

# Meromorphic functions and their complex line integrals.

$f$ : analytic in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , except possibly at  $z_0$  itself.

i.e. in  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ .

Examine  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |(z - z_0)^k f(z)|$  for various  $k$ .

Earlier theorem:  $f$  has removable singularity at  $z_0$  (define  $f(z_0) =$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |(z - z_0)^1 f(z)| = 0.$$

$$\left( \text{pf. define } f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(z_0, r)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta \right)$$

this means defined and analytic on  $\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$

initially. Want to extend to all of  $\Omega$ .

then treat  $f(z)$  like analytic function once

we've "plugged the hole"

In particular, this condition will be satisfied if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |f(z)| < \infty$ .

also necessary since C.I.F  $\rightarrow f(z) \leq \sup |f|$

[ Compare real case:  $|x|$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , but has no extension to diff. function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . ]

Otherwise, two possibilities:  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$  "pole",  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)$  does not exist "essential singularity" wild behavior.

Investigate poles: if  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$ , then for any  $M > 0$ ,

find  $\delta$  s.t.  $|f(z)| > M$  on  $|z - z_0| < \delta$ , in particular  
(and  $0 <$ )

$f(z) \neq 0$  on  $B(z_0, \delta)$ . Consider  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$  on this nbhd,

which is analytic by our analysis.

Since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} g(z) = 0$ , then so is  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)g(z)$  and hence  
the singularity is removable,  
setting  $g(z_0) = 0$ .

Now  $g(z)$  analytic but not  $\equiv 0$  on  $B(z_0, \delta)$

so expressible as  $g(z) = (z - z_0)^h \underbrace{g_h(z)}_{\text{analytic, } g_h(z_0) \neq 0}$ .

Remembering  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ , then  $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-h} \underbrace{f_h(z)}_{\text{analytic since } f_h(z_0) \neq 0}$   
 $= \frac{1}{g_h(z)}$  and  $g_h(z_0) \neq 0$ .

Functions that are analytic except for <sup>possibly</sup> isolated poles  
are called "meromorphic functions"

This discussion shows they behave like rational functions  
in same way that polynomials  $\leftrightarrow$  analytic functions.

$f, g$  analytic, then  $f/g$  meromorphic with poles at (potentially)  
0's of  $g$  (though they may cancel with 0's of  $f$ )

e.g.  $f = \sin z$ ,  $g = z$ .

potential pole at  $z=0$  in  $\frac{\sin z}{z}$  cancelled by 0 of  $\sin z$ .

Interesting consequence of our discussions:  $\exists$  integer  $h$  s.t.

$$(*) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |(z-z_0)^\alpha f(z)| = 0 \quad \forall \text{ real } \alpha > h.$$

(for any pole of  $f$ )

$$(**) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |(z-z_0)^\alpha f(z)| = \infty \quad \forall \text{ real } \alpha < h.$$

If  $f(z) = (z-z_0)^{-h} f_h(z)$ , do Taylor expansion for

$$f_h(z) = a_{-h} + a_{-(h-1)}(z-z_0) + \dots \quad \text{so } f(z) \text{ expressible as:}$$

$$f(z) = \underbrace{a_{-h}(z-z_0)^{-h} + \dots + a_{-1}(z-z_0)^{-1}}_{\text{"singular part of } f \text{ @ } z_0} + \underbrace{\phi(z)}_{\text{analytic in nbhd of } z_0}$$

(If  $f(z) = g(z)/h(z)$ ,  $g, h$  polys, then performing this expansion at each successive 0 of  $h$  is just the partial fractions expansion)

Essential singularities: (Neither  $(*)$  nor  $(**)$  hold for any  $\alpha$ )

$\Rightarrow$   $f$  unbounded in nbhd of  $z_0$ , an essential singularity (failure for pos  $\alpha$ )  
and yet comes arbitrarily close to 0 (by failure of  $(*)$  for neg.  $\alpha$ )

Thm: An analytic function comes arbitrarily close to any complex value in a neighborhood of an essential singularity.

Last week:  $f(z)$  analytic in  $\Omega$ : open, conn., then  $\int_{\gamma} f dz = 0$   
for any cycle  $\gamma \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$

Remember: cycle is just formal linear combination of closed paths  
(modulo equivalence)

Cor: (Stronger form of Cauchy Integral Formula)  $f, \Omega$  as above.

$$n(\gamma, a) f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{z-a} \quad \text{if } \gamma \neq 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

(remember Cauchy Int. Formula is direct consequence of Cauchy's Thm for

$\phi(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z-a}$ , which has a removable singularity at  $z=a$ ,  
but this causes no difficulty.)

Now suppose  $f$ : analytic on  $\Omega$  except for finitely many isolated  
singularities  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . So region we're working with is  $\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

Let  $P_j$  be period corresponding to  $a_j$ .

That is  $P_j(f) = \int_{C(a_j, \delta)} f(z) dz$

$C(a_j, \delta)$ : circle centered at  $a_j$   
with  $\delta$  suff. small.

e.g.  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z-a_j}$ . Then  $\int_{C(a_j, \delta)} \frac{1}{z-a_j} dz = 2\pi i$ .

For general function  $f$ , then

constant indep. of  $z$

$$f(z) - \frac{P_j(f)}{2\pi i (z-a_j)}$$

has zero period  
around  $a_j$

If we set  $R_j(f) = \frac{P_j(f)}{2\pi i}$  then result, called Residue of  $f$  at  $a_j$ ,

is unique ex.  $\#$  such that  $f(z) - \frac{R_j}{z-a_j}$  is derivative of

(single-valued) analytic function on suffic. small nbhd of  $a_j$ .

Given any  $\gamma \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\gamma \sim 0$ , then in the set  $\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$

$$\gamma \sim \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) \cdot C_j \quad C_j := C(a_j, \delta) : \text{cycle with winding \# 1 around } a_j.$$

where  $n(\gamma, a_j)$  is the winding  $\#$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

So then in this region:

$$\int_{\gamma} f dz = \int_{\sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) C_j} f dz = \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) P_j$$

or, using residues

$$R_j = P_j / 2\pi i \quad \therefore \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz = \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) R_j$$

Known as "Residue Thm". Note it applies equally well if  $f$  has infinitely many

isolated zeros. Indeed, we just need to show that for any  $\gamma$ ,  $n(\gamma, a_j) = 0$

for all but fin. many  $j$ . This is so because  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$  for any  $a$  in

unbounded component of  $a$ . (i.e. other components bounded, say inside

disk of radius  $R$ , for  $R \gg 0$ .) But then isolated singularities in this disk

must be finite - else they would have an accumulation pt.