

The case when q is a rt. of 1. So assume that has order $l : q^l = 1$.

this implies $[l]_q = 0$ and so $[i]_q! = 0$ when $i \geq l > 2$.

Proposition: E^l, F^l, K^l, K^{-l} are in center of U_q . (check on generators)

pf: repeated use of $KEK^{-1} = q^2 E, KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F$ shows

K^l, K^{-l} commute with E, F , so K^l, K^{-l} are central.

also $KE^l K^{-1} = q^{2l} E^l$, similarly for F . Finally $[E, F^s] = [s]_q F^{s-1}$.
 Similarly for $[F, E^s]$. // if $s=l$, this is 0.
 binomial expression in K)

in fact, closer look reveals if l even, then with $l=2l'$
 in fact $[l']_q = 0$ since numerator is $q^{l'} - q^{-l'}$; which shows

that $E^{l'}, F^{l'}, K^{l'}, K^{-l'}$ are central.

from now on, we assume that l is odd, $l \geq 3$. (if l even, just replace statements with l' s.t. $l=2l'$)

Return to our universal module $M(\lambda), \lambda \in k^*$

then $K \cdot m_l = \lambda \cdot q^{-2l} m_l = \lambda m_l$.

$E \cdot m_l = 0$ since its action involved $[l]_q$.

so any vector of form $m_l - b m_0$, any $b \in k$, is a highest weight vector in $M(\lambda)$.

Consider: $Z_b(\lambda) := M(\lambda) / U \cdot (m_l - b m_0)$

$Z_b(\lambda)$ is spanned by $F^i (m_l - b m_0) = m_{l-i} - b m_i, i \geq 0$,
 since $K(m_l - b m_0) = \lambda(m_l - b m_0), E(m_l - b m_0) = 0$.

Thus $Z_b(\lambda)$ is ~~spanned by~~ has basis given by $\{m_j\}_{j < l}$ (or rather $[m_j]$, a little silly since $[[F^j]]$) LEC 20
②

(l -dimensional module) with

$$K \cdot m_i = \lambda q^{-2i} m_i, \quad F \cdot m_i = \begin{cases} m_{i+1} & \text{if } i < l-1 \\ b \cdot m_0 & \text{if } i = l-1 \end{cases},$$

$$E \cdot m_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i=0 \\ [i]_q \frac{\lambda q^{l-i} - \lambda^{-1} q^{i-1}}{q - q^{-1}} m_{i-1} & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

and since $\lambda \cdot q^{-2i}$, $0 \leq i < l$ are distinct, the spaces are all 1-dim'l gen'd by m_j , $j < l$.

Note: $F^l \cdot m_0 = b m_0$, so if $b \neq 0$, then

- F doesn't act nilpotently on $Z_b(\lambda)$ (unlike before, when q not rt of 1, $F^s M = 0$ $s \gg 0$)
- λ need not be of the form $\pm q^a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Is this a simple module?

Prop. (2.12 in Jantzen) q : primitive l -th rt of 1, l odd, ≥ 3 .

if $b \neq 0$, or if $\lambda^{2l} \neq 1$ then $Z_b(\lambda)$ is a simple U_q -module.

if $b=0$ and $\lambda = \pm q^n$ ($0 \leq n < l$) then $Z_b(\lambda)$ is:

simple if $n = l-1$.

if $n < l-1$, then $\langle v_j \mid j > n \rangle$ span a submodule of $Z_b(\lambda)$ and this is unique non-trivial submod.

Pf: Let M be non-zero submodule. ~~The~~ $Z_b(\lambda)$ is a direct sum of its one dim'l

wt. spaces and M is closed under action of K , so M is direct sum of

weight spaces $M \cap Z_b(\lambda)_\mu$. Weight vectors are those $m_i \in M$.

our named basis above.

choose $j \geq 0$ minimal with $m_j \in M$. M is closed under F , so

$$m_i = F^{i-j} m_j \in M \text{ for all } j \leq i < l. \text{ As in our earlier pf, if } j=0 \text{ then } M \cong Z_b(\lambda), \text{ i.e. not proper.}$$

So we may assume $j > 0$.

Now the weird property of F acting by $F \cdot m_{l-1} = b m_0$ says this only happens if $b=0$ (necessary condition for proper submodule). With $b=0$:

M spanned by $m_i, i \geq j$, and $E \cdot m_j$ is a multiple of m_{j-1} , so by minimality of j , and M closed under E , must be $E \cdot m_j = 0$.

but then knowing E acts in layer $Z_b(\lambda)$ by $[j]_q \frac{\lambda q^{l-j} - \lambda^{-1} q^{j-1}}{q - q^{-1}} m_{j-1}$ on m_j , then numerator is 0. Can't be $[j]_q$ giving 0, since $0 < j < l$, the order of q . so $\lambda q^{l-j} - \lambda^{-1} q^{j-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 = q^{2(j-1)}$
so $\lambda = \pm q^{(j-1)}$. (another necessary cond. for proper submod.)

Now check that when $b=0, \lambda = \pm q^n, 0 \leq n < l-1$, then the m_i with $i > n$ are a submodule. (straightforward. in particular $E \cdot m_{n+1} = 0$)

→ In particular we learn if $0 \leq n < l$, recover same structure of simple U -modules $L(n, \pm)$ in $Z_0(\pm q^n)$ (compare two actions)

Also learn that $Z_0(\pm q^n)$ with $0 \leq n < l-1$ can't be semisimple, because the complement of the $L(n, \pm)$ wouldn't have form described above.

In fact, there are no simple finite-dim'l $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of dimension $> l$. (9)

(Kassel, Prop. VI.5.2) $k = \mathbb{C}$ / alg. closed field.

pf sketch: if V : ^{simple} fin dim'l, $\dim > l$, then do cases:

(a) : there is a lowest weight vector: $Kv = \lambda v$, $Fv = 0$.

then $\langle v, Ev, \dots, E^{l-1}v \rangle$ is a submodule (of $\dim \leq l$)

reason: $E \cdot E^{l-1}v = E^l v$ and E^l central so acts by scalar on V

(b) No lowest wt. vector. Take v s.t. $K \cdot v = \lambda v$, consider

$\langle v, Fv, \dots, F^{l-1}v \rangle$: similar idea as before.

Need to use commutation rel's
of E, F to check this.