_{3/2} = \lambda_{1/2}^5$. As in the bosonic case [5], this proof will be used in the second part of the note to derive the fundamental formula. We will work with the definition of SUSY-curves (superconformal mappings) due to Baranov and Shvarts [1]. Polyakov supermeasure is defined in [1], but the super-version of the Belavin-Knizhnik theorem is as yet unknown and it is not clear how to do summation of Mumford superforms over spinor structures.

1. Berezinians. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth proper morphism of complex supervarieties. Then, as in the even case, for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{H} on X which is flat over S , one can define on S a sheaf $B(\mathcal{H})$ of rank $1|0$ or $0|1$, with the following properties:

- 1) If all $R^i\pi_* \mathcal{H}$ are locally free, then $B(\mathcal{H}) = \otimes (\text{Ber } R^i\pi_* \mathcal{H})^{(-1)^i}$;
- 2) If the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $B(\mathcal{H}) = B(\mathcal{H}') \otimes B(\mathcal{H}'')$ (throughout this note, equality means canonical isomorphism).

2. Deligne's Isomorphism in the Supercase. Proposition. Let π be the morphism of Sec. 1, of relative dimension $1|1$. Then for any invertible sheaves \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} on X (i.e., sheaves of sections of bundles of rank $1|0$), $B(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = B(\mathcal{L}) \otimes B(\mathcal{M})$.

The proof will be carried out for the most important case, in which \mathcal{M} has a global holomorphic section t defining an effective relative Cartier divisor D on $X \rightarrow S$.

The sequence of sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}|_D \rightarrow 0$ is exact, hence $B(\mathcal{M}) = B(\mathcal{O}) \otimes B(\mathcal{M}|_D)$. Obviously, $B(\mathcal{M}|_D) = \text{Ber}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{M}|_D)$. Similarly, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}|_D \rightarrow 0$ implies $B(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = B(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \text{Ber}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}|_D)$. In addition, for any invertible sheaves \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{N} on X , $\text{Ber}(\mathcal{H}|_D) = \text{Ber}(\mathcal{N}|_D)$ (this isomorphism is locally defined on S by multiplication on $\text{Ber } s$, where s is any section of the sheaf $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{H}^*$ different from 0 and ∞ on D_{red}). Applying this assertion to the pair $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, we obtain $B(\mathcal{M}) \otimes B(\mathcal{O})^{-1} = B(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \otimes B(\mathcal{L})^{-1}$.

3. Mumford's Formula in the Supercase. Under the assumptions of Sec. 2, suppose there exists a dualizing sheaf ω , i.e., a sheaf of rank $0|1$ equipped with the trace morphism $\text{tr}: R^1\pi_* \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ and defining a Serre duality, i.e., a nondegenerate pairing $R^i\pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes R^{1-i}\pi_* (\mathcal{F}^* \otimes \omega) \rightarrow R^1\pi_* \omega \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \mathcal{O}_S$ for locally free \mathcal{F} . Let $\lambda_{i/2} = B(\omega^i)$. Clearly, $\lambda_{1/2} = \lambda_0$.

THEOREM. $\lambda_{i/2} = \lambda_{1/2}^{(-1)^{i-1}(2i-1)}$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 2 to $\mathcal{L} = \Pi^i \omega^i$, $\mathcal{M} = \Pi \omega$, (Π is a parity change), we obtain $\lambda_{(i+1)/2}^{(-1)^{i+1}} = \lambda_0^{-1} \otimes \lambda_{i/2}^{(-1)^i} \otimes \lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$. Induction on i , using $\lambda_{1/2} = \lambda_0$, completes the proof.

M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 67-68, April-June, 1988. Original article submitted January 21, 1987.

