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1. Introduction.

The term “complex analysis” refers to the calculus of complex-valued functions $f(z)$ depending on a single complex variable $z$. To the novice, it may seem that this subject should merely be a simple reworking of standard real variable theory that you learned in first year calculus. However, this naïve first impression could not be further from the truth! Complex analysis is the culmination of a deep and far-ranging study of the fundamental notions of complex differentiation and integration, and has an elegance and beauty not found in the real domain. For instance, complex functions are necessarily analytic, meaning that they can be represented by convergent power series, and hence are infinitely differentiable. Thus, difficulties with degree of smoothness, strange discontinuities, subtle convergence phenomena, and other pathological properties of real functions never arise in the complex realm.

The driving force behind many of the applications of complex analysis is the remarkable connection between complex functions and harmonic functions of two variables, a.k.a. solutions of the planar Laplace equation. To wit, the real and imaginary parts of any complex analytic function are automatically harmonic. In this manner, complex functions provide a rich lode of additional solutions to the two-dimensional Laplace equation, which can be exploited in a wide range of physical and mathematical applications. One of the most useful consequences stems from the elementary observation that the composition of two complex functions is also a complex function. We re-interpret this operation as a complex change of variables, producing a conformal mapping that preserves (signed) angles in the Euclidean plane. Conformal mappings can be effectively used for constructing solutions to the Laplace equation on complicated planar domains that are used in fluid mechanics, aerodynamics, thermodynamics, electrostatics, elasticity, and elsewhere.

We assume the reader is familiar with the basics of complex numbers and complex arithmetic, as in [20; Appendix A], and commence our exposition with the basics of complex functions and their differential calculus. We then proceed to develop the theory and applications of conformal mappings. The final section contains a brief introduction to complex integration and a few of its applications. Further developments and additional details and results can be found in a wide variety of texts devoted to complex analysis, including [1, 12, 22, 23].

2. Complex Functions.

Our principal objects of study are complex-valued functions $f(z)$, depending on a single complex variable $z = x + i y \in \mathbb{C}$. In general, the function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ will be defined on an open subdomain, $z \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, of the complex plane.

Any complex function can be uniquely written as a complex combination

$$f(z) = f(x + i y) = u(x, y) + i v(x, y),$$

of two real functions, each depending on the two real variables $x, y$:

its real part $u(x, y) = \text{Re } f(z)$ and its imaginary part $v(x, y) = \text{Im } f(z)$. 
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For example, the monomial function $f(z) = z^3$ can be expanded and written as

$$z^3 = (x + i y)^3 = (x^3 - 3 x y^2) + i (3 x^2 y - y^3),$$

and so

$$\text{Re } z^3 = x^3 - 3 x y^2, \quad \text{Im } z^3 = 3 x^2 y - y^3.$$  

Many of the well-known functions appearing in real-variable calculus — polynomials, rational functions, exponentials, trigonometric functions, logarithms, and many more — have natural complex extensions. For example, complex polynomials

$$p(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$$

(2.2)

are complex linear combinations (meaning that the coefficients $a_k$ are allowed to be complex numbers) of the basic monomial functions $z^k = (x + iy)^k$. Complex exponentials

$$e^z = e^{x+i y} = e^x \cos y + i e^x \sin y,$$

are based on Euler’s formula, and are of immense importance for solving differential equations and in Fourier analysis. Further examples will appear shortly.

There are several ways to motivate the link between harmonic functions $u(x, y)$, meaning solutions of the two-dimensional Laplace equation

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

(2.3)

and complex functions $f(z)$. One natural starting point is the d’Alembert solution formula of the one-dimensional wave equation — see [20] — which was based on the factorization

$$\Box = \partial_t^2 - c^2 \partial_x^2 = (\partial_t - c \partial_x)(\partial_t + c \partial_x)$$

of the linear wave operator. The two-dimensional Laplace operator $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ has essentially the same form, except for an ostensibly unimportant change in sign†. The Laplace operator admits a complex factorization,

$$\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 = (\partial_x - i \partial_y)(\partial_x + i \partial_y),$$

into a product of first order differential operators, with complex “wave speeds” $c = \pm i$. Mimicking the d’Alembert solution for the wave equation, we anticipate that the solutions to the Laplace equation (2.3) should be expressed in the form

$$u(x, y) = f(x + i y) + g(x - i y),$$

(2.4)

i.e., a linear combination of functions of the complex variable $z = x + iy$ and its complex conjugate $\overline{z} = x - iy$. The functions $f(x + iy)$ and $g(x - iy)$ formally satisfy the first order complex partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y},$$

(2.5)

† Although this “trivial” change in sign has significant ramifications for the analytical properties of (real) solutions.
and hence (2.4) does indeed define a complex-valued solution to the Laplace equation.

In most applications, we are searching for real solutions, and so our complex d’Alembert-type formula (2.4) is not entirely satisfactory. As we know, a complex number \( z = x + iy \) is real if and only if it equals its own conjugate: \( z = \overline{z} \). Thus, the solution (2.4) will be real if and only if

\[
f(x + iy) + g(x - iy) = u(x, y) = \overline{u(x, y)} = \overline{f(x + iy) + g(x - iy)}.
\]

Now, the complex conjugation operation interchanges \( x + iy \) and \( x - iy \), and so we expect the first term \( f(x + iy) \) to be a function of \( x - iy \), while the second term \( g(x - iy) \) will be a function of \( x + iy \). Therefore, to equate the two sides of this equation, we should require

\[
g(x - iy) = \overline{f(x + iy)},
\]

and so

\[
u(x, y) = f(x + iy) + \overline{f(x + iy)} = 2 \text{Re} f(x + iy).
\]

Dropping the inessential factor of 2, we conclude that a real solution to the two-dimensional Laplace equation can be written as the real part of a complex function. A more direct proof of the following key result will appear in Theorem 4.1 below.

