

Extensions of non-arch. local fields.

Neukirch: Don't need completeness, just need Hensel's Lemma to be true. This guaranteed unique ext'n of absolute value v on K to algebraic ext'n L .

Example of field having Hensel's Lemma but not complete:

$$K \subseteq K_v \subseteq \hat{K} \quad \text{where } K_v: \text{separable closure of } K \text{ in } \hat{K}$$

(compositum of separable ext'ns of K in \hat{K} .)

"Henselization of K with respect to v "

Either way, start with $L|K$ algebraic ext'n of K (either Henselian or $=\hat{K}$) of deg n . ↓
just assume

$$v_L(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} v_K(N_{L/K}(\alpha))$$

Then as v_L extends v_K , $v_K(K^*) \subseteq v_L(L^*)$

Let $e = [v_L(L^*) : v_K(K^*)]$ "ramification index"

$f = [O_L/\mathfrak{p}_L : O_K/\mathfrak{p}_K]$ "residual degree"

If v_K discrete, so v_L discrete, then recall the valuation takes values in $v_K(\pi_K) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_L(\pi_L) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ respectively.

so e is equivalently $v_K(\pi_K) / v_L(\pi_L)$ i.e. $\pi_K = \varepsilon \pi_L^e$ for some unit $\varepsilon \in O_L^*$

Proposition: $[L:K] \geq ef$, with equality if v is discrete, $L|K$ separable.

i.e. $\mathfrak{p}_K \cdot O_L = \mathfrak{p}_L^e$

proof: $\left[\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}_L}_{\lambda} : \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_K}_{\kappa} \right] = f$. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_f$ be ~~reps~~ ^{reps in \mathcal{O}_L} for basis λ/κ (49)

and let π_0, \dots, π_{e-1} be reps. ^{which map to} ~~for~~ ^{cosets} in $V_L(L^\times)/V_K(K^\times)$ in L^\times

know f is finite a priori, even though e may not be, but don't yet know e finite.

(Neukirch notes if v discrete, then e finite, take π_0, \dots, π_{e-1} to be corresp. powers of $\pi_L : \pi_L^0, \dots, \pi_L^{e-1}$.)

Plan: Show $\omega_j \pi_i$ are linearly independent (so $e \cdot f \leq [L:K]$)

Suppose \exists non-trivial linear comb. $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} \omega_j \pi_i = 0$ with a_{ij} not all 0, $a_{ij} \in K$

Consider the sums $S_i = \sum_{j=1}^f a_{ij} \omega_j$ (not all 0)

Then $V_L(S_i) \in V_K(K^\times)$, since dividing S_i by the a_{ij} with minimal valuation, resulting S_i' is linear comb. of ω_j with coeffs in \mathcal{O}_K (not just K), and one coeff. is 1.

So $S_i' \neq 0 \Rightarrow S_i'$ is unit $\Rightarrow V_L(S_i) = V_L(a_{ij} \text{ with min-val.}) \in V_K(K^\times)$ since $a_{ij} \in K^\times$ and V_L extends v_K .

Now consider $\sum_i S_i \pi_i = 0$.

Must have two summands of equal valuation

(remember if $V_L(x) \neq V_L(y)$ then $V_L(x+y) = \min\{V_L(x), V_L(y)\}$ not inequality!

So suppose $V_L(S_i \pi_i) = V_L(S_j \pi_j)$ some pair of indices ij .

then $v_L(\pi_i) = v_L(\pi_j) + \underbrace{v_L(s_j) - v_L(s_i)}_{\in v_K(K^x)} \equiv v_L(\pi_j) \pmod{v_K(K^x)}$

↯ since π_i, π_j chosen to be distinct. Hence no such nontrivial linear comb. exists

and $\pi_i \omega_j$ are linearly indep.

Now if v_K (and hence v_L) discrete, consider \mathcal{O}_K -module

$$M = \sum_i \sum_j \mathcal{O}_K \cdot \omega_j \pi_i \quad \text{with } \pi_i = \pi^i, (\pi) = \mathfrak{f}_L.$$

done if we can show $M = \mathcal{O}_L$. (i.e. $\omega_j \pi_i$ are integral basis)

Play similar game as above, writing $N = \sum_j \mathcal{O}_K \omega_j$

so $M = N + \pi \cdot N + \dots + \pi^{e-1} N$ (*)

Now $\mathcal{O}_L = N + \pi \cdot \mathcal{O}_L$ because ω_j are basis for $\mathcal{O}_L / \pi \cdot \mathcal{O}_L$ as $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi \mathcal{O}_K$ -vector space

so we have recursively substituting:

$$\mathcal{O}_L = N + \pi(N + \pi \mathcal{O}_L) = \dots = N + \pi N + \dots + \pi^{e-1} N + \pi^e \mathcal{O}_L$$
 (**)

i.e. comparing (*) and (**)

$$\mathcal{O}_L = M + \mathfrak{f}_L^e = M + \mathfrak{f}_K \cdot \mathcal{O}_L$$

To finish, use Nakayama's Lemma + fact that \mathcal{O}_L is fin. \mathcal{O}_K -module ~~then~~ as L/K separable.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L = M$$

Worth returning to original pf. for # fields using Chinese Remainder Thm to compare with above!

view \mathcal{O}_L, M as \mathcal{O}_K -modules with maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{f}_K$.