4. Application to SUSY-Families. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be an SUSY-family, i.e., a smooth proper morphism of relative dimension 1|1 together with a distribution $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}X/S$ of rank 0|1 such that the morphism $[\cdot] \bmod \mathcal{F}_1: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_1$ is an isomorphism. In this case the sheaf of holomorphic semiforms $\omega := \mathcal{F}_1^* \cong \text{Ber } X/S$ is a dualizing sheaf (see [6]). By Theorem 3, on any SUSY-family we have $\lambda_{3/2} = \lambda_{1/2}^5$.

5. Formula for Mumford Supermeasure. Mumford supermeasure μ is defined as the image of $1 \in \mathcal{O}_S$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_S = \lambda_{3/2} \otimes \lambda_{1/2}^{-5}$.

Our problem is to write down an expression for μ in terms of given local bases of the sheaves $R^i \pi_* \omega^j$, $i = 0, 1, j = 1, 2, 3$. We shall assume that all the $R^i \pi_* \omega^j$ are locally free, since the notion of basis is defined only in the case that $(\Pi \omega)_{\text{red}}$ is an odd nondegenerate θ -characteristic and the genus g of the curve X_{red} is greater than 1. Without these assumptions the formula is found by the same methods but is more cumbersome.

1) Bases. Let v be an odd global section of the sheaf ω such that v_{red} is a nonzero section of ω_{red} with zero divisor $\{P_1, \dots, P_{g-1}\}$; let $D = \{v = 0\}$. Pick coordinates (z_j, ζ_j) in the neighborhood of P_j so that the form $dz_j - \zeta_j d\zeta_j$ vanishes on \mathcal{F}_1 and $v = (z_j \zeta_j + O(z_j \zeta_j)) d\zeta_j$.

Bases in $\text{Ber}(\omega^j|_D)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\{\delta_i^k d\zeta_j^i | \delta_i^k \zeta_k d\zeta_j^i\}_{i=1}^{g-1}$ be a basis of $\omega^j|_D$. The basis in $\text{Ber}(\omega^j|_D)$ is the element $\delta_{j/2} = \text{Ber}(\delta_i^k d\zeta_j^i | \delta_i^k \zeta_k d\zeta_j^i)$.

Basis in λ_0 . Let $\{1 | \xi\}$ be a basis of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{g-1}, v \xi, v\}$ a basis of $(R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)^* = \pi_* \omega$. Let $\xi = \xi_j z_j \zeta_j + O(z_j^2)$, $\varphi_i = (\varphi_{ij}^1 + \varphi_{ij}^0 \zeta_j + O(z_j)) d\zeta_j$, where $\varphi_{ij}^0 \in \mathcal{O}_{S,0}$, $\varphi_{ij}^1 \in \mathcal{O}_{S,1}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, g-1$ and $\varphi_0^{kj}: \Sigma \varphi_0^{ij} \varphi_{kj}^0 + \varphi_1^{ij} \varphi_{kj}^1 = \delta_i^k$. The basis in λ_0 is defined to be $d_0 = \text{Ber}(1 | \xi) \otimes \text{Ber}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{g-1}, v \xi | v)$.

Basis in $\lambda_{1/2}$. We choose the Serre-dual basis $d_{1/2} = d_0$.

Basis in λ_1 . Let $\{v^2, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{g-1} | v\varphi_1, \dots, v\varphi_{g-1}, v^2 \xi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{g-2}\}$ be a basis of $\pi_* \omega^2$, $\{\xi/v\}$ a basis of $(R^1 \pi_* \omega^2)^* = \pi_* \omega^{-1}$. Let $\chi_i = (\chi_{ij}^0 + \chi_{ij}^1 \zeta_j + O(z_j)) d\zeta_j^2$, $i, j = 1, \dots, g-1$, $\psi_i = (\psi_{ij}^1 + \psi_{ij}^0 \zeta_j + O(z_j)) d\zeta_j^2$, $i = 1, \dots, g-2, j = 1, \dots, g-1$. The basis in λ_1 is the element $d_1 = \text{Ber}(v^2, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{g-1} | v\varphi_1, \dots, v\varphi_{g-1}, v^2 \xi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{g-2}) \otimes \text{Ber}(\xi/v)$.

