

Two ways of understanding \mathbb{Q}_p :

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \text{frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ with } \mathbb{Z}_p := \varprojlim_N \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{OR}$$

As completion of \mathbb{Q} w.r.t. $| \cdot |_p : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $|a|_p := p^{-v_p(a)}$

where $v_p(a)$: valuation - behaves well with respect to addition/multiplication -

defined by writing $a = p^m \frac{b}{c}$ with $\gcd(bc, p) = 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

\hookrightarrow this implies
 $|ab|_p \leq \max\limits_{i \in \{a, b\}} |a_i b_i|_p \leq \text{sum}$

\sim component-wise addition + mult.

Let R denote the ring of all Cauchy sequences in $|\cdot|_p$.

Note formal power series $\sum_i a_i p^i$, $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ are all

Cauchy because $|x_n - x_m|_p = \left| \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i p^i \right|_p \leq \max_{m \leq i < n} \{ |a_i p^i|_p \} \leq p^{-m}$

Let M denote subset of Cauchy sequences converging to 0. A (maximal) ideal in R .

then let $\mathbb{Q}_p := R/M$ (of course, we'll need

to show this defn of \mathbb{Q}_p agrees with old one.)

How to embed \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{Q}_p ?

$a \mapsto (a, a, \dots)$. Previously, we mapped a to partial sums \bar{s}_N in $\mathbb{Z}/p^{N+1}\mathbb{Z}$

e.g. an integer like 35 in \mathbb{Q}_3
would have partial sums $(35 \pmod{3}),$
 $35 \pmod{9},$

Define $|x|_p$ for $x = \{x_n\} \in R/M$

$$= (2, 8, 8, 35, 35, \dots) \dots$$

to be $|x|_p := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n|_p \in \mathbb{R}$. $\sim (35, 35, \dots)$ in R/M .

(\mathbb{R} complete, $|x_n|_p$ Cauchy, so limit exists)

Well-defined since elts of M have limit 0.

Similarly, we can extend the valuation to \mathbb{Q}_p by setting

$$v_p(x_n) = -\log_p |x_n|_p \quad \text{and} \quad v_p(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(x_n)$$

$x = \{x_n\}$

Since valuation is discrete, only possibilities

$$\text{are } v_p(x) = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \{v_p(x_n)\} \text{ is Cauchy, values in } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ so}$$

$$v_p(x) = v_p(x_n) \quad \text{if } n \geq n_0 \quad \text{some } n_0.$$

then remains to show that with $x = \{x_n\}$,

$$|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}.$$

With these defns, mimic proof that \mathbb{R} is complete w.r.t. $|\cdot|_\infty$ on \mathbb{Q} .

i.e. every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges in \mathbb{Q}_p .
 of equivalence
 classes of Cauchy sequences

So $\{a_j\}_j$, where each $a_j = \{x_i\}$ so could use double index $\{a_{ji}\}$

find N_j for each j such that if $i, i' \geq N_j$ then $|a_{ji} - a_{ji'}| < p^{-j}$

Then $\{a_{j, N_j}\}_{j=1, \dots}$ is the limit of $\{a_j\}$

Still need to show this defin of \mathbb{Q}_p matches algebraic one. Continue to postpone this...

Recall that $|\cdot|_p$ satisfies stronger form of triangle inequality:

$$|x+y|_p \leq \max \{|x|_p, |y|_p\}. \quad \text{This implies:}$$

Proposition $\mathbb{Z}_p := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p \leq 1\}$

is a subring of \mathbb{Q}_p , and the closure of \mathbb{Z} w.r.t. $|\cdot|_p$ in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Pf: subring follows from add/mult. props. of valuation.

If $\{x_n\}$ Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R/\mathfrak{m}$ and $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$

then since $|x_n|_p \leq 1$, have $|x|_p \leq 1$ so $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. For converse,

if $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $\{x_n\}$ Cauchy, then $\exists n_0$ s.t. $n \geq n_0$ then
in \mathbb{Q}

$|x|_p = |x_n|_p \leq 1$. For these $x_n = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$ must have $(b_n)_p = 1$

so for each such n , find $y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $y_n \equiv \frac{a_n}{b_n} \pmod{p^n}$, i.e.

$|x_n - y_n|_p = \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} - y_n \right|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^n}$ so $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$
with $y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$
So in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Corollary: Units of \mathbb{Z}_p are $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid |x|_p = 1\}$
 (\mathbb{Z}_p^*)

and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ admits representation $x = p^m \cdot u$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$

If: first is clear. If $v_p(x) = m$ then $v_p(xp^{-m}) = 0$ ($|xp^{-m}|_p = 1$)

Proposition: Non-zero ideals of the ring \mathbb{Z}_p are principal ideals $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$, $n \geq 0$.

$\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid v_p(x) \geq n\}$. So \mathbb{Z}_p is DVR.

Moreover $\mathbb{Z}_p / p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^n \mathbb{Z}$

If: for any non-zero ideal, find element with smallest valuation m : $x = p^m u$ $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$
(know $m > 0$ since $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$). Then $\text{or} = (x) = p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. (Any $y = p^n u' \in \text{or}$
has $n > m$.)

For the isomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have natural homom.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ then since } \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}, \\ a &\mapsto a \bmod p^n\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{we can find } a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |x-a| \leq \frac{1}{p^n} \\ && \Rightarrow x-a \in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ so } \phi \text{ is surjective} \\ && \text{kernel is } p^n\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

To link algebraic and analytic definitions, which gives

$$\text{use this isomorphism } \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{surjective homoms. } \mathbb{Z}_p &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \text{ and hence homom. } \mathbb{Z}_p &\rightarrow \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \\ &\text{analytic} && \text{(i.e. the homoms for each } n \text{ will give compatible family} \\ &&& \text{of homs. w.r.t. canonical} \\ \text{claim: } \mathbb{Z}_p &\rightarrow \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} && \text{projection}) \end{aligned}$$

pf: injectivity: if $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ mapped to 0, then $x \in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \nmid n$
 $\Rightarrow |x|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^n} \nmid n \Rightarrow |x|_p = 0 \Rightarrow x=0.$ ✓

surjectivity: Elements in proj. limit are sequences of partial sums s_N with
 $s_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i p^i \quad a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}.$ This is Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p
so converges to elt

$$\text{Since } x - s_N = \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_i p^i \in p^{N+1}\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{so } x \equiv s_N \pmod{p^{N+1}} \quad (\nmid N) \quad \text{i.e. } x \text{ is mapped to } \{s_N\}_N. \quad \checkmark$$

Final algebraic characterization: $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ w/ formal power series w/
coeffs in \mathbb{Z}

closer to our earlier geometric formulations.
uses natural homom. $\mathbb{Z}[[x]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$