**Proposition 2.1.** If \( f(z) \) is a complex function, then its real part

\[
u(x, y) = \text{Re} f(x + iy) \quad (2.6)
\]

is a harmonic function.

The imaginary part of a complex function is also harmonic. This is because

\[
\text{Im} f(z) = \text{Re} \left[ -if(z) \right]
\]

is the real part of the complex function

\[
- if(z) = -i \left[ u(x, y) + iv(x, y) \right] = v(x, y) - iu(x, y).
\]

Therefore, if \( f(z) \) is any complex function, we can write it as a complex combination

\[
f(z) = f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y),
\]

of two inter-related real harmonic functions: \( u(x, y) = \text{Re} f(z) \) and \( v(x, y) = \text{Im} f(z) \).

Before delving into the many remarkable properties of complex functions, let us look at some of the most basic examples. In each case, the reader can directly check that the harmonic functions provided by the real and imaginary parts of the complex function are indeed solutions to the two-dimensional Laplace equation (2.3).

\[\footnote{We are ignoring the fact that \( f \) and \( g \) are not quite uniquely determined since one can add and subtract a common constant. This does not affect the argument in any significant way.}\]
Examples of Complex Functions

(a) Harmonic Polynomials: As noted above, any complex polynomial is a linear combination, as in (2.2), of the basic complex monomials

$$z^n = (x + iy)^n = u_n(x, y) + iv_n(x, y).$$

Their real and imaginary parts, $u_n(x, y), v_n(x, y)$, are known as harmonic polynomials, whose general expression is obtained by expanding using the Binomial Formula:

$$z^n = (x + iy)^n = x^n + nx^{n-1}y + \binom{n}{2} x^{n-2}y^2 + \binom{n}{3} x^{n-3}y^3 + \cdots + (iy)^n$$

$$= x^n + i nx^{n-1}y - \binom{n}{2} x^{n-2}y^2 - i \binom{n}{3} x^{n-3}y^3 + \cdots,$$

where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

are the usual binomial coefficients. Separating the real and imaginary terms, we find the explicit formulae

$$\text{Re } z^n = x^n - \binom{n}{2} x^{n-2}y^2 + \binom{n}{4} x^{n-4}y^4 + \cdots,$$

$$\text{Im } z^n = nx^{n-1}y - \binom{n}{3} x^{n-3}y^3 + \binom{n}{5} x^{n-5}y^5 + \cdots,$$

for the two independent homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $n$.

(b) Rational Functions: Ratios

$$f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$$

(2.10)
of complex polynomials provide a large variety of harmonic functions. The simplest case is
\[ \frac{1}{z} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} - i \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad (2.11) \]
whose real and imaginary parts are graphed in Figure 1. Note that these functions have an interesting singularity at the origin \( x = y = 0 \), but are harmonic everywhere else.

A slightly more complicated example is the function
\[ f(z) = \frac{z - 1}{z + 1}. \quad (2.12) \]
To write out (2.12) in standard form (2.1), we multiply and divide by the complex conjugate of the denominator, leading to
\[ f(z) = \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{(z - 1)(\overline{z} + 1)}{(z + 1)(\overline{z} + 1)} = \frac{|z|^2 + z - \overline{z} - 1}{|z + 1|^2} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} + i \frac{2y}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2}. \quad (2.13) \]
Again, the real and imaginary parts are both harmonic functions away from the singularity at \( x = -1, y = 0 \). Incidentally, the preceding maneuver can always be used to find the real and imaginary parts of general rational functions.

(c) **Complex Exponentials: Euler’s formula**

\[ e^z = e^x \cos y + i e^x \sin y \quad (2.14) \]
for the complex exponential yields two important harmonic functions: \( e^x \cos y \) and \( e^x \sin y \), which are graphed in Figure 2. More generally, writing out \( e^{cz} \) for a complex constant \( c = a + i b \) produces the complex exponential function
\[ e^{cz} = e^{ax+by} \cos(bx + ay) + i e^{ax+by} \sin(bx + ay), \quad (2.15) \]
whose real and imaginary parts are harmonic functions for arbitrary \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \).
Re (log \( z \)) = \log |z|

Im (log \( z \)) = \text{ph} \( z \)

**Figure 3.** Real and Imaginary Parts of log \( z \).

(d) *Complex Trigonometric Functions:* These are defined in terms of the complex exponential by

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos z &= \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2} = \cos x \cosh y - i \sin x \sinh y, \\
\sin z &= \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i} = \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y.
\end{align*}
\]

The resulting harmonic functions are products of trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, and can all be written as linear combinations of the harmonic functions (2.15) derived from the complex exponential. Note that when \( z = x \) is real, so \( y = 0 \), these functions reduce to the usual real trigonometric functions \( \cos x \) and \( \sin x \).

(e) *Complex Logarithm:* In a similar fashion, the complex logarithm is a complex extension of the usual real natural (i.e., base \( e \)) logarithm. In terms of polar coordinates \( z = r e^{i\theta} \), the complex logarithm has the form

\[
\log z = \log(r e^{i\theta}) = \log r + \log e^{i\theta} = \log r + i \theta.
\]

Thus, the logarithm of a complex number has real part

\[
\text{Re (log } z) = \log r = \log |z| = \frac{1}{2} \log(x^2 + y^2),
\]

which is a well-defined harmonic function on all of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) save for a logarithmic singularity at the origin \( x = y = 0 \).