Basis in $\lambda_{3/2}$. Observing that $R^1 \pi_* \omega^3 = 0$, we construct a basis in $\lambda_{3/2}$ with the help of a basis of $\pi_* \omega^3$: $d_{3/2} = \text{Ber}(v^2 \varphi_1, \dots, v^2 \varphi_{g-1}, v^3 \xi, v\psi_1, \dots, v\psi_{g-2}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{g-1} | v^3, v\chi_1, \dots, v\chi_{g-1}, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{g-2})$, where

$$\rho_i = (\rho_{ij}^0 + \rho_{ij}^1 \zeta_j + O(z_j)) d\zeta_j^3, \quad i = 1, \dots, g-2, j = 1, \dots, g-1,$$

$$\sigma_i = (\sigma_{ij}^1 + \sigma_{ij}^0 \zeta_j + O(z_j)) d\zeta_j^3, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, g-1.$$

2) Relations between $d_{j/2}$ and $\delta_{j/2}$. Identifying $\lambda_{1/2}^{-1} = \lambda_0 \otimes \text{Ber}^{-1}(\omega|_D)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \otimes \text{Ber}(\omega^2|_D)$, $\lambda_{3/2}^{-1} = \lambda_1 \otimes \text{Ber}^{-1}(\omega^3|_D)$, respectively, we have $d_{1/2}^{-1} = d_0 \text{Ber}_{1/2} \delta_{1/2}^{-1}$, $d_1 = d_{1/2}^{-1} \text{Ber}_1 \delta_1$, $d_{3/2}^{-1} = d_1 \text{Ber}_{3/2} \delta_{3/2}^{-1}$. Here

$$\text{Ber}_{1/2} = \text{Ber} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \varphi_0^{ij} & \varphi_1^{ij} \\ \hline \varphi_{ij}^1 & \varphi_{ij}^0 \end{array} \right),$$

$$\text{Ber}_1 = \text{Ber} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \chi_{ij}^0 & \chi_{ij}^1 \\ \hline \psi_{ij}^1 & \psi_{ij}^0 \\ \hline 0 \dots 0 & 0 \dots 0 \quad 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{Ber}_{3/2} = \text{Ber} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \rho_{ij}^0 & \rho_{ij}^1 \\ \hline \zeta_j^{-1} 0 \dots 0 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \hline \sigma_{ij}^1 & \sigma_{ij}^0 \end{array} \right).$$

Finally, under the isomorphism $\text{Ber}^{(-1)^{j-1}}(\omega^j|_D) = \text{Ber}(\omega|_D)$ $\delta_{j/2}^{(-1)^{j-1}} = \delta_{1/2}$, $j = 2, 3$.

3) Computation of μ . By 2), $d_{3/2} = d_{1/2}^5 \text{Ber}_{3/2}^{-1} \text{Ber}_1^{-1} \text{Ber}_{1/2}^2$, whence $\mu = d_{3/2} d_{1/2}^{-5} \text{Ber}_{3/2} \text{Ber}_1 \text{Ber}_{1/2}^{-2}$.

I am indebted to A. S. Shvarts for his invaluable assistance and to A. A. Beilinson for his useful comments. My deepest gratitude goes to Yu. I. Manin, with whose guidance and under the influence of whose papers this note was written.

LITERATURE CITED

1. M. A. Baranov and A. S. Shvarts, Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz., 42, No. 8, 340-342 (1985).
2. Yu. I. Manin, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., 20, No. 3, 88-89 (1986).
3. A. A. Belavin and V. G. Knizhnik, Phys. Lett., 168B, 201-206 (1986).
4. Yu. I. Manin, Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz., 43, No. 4, 161-163 (1986).
5. A. A. Beilinson and Yu. I. Manin, Commun. Math. Phys., 107, 359-376 (1986).
6. M. A. Baranov, I. V. Frolov, and A. S. Shvarts, Teor. Mat. Fiz., 70, No. 1, 92-103 (1987).