The imaginary part

\[
\text{Im (log } z) = \theta = \text{ph } z
\]
of the complex logarithm is the polar angle, known in complex analysis as the phase or argument of \( z \). (Although most texts use the latter name, we much prefer the former, for reasons outlined in [19, 20].) It is also not defined at the origin \( x = y = 0 \). Moreover, the phase is a multiply-valued harmonic function elsewhere, since it is only specified up to integer multiples of \( 2\pi \). Each nonzero complex number \( z \neq 0 \) has an infinite number of possible values for its phase, and hence an infinite number of possible complex logarithms \( \log z \), differing from each other by an integer multiple of \( 2\pi i \), which reflects the fact that \( e^{2\pi i} = 1 \). In particular, if \( z = x > 0 \) is real and positive, then \( \log z = \log x \) agrees with the real logarithm, provided we choose \( \text{ph} x = 0 \). Alternative choices append some integer multiple of \( 2\pi i \), and so ordinary real, positive numbers \( x > 0 \) also have complex logarithms! On the other hand, if \( z = x < 0 \) is real and negative, then \( \log z = \log |x| + (2k + 1)\pi i \), for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), is complex no matter which value of \( \text{ph} z \) is chosen. (This explains why one avoids defining the logarithm of a negative number in first year calculus!)

As the point \( z \) circles once around the origin in a counter-clockwise direction, its phase angle \( \text{Im} \log z = \text{ph} z = \theta \) increases by \( 2\pi \). Thus, the graph of \( \text{ph} z \) can be likened to a parking ramp with infinitely many levels, spiraling ever upwards as one circumambulates the origin; Figure 3 attempts to illustrate it. At the origin, the complex logarithm exhibits a type of singularity known as a logarithmic branch point, the “branches” referring to the infinite number of possible values that can be assigned to \( \log z \) at any nonzero point.

(f) Roots and Fractional Powers: A similar branching phenomenon occurs with the fractional powers and roots of complex numbers. The simplest case is the square root function \( \sqrt{z} \). Every nonzero complex number \( z \neq 0 \) has two different possible square roots: \( \sqrt{z} \) and \( -\sqrt{z} \). Writing \( z = re^{i\theta} \) in polar coordinates, we find that

\[
\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2} = \sqrt{r} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right),
\]

\[\text{Eq. (2.18)}\]
i.e., we take the square root of the modulus and halve the phase:

$$|\sqrt{z}| = \sqrt{|z|} = \sqrt{r}, \quad \text{ph} \sqrt{z} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ph} z = \frac{1}{2} \theta.$$  

Since $\theta = \text{ph} z$ is only defined up to an integer multiple of $2 \pi$, the angle $\frac{1}{2} \theta$ is only defined up to an integer multiple of $\pi$. The even and odd multiples account for the two possible values of the square root.

In this case, if we start at some $z \neq 0$ and circle once around the origin, we increase $\text{ph} z$ by $2 \pi$, but $\text{ph} \sqrt{z}$ only increases by $\pi$. Thus, at the end of our circuit, we arrive at the other square root $-\sqrt{z}$. Circling the origin again increases $\text{ph} z$ by a further $2 \pi$, and hence brings us back to the original square root $\sqrt{z}$. Therefore, the graph of the multiply-valued square root function will look like a parking ramp with only two interconnected levels, as sketched in Figure 4. The origin represents a branch point of degree 2 for the square root function.

The preceding list of elementary examples is far from exhaustive. Lack of space will preclude us from studying the remarkable properties of complex versions of the gamma function, Airy functions, Bessel functions, and Legendre functions that appear later in the text, as well as the Riemann zeta function, elliptic functions, modular functions, and many, many other important and fascinating functions arising in complex analysis and its manifold applications. The interested reader is referred to $[18, 19, 24]$.

### 3. Complex Differentiation.

The bedrock of complex function theory is the notion of the complex derivative. Complex differentiation is defined in the same manner as the usual calculus limit definition of the derivative of a real function. Yet, despite a superficial similarity, complex differentiation is a profoundly different theory, displaying an elegance and depth not shared by its real progenitor.

**Definition 3.1.** A complex function $f(z)$ is differentiable at a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if the following limiting difference quotient exists:

$$f'(z) = \lim_{w \to z} \frac{f(w) - f(z)}{w - z}. \quad (3.1)$$

The key feature of this definition is that the limiting value $f'(z)$ of the difference quotient must be independent of how $w$ converges to $z$. On the real line, there are only two directions to approach a limiting point — either from the left or from the right. These lead to the concepts of left- and right-handed derivatives and their equality is required for the existence of the usual derivative of a real function. In the complex plane, there are an infinite variety of directions to approach the point $z$, and the definition requires that all of these “directional derivatives” must agree. This requirement imposes severe restrictions on complex derivatives, and is the source of their remarkable properties.

To understand the consequences of this definition, let us first see what happens when we approach $z$ along the two simplest directions — horizontal and vertical. If we set

$$w = z + h = (x + h) + iy, \quad \text{where} \ h \ \text{is real,}$$
then \( w \to z \) along a horizontal line as \( h \to 0 \), as sketched in Figure 5. If we write out

\[
f(z) = u(x, y) + i\, v(x, y)
\]

in terms of its real and imaginary parts, then we must have

\[
f'(z) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z + h) - f(z)}{h}
= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x + h + i\, y) - f(x + i\, y)}{h}
= \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{u(x + h, y) - u(x, y)}{h} + i\, \frac{v(x + h, y) - v(x, y)}{h} \right]
= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x},
\]

which follows from the usual definition of the (real) partial derivative. On the other hand, if we set

\[
w = z + i\, k = x + i\, (y + k), \quad \text{where } k \text{ is real},
\]

then \( w \to z \) along a vertical line as \( k \to 0 \). Therefore, we must also have

\[
f'(z) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(z + i\, k) - f(z)}{i\, k}
= \lim_{k \to 0} \left[ -i\, \frac{f(x + i\, (y + k)) - f(x + i\, y)}{k} \right]
= \lim_{k \to 0} \left[ \frac{v(x, y + k) - v(x, y)}{k} - i\, \frac{u(x, y + k) - u(x, y)}{k} \right]
= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i\, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -i\, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.
\]

When we equate the real and imaginary parts of these two distinct formulae for the complex derivative \( f'(z) \), we discover that the real and imaginary components of \( f(z) \) must satisfy a certain homogeneous linear system of partial differential equations, named after the famous nineteenth century mathematicians Augustin–Louis Cauchy and Bernhard Riemann, two of the founders of modern complex analysis.

**Theorem 3.2.** A complex function \( f(z) = u(x, y) + i\, v(x, y) \) depending on \( z = x + i\, y \) has a complex derivative \( f'(z) \) if and only if its real and imaginary parts are continuously differentiable and satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.
\]
In this case, the complex derivative of $f(z)$ is equal to any of the following expressions:

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}. \quad (3.3)$$

The proof of the converse — that any function whose real and imaginary components satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations is differentiable — will be omitted, but can be found in any basic text on complex analysis, e.g., [1, 12, 23].

Remark: It is worth pointing out that the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.3) imply that $f$ satisfies $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, which, reassuringly, agrees with the first equation in (2.5).

Example 3.3. Consider the elementary function

$$z^3 = (x^3 - 3xy^2) + i(3x^2y - y^3).$$

Its real part $u = x^3 - 3xy^2$ and imaginary part $v = 3x^2y - y^3$ satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2), since

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 3x^2 - 3y^2 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -6xy = - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Theorem 3.2 implies that $f(z) = z^3$ is complex differentiable. Not surprisingly, its derivative turns out to be

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = (3x^2 - 3y^2) + i(6xy) = 3z^2.$$

Fortunately, the complex derivative obeys all of the usual rules that you learned in real-variable calculus. For example,

$$\frac{d}{dz} z^n = n z^{n-1}, \quad \frac{d}{dz} e^{cz} = ce^{cz}, \quad \frac{d}{dz} \log z = \frac{1}{z}, \quad (3.4)$$

and so on. Here, the power $n$ can be non-integral — or even, in view of the identity $z^n = e^{n\log z}$, complex, while $c$ is any complex constant. The exponential formulae (2.16) for the complex trigonometric functions implies that they also satisfy the standard rules

$$\frac{d}{dz} \cos z = -\sin z, \quad \frac{d}{dz} \sin z = \cos z. \quad (3.5)$$

The formulae for differentiating sums, products, ratios, inverses, and compositions of complex functions are all identical to their real counterparts, with similar proofs. Thus, thankfully, you don’t need to learn any new rules for performing complex differentiation!

Remark: There are many examples of seemingly reasonable functions which do not have a complex derivative. The simplest is the complex conjugate function

$$f(z) = \overline{z} = x - i y.$$  

Its real and imaginary parts do not satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations, and hence $\overline{z}$ does not have a complex derivative. More generally, any function $f(z, \overline{z})$ that explicitly depends on the complex conjugate variable $\overline{z}$ is not complex-differentiable.
A remarkable feature of complex differentiation is that the existence of one complex derivative automatically implies the existence of infinitely many! All complex functions \( f(z) \) are infinitely differentiable and, in fact, analytic where defined. The reason for this surprising and profound fact will, however, not become evident until we learn the basics of complex integration in Section 7. In this section, we shall take analyticity as a given, and investigate some of its principal consequences.

**Definition 3.4.** A complex function \( f(z) \) is called **analytic** at a point \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) if it has a power series expansion

\[
f(z) = a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + a_2(z - z_0)^2 + a_3(z - z_0)^3 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n ,
\]

that converges for all \( z \) sufficiently close to \( z_0 \).

In practice, the standard ratio or root tests for convergence of (real) series that you learned in ordinary calculus, [1, 2], can be applied to determine where a given (complex) power series converges. We note that if \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) are analytic at a point \( z_0 \), so is their sum \( f(z) + g(z) \), product \( f(z) g(z) \) and, provided \( g(z_0) \neq 0 \), ratio \( f(z)/g(z) \).

**Example 3.5.** All of the real power series found in elementary calculus carry over to the complex versions of the functions. For example,

\[
e^z = 1 + z + \frac{1}{2} z^2 + \frac{1}{6} z^3 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} ,
\]

is the power series for the exponential function based at \( z_0 = 0 \). A straightforward application of the ratio test proves that the series converges for all \( z \). On the other hand, the power series

\[
\frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = 1 - z^2 + z^4 - z^6 + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{2k} ,
\]

converges inside the unit disk, where \( |z| < 1 \), and diverges outside, where \( |z| > 1 \). Again, convergence is established through the ratio test. The ratio test is inconclusive when \( |z| = 1 \), and we shall leave the more delicate question of precisely where on the unit disk this complex series converges to a more advanced treatment, e.g., [1, 12, 23].

In general, there are three possible options for the domain of convergence of a complex power series (3.6):

(a) The series converges for all \( z \).

(b) The series converges inside a disk \( |z - z_0| < \rho \) of radius \( \rho > 0 \) centered at \( z_0 \) and diverges for all \( |z - z_0| > \rho \) outside the disk. The series may converge at some (but not all) of the points on the boundary of the disk where \( |z - z_0| = \rho \).

(c) The series only converges, trivially, at \( z = z_0 \).
The number \( \rho \) is known as the radius of convergence of the series. In case (a), we say \( \rho = \infty \), while in case (c), \( \rho = 0 \), and the series does not represent an analytic function. An example that has \( \rho = 0 \) is the power series \( \sum n! z^n \).

Remarkably, the radius of convergence for the power series of a known analytic function \( f(z) \) can be determined by inspection, without recourse to any fancy convergence tests! Namely, \( \rho \) is equal to the distance from \( z_0 \) to the nearest singularity of \( f(z) \), meaning a point where the function fails to be analytic. In particular, the radius of convergence \( \rho = \infty \) if and only if \( f(z) \) is an entire function, meaning that it is analytic for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and has no singularities; examples include polynomials, \( e^z \), \( \cos z \), and \( \sin z \). On the other hand, the rational function

\[
 f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{(z + i)(z - i)}
\]

has singularities at \( z = \pm i \), and so its power series (3.8) has radius of convergence \( \rho = 1 \), which is the distance from \( z_0 = 0 \) to the singularities. Thus, the extension of the theory of power series to the complex plane serves to explain the apparent mystery of why, as a real function, \( (1 + x^2)^{-1} \) is well-defined and analytic for all real \( x \), but its power series only converges on the interval \((-1,1) \). It is the complex singularities that prevent its convergence when \( |x| > 1 \). If we expand \( (z^2 + 1)^{-1} \) in a power series at some other point, say \( z_0 = 1 + 2i \), then we need to determine which singularity is closest. We compute \( |i - z_0| = |-1 - i| = \sqrt{2} \), while \( |-i - z_0| = |-1 + 3i| = \sqrt{10} \), and so the radius of convergence \( \rho = \sqrt{2} \) is the smaller. This allows us to determine the radius of convergence in the absence of any explicit formula for its (rather complicated) Taylor expansion at \( z_0 = 1 + 2i \).

There are, in fact, only three possible types of singularities of a complex function \( f(z) \):

- **Pole.** A singular point \( z = z_0 \) is called a pole of order \( 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} \) if and only if

\[
 f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{(z - z_0)^n},
\]

where \( h(z) \) is analytic at \( z = z_0 \) and \( h(z_0) \neq 0 \). The simplest example of such a function is \( f(z) = a (z - z_0)^{-n} \) for \( a \neq 0 \) a complex constant.

- **Branch point.** We have already encountered the two basic types: algebraic branch points, such as the function \( \sqrt{z} \) at \( z_0 = 0 \), and logarithmic branch points such as \( \log z \) at \( z_0 = 0 \). The degree of the branch point is \( n \) in the first case and \( \infty \) in the second. In general, the power function \( z^n = e^{a \log z} \) is analytic at \( z_0 = 0 \) if \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) is an integer; has an algebraic branch point of degree \( q \) the origin if \( a = p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \) is rational, non-integral with \( 0 \neq p \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( 2 \leq q \in \mathbb{Z} \) having no common factors, and a logarithmic branch point of infinite degree at \( z = 0 \) when \( a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) is not rational.

- **Essential singularity.** By definition, a singularity is essential if it is not a pole or a branch point. The quintessential example is the essential singularity of the function \( e^{1/z} \) at \( z_0 = 0 \). The behavior of a complex function near an essential singularity is quite complicated, [1].
Example 3.6. The complex function

\[ f(z) = \frac{e^z}{z^3 - z^2 - 5z - 3} = \frac{e^z}{(z - 3)(z + 1)^2} \]

is analytic everywhere except for singularities at the points \( z = 3 \) and \( z = -1 \), where its denominator vanishes. Since

\[ f(z) = \frac{h_1(z)}{z - 3}, \quad \text{where} \quad h_1(z) = \frac{e^z}{(z + 1)^2} \]

is analytic at \( z = 3 \) and \( h_1(3) = \frac{1}{16} e^3 \neq 0 \), we conclude that \( z = 3 \) is a simple (order 1) pole. Similarly,

\[ f(z) = \frac{h_2(z)}{(z + 1)^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad h_2(z) = \frac{e^z}{z - 3} \]

is analytic at \( z = -1 \) with \( h_2(-1) = -\frac{1}{4} e^{-1} \neq 0 \), we see that the point \( z = -1 \) is a double (order 2) pole.

A complicated complex function can have a variety of singularities. For example, the function

\[ f(z) = \frac{e^{-1/(z-1)^2}}{(z^2 + 1)(z + 2)^{2/3}} \]

has simple poles at \( z = \pm i \), a branch point of degree 3 at \( z = -2 \), and an essential singularity at \( z = 1 \).

As in the real case, convergent power series can always be repeatedly term-wise differentiated. Therefore, given the convergent series (3.6), we have the corresponding series for its derivatives:

\[ f'(z) = a_1 + 2a_2(z - z_0) + 3a_3(z - z_0)^2 + 4a_4(z - z_0)^3 + \cdots \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1) a_{n+1}(z - z_0)^n, \]

\[ f''(z) = 2a_2 + 6a_3(z - z_0) + 12a_4(z - z_0)^2 + 20a_5(z - z_0)^3 + \cdots \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + 1)(n + 2) a_{n+2}(z - z_0)^n, \]

and so on. Moreover, the differentiated series all have the same radius of convergence as the original. As a consequence, we deduce the following important result.

**Theorem 3.7.** Any analytic function is infinitely differentiable.

In particular, when we substitute \( z = z_0 \) into the successively differentiated series, we discover that \( a_0 = f(z_0), \ a_1 = f'(z_0), \ a_2 = \frac{1}{2} f''(z_0) \), and, in general,

\[ a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!}. \]
Therefore, a convergent power series (3.6) is, inevitably, the Taylor series
\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^n, \]  
for the function \( f(z) \) at the point \( z_0 \).

Let us conclude this section by summarizing the fundamental theorem that characterizes complex functions. A complete, rigorous proof relies on complex integration theory, which is the topic of Section 7.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) be an open set. The following properties are equivalent:

(a) The function \( f(z) \) has a continuous complex derivative \( f'(z) \) for all \( z \in \Omega \).

(b) The real and imaginary parts of \( f(z) \) have continuous partial derivatives and satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2) in \( \Omega \).

(c) The function \( f(z) \) is analytic for all \( z \in \Omega \), and so is infinitely differentiable and has a convergent power series expansion at each point \( z_0 \in \Omega \). The radius of convergence \( \rho \) is at least as large as the distance from \( z_0 \) to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \), as in Figure 6.

From now on, we reserve the term *complex function* to signify one that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.8. Sometimes one of the equivalent adjectives “analytic” or “holomorphic” is added for emphasis. From now on, all complex functions are assumed to be analytic everywhere on their domain of definition, except, possibly, at certain singularities.

4. **Harmonic Functions.**

We already noted the remarkable connection between complex functions and the solutions to the two-dimensional Laplace equation, which are known as harmonic functions. Let us now formalize the precise relationship.

**Theorem 4.1.** If \( f(z) = u(x, y) + i v(x, y) \) is any complex analytic function, then its real and imaginary parts, \( u(x, y), v(x, y) \), are both harmonic functions.
Proof: Differentiating\textsuperscript{†} the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2), and invoking the equality of mixed partial derivatives, we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( u \) is a solution to the Laplace equation \( u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \). The proof for \( v \) is similar. Q.E.D.

Thus, every complex function gives rise to two harmonic functions. It is, of course, of interest to know whether we can invert this procedure. Given a harmonic function \( u(x, y) \), does there exist a harmonic function \( v(x, y) \) such that \( f = u + i v \) is a complex analytic function? If so, the harmonic function \( v(x, y) \) is known as a harmonic conjugate to \( u \). The harmonic conjugate is found by solving the Cauchy–Riemann equations

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},
\]

which, for a prescribed function \( u(x, y) \), constitutes an inhomogeneous linear system of partial differential equations for \( v(x, y) \). As such, it is usually not hard to solve, as the following example illustrates.

Example 4.2. As the reader can verify, the harmonic polynomial

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, y) &= x^3 - 3x^2y - 3xy^2 + y^3
\end{align*}
\]

satisfies the Laplace equation everywhere. To find a harmonic conjugate, we solve the Cauchy–Riemann equations (4.1). First of all,

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 3x^2 + 6xy - 3y^2,
\end{align*}
\]

and hence, by direct integration with respect to \( x \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    v(x, y) &= x^3 + 3x^2y - 3xy^2 + h(y),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( h(y) \) — the “constant of integration” — is a function of \( y \) alone. To determine \( h \) we substitute our formula into the second Cauchy–Riemann equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    3x^2 - 6xy + h'(y) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 3x^2 - 6xy - 3y^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( h'(y) = -3y^2 \), and so \( h(y) = -y^3 + c \), where \( c \) is a real constant. We conclude that every harmonic conjugate to \( u(x, y) \) has the form

\[
\begin{align*}
    v(x, y) &= x^3 + 3x^2y - 3xy^2 - y^3 + c.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that the corresponding complex function

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, y) + i v(x, y) &= (x^3 - 3x^2y - 3xy^2 + y^3) + i(x^3 + 3x^2y - 3xy^2 - y^3 + c) \\
    &= (1 + i)z^3 + c
\end{align*}
\]

turns out to be a complex cubic polynomial.

\textsuperscript{†} Theorem 3.8 allows us to differentiate \( u \) and \( v \) as often as desired.
Remark: On a connected domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), a function \( v_0(x, y) \) satisfies the homogeneous form of the Cauchy–Riemann equations \( \partial v_0 / \partial x = \partial v_0 / \partial y = 0 \) if and only if it is constant: \( v_0(x, y) \equiv c \in \mathbb{C} \). Thus, all harmonic conjugates (if any) to a given function \( u(x, y) \) differ from each other by a constant: \( \tilde{v}(x, y) = v(x, y) + c \).

Remark: The Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2) form an overdetermined system of partial differential equations, and will be solvable only if the integrability conditions obtained by cross differentiation are satisfied:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}.
\]

Thus, a necessary condition for the existence of a solution \( v \) is that \( u \) be harmonic, i.e., that it satisfy the Laplace equation.

Although most harmonic functions have harmonic conjugates, unfortunately this is not always the case. Interestingly, the existence or non-existence of a harmonic conjugate can depend on the underlying topology of its domain of definition. If the domain is simply connected, meaning that it contains no holes or, equivalently, every closed curve contained therein can be continuously contracted to a single point, then one can always find a harmonic conjugate. On non-simply connected domains, there may not exist a single-valued harmonic conjugate that can serve as the imaginary part of a well-defined complex function \( f(z) \).

**Example 4.3.** The simplest example where the latter possibility occurs is the logarithmic potential

\[
u(x, y) = \log r = \frac{1}{2} \log(x^2 + y^2).
\]

This function is harmonic on the non-simply connected domain \( \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \) — known as the punctured plane, since it has a “hole” at the origin — but is not the real part of any single-valued complex function. Indeed, according to (2.17), the logarithmic potential is the real part of the multiply-valued complex logarithm \( \log z \), and so its harmonic conjugate\(^\dagger\) is \( \text{ph} \ z = \theta \), which cannot be consistently and continuously defined on all of \( \Omega \). On the other hand, on any simply connected subdomain \( \tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega \), one can select a continuous, single-valued branch of the angle \( \theta = \text{ph} \ z \), which is then a bona fide harmonic conjugate to \( \log r \) when restricted to this subdomain.

The harmonic function

\[
u(x, y) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}
\]

is also defined on the same non-simply connected domain \( \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \) with a singularity at \( x = y = 0 \). In this case, there is a single-valued harmonic conjugate, namely

\[
v(x, y) = -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2},
\]

\(^\dagger\) We can, by the preceding remark, add in any constant to the harmonic conjugate, but this does not affect the subsequent argument.
which is defined on all of $\Omega$. Indeed, according to (2.11), these functions define the real and imaginary parts of the complex function $u + iv = 1/z$. Alternatively, one can directly check that they satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2).

**Theorem 4.4.** Every harmonic function $u(x, y)$ defined on a simply connected domain $\Omega$ is the real part of a complex valued function $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ which is defined for all $z = x + iy \in \Omega$.

**Proof:** We first rewrite the Cauchy–Riemann equations (4.1) in vectorial form as an equation for the gradient of $v$:

$$\nabla v = \nabla^\perp u,$$

where $\nabla^\perp u = \begin{pmatrix} -u_y \\ u_x \end{pmatrix}$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

is known as the **skew gradient** of $u$. It is everywhere orthogonal to the gradient of $u$ and of the same length:

$$\nabla u \cdot \nabla^\perp u = 0, \hspace{1cm} \| \nabla u \| = \| \nabla^\perp u \|.$$  

Thus, we have established the important observation that the gradient of a harmonic function and that of its harmonic conjugate are mutually orthogonal vector fields having the same Euclidean lengths:

$$\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \equiv 0, \hspace{1cm} \| \nabla u \| \equiv \| \nabla v \|. \hspace{1cm} (4.3)$$

Now, given the harmonic function $u$, our goal is to construct a solution $v$ to the gradient equation (4.2). A well-known result from vector calculus, [2], states the vector field defined by $\nabla^\perp u$ has a potential function $v$ if and only if the corresponding line integral is independent of path, which means that

$$0 = \oint_C \nabla v \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_C \nabla^\perp u \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_C \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds, \hspace{1cm} (4.4)$$

for every closed curve $C \subset \Omega$. Indeed, if this holds, then a potential function can be devised\(^\dagger\) by integrating the vector field:

$$v(x, y) = \int_a^x \nabla v \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \int_a^x \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds. \hspace{1cm} (4.5)$$

Here $a \in \Omega$ is any fixed point, and, in view of path independence, the line integral can be taken over any curve that connects $a$ to $x = (x, y)^T$.

If the domain $\Omega$ is simply connected then every simple closed curve $C \subset \Omega$ bounds a subdomain $D \subset \Omega$ with $C = \partial D$. Applying the divergence form of Green’s Theorem,

$$\oint_C \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \iint_D \nabla \cdot \nabla u \, dx \, dy = \iint_D \Delta u \, dx \, dy = 0,$$

\(^\dagger\) This assumes that the domain $\Omega$ is connected; if not, we apply our reasoning to each connected component.
because $u$ is harmonic. Thus, in this situation, we have proved\protectedURL{†} the existence of a harmonic conjugate function. 

\textit{Q.E.D.}

\textbf{Remark:} As a consequence of (3.3) and the Cauchy–Riemann equations (4.1),

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$  

(4.6)

Thus, the individual components of the gradients $\nabla u$ and $\nabla v$ appear as the real and imaginary parts of the complex derivative $f'(z)$.

The orthogonality (4.2) of the gradient of a function and of its harmonic conjugate has the following important geometric consequence. Recall, \cite{2}, that, when nonzero, the gradient $\nabla u$ of a function $u(x, y)$ points in the normal direction to its \textit{level curves}, that is, the sets $\{ u(x, y) = c \}$ where it assumes a fixed constant value. Since $\nabla v$ is orthogonal to $\nabla u$, this must mean that $\nabla v$ is \textit{tangent} to the level curves of $u$. Vice versa, $\nabla v$ is normal to its level curves, and so $\nabla u$ is tangent to the level curves of its harmonic conjugate $v$. Since their tangent directions $\nabla u$ and $\nabla v$ are orthogonal, the level curves of the real and imaginary parts of a complex function form a mutually orthogonal system of plane curves — but with one key exception. If we are at a \textit{critical point}, where $\nabla u = 0$, then $\nabla v = \nabla^\perp u = 0$, and the vectors do not define tangent directions. Therefore, the orthogonality of the level curves does not necessarily hold at critical points. It is worth pointing out that, in view of (4.6), the critical points of $u$ are the same as those of $v$ and also the same as the critical points of the corresponding complex function $f(z)$, i.e., those points where its complex derivative vanishes: $f'(z) = 0$.

In Figure 7, we illustrate the preceding paragraph by plotting the level curves of the real and imaginary parts of the functions $f(z) = z^2$ and $z^3$. Note that, except at the origin, where the derivative vanishes, the level curves intersect everywhere at right angles.

\footnotesize\textsuperscript{†} Technically, we have only verified path-independence (4.4) when $C$ is a simple closed curve, but this suffices to establish it for arbitrary closed curves; see the proof of Proposition 7.5 for details.

\textbf{Figure 7.} Level Curves of the Real and Imaginary Parts of $z^2$ and $z^3$.  

\hspace{1cm}

\hspace{1cm}
Remark: On the punctured plane $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the logarithmic potential is, in a sense, the only obstruction to the existence of a harmonic conjugate. It can be shown, [14], that if $u(x, y)$ is a harmonic function defined on a punctured disk $\Omega_R = \{ 0 < |z| < R \}$, for $0 < R \leq \infty$, then there exists a constant $c$ such that $\tilde{u}(x, y) = u(x, y) - c \log \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is also harmonic and possess a single-valued harmonic conjugate $\tilde{v}(x, y)$. As a result, the function $\tilde{f} = \tilde{u} + i \tilde{v}$ is analytic on all of $\Omega_R$, and so our original function $u(x, y)$ is the real part of the multiply-valued analytic function $f(z) = \tilde{f}(z) + c \log z$. This fact will be of importance in our subsequent analysis of airfoils.

Applications to Fluid Mechanics

Consider a planar steady state fluid flow, with velocity vector field

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x, y) \\ v(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the point } \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega.$$  

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the domain occupied by the fluid, while the vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ represents the instantaneous velocity of the fluid at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. The flow is incompressible if and only if it has vanishing divergence:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Incompressibility means that the fluid volume does not change as it flows. Most liquids, including water, are, for all practical purposes, incompressible. On the other hand, the flow is irrotational if and only if it has vanishing curl$^\dagger$:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Irrotational flows have no vorticity, and hence no circulation. A flow that is both incompressible and irrotational is known as an ideal fluid flow. In many physical regimes, liquids (and, although less often, gases) behave as ideal fluids.

Observe that the two constraints (4.7–8) are almost identical to the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2); the only difference is the change in sign in front of the derivatives of $v$. But this can be easily remedied by replacing $v$ by its negative $-v$. As a result, we establish a profound connection between ideal planar fluid flows and complex functions.

**Theorem 4.5.** The velocity vector field $\mathbf{v} = ( u(x, y), v(x, y) )^T$ induces an ideal planar fluid flow if and only if

$$f(z) = u(x, y) - i v(x, y) \quad (4.9)$$

is a complex analytic function of $z = x + i y$.

$^\dagger$ The curl of a two-dimensional vector field $\mathbf{v}$ is a scalar field, as defined by the following equation.
Thus, the components $u(x, y)$ and $-v(x, y)$ of the velocity vector field for an ideal fluid flow are necessarily harmonic conjugates. The corresponding complex function (4.9) is, not surprisingly, known as the complex velocity of the fluid flow. When using this result, do not forget the minus sign that appears in front of the imaginary part of $f(z)$.

Under the flow induced by the velocity vector field $v = (u(x, y), v(x, y))^T$, the fluid particles follow the trajectories $z(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$ obtained by integrating the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u(x, y), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = v(x, y). \tag{4.10}$$

In view of the representation (4.9), we can rewrite the preceding system in complex form:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f(z). \tag{4.11}$$

In fluid mechanics, the curves parametrized by the solutions $z(t)$ are known as the streamlines of the fluid flow. Each fluid particle’s motion $z(t)$ is uniquely prescribed by its position $z(t_0) = z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ at an initial time $t_0$. In particular, if the complex velocity vanishes, $f(z_0) = 0$, then the solution $z(t) \equiv z_0$ to (4.11) is constant, and hence $z_0$ is a stagnation point of the flow. Our steady state assumption, which is reflected in the fact that the ordinary differential equations (4.10) are autonomous, i.e., there is no explicit $t$ dependence, means that, although the fluid is in motion, the streamlines and stagnation points do not change over time. This is a consequence of the standard existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions to ordinary differential equations, [4, 5, 11].

**Example 4.6.** The simplest example is when the velocity is constant, corresponding to a uniform, steady flow. Consider first the case

$$f(z) = 1,$$

which corresponds to the horizontal velocity vector field $v = (1, 0)^T$. The actual fluid flow is found by integrating the system†

$$\dot{z} = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{x} = 1, \quad \dot{y} = 0.$$

Thus, the solution $z(t) = t + z_0$ represents a uniform horizontal fluid motion whose streamlines are straight lines parallel to the real axis; see Figure 8.

Consider next a more general constant velocity

$$f(z) = c = a + ib.$$

The fluid particles will solve the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{z} = \overline{c} = a - ib, \quad \text{so that} \quad z(t) = \overline{c} t + z_0.$$

† We will sometimes use the Newtonian dot notation for time derivatives: $\dot{z} = dz/dt$. 
The streamlines remain parallel straight lines, but now at an angle $\theta = \text{ph} \, \mathbf{c} = -\text{ph} \, c$ with the horizontal. The fluid particles move along the streamlines at constant speed $|\mathbf{c}| = |c|$.

The next simplest complex velocity function is

$$f(z) = z = x + i y.$$ \hfill (4.12)

The corresponding fluid flow is found by integrating the system

$$\dot{z} = \mathbf{c}, \quad \text{or, in real form,} \quad \dot{x} = x, \quad \dot{y} = -y.$$ 

The origin $x = y = 0$ is a stagnation point. The trajectories of the nonstationary solutions

$$z(t) = x_0 e^t + i y_0 e^{-t}$$ \hfill (4.13)

are the hyperbolas $xy = c$, along with the positive and negative coordinate semi-axes, as illustrated in Figure 8.

On the other hand, if we choose

$$f(z) = -i \, z = y - i x,$$

then the induced flow is the solution to

$$\dot{z} = i \, \mathbf{c}, \quad \text{or, in real form,} \quad \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = x.$$ 

The solutions

$$z(t) = (x_0 \cosh t + y_0 \sinh t) + i (x_0 \sinh t + y_0 \cosh t),$$

move along the hyperbolas (and rays) $x^2 - y^2 = c^2$. Observe that this flow can be obtained by rotating the preceding example by $45^\circ$.

In general, a solid object in a fluid flow is characterized by the no-flux condition that the fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}$ is everywhere tangent to the boundary, and hence no fluid flows into or out of the object. As a result, the boundary will necessarily consist of streamlines and stagnation points of the idealized fluid flow. For example, the boundary of the upper right quadrant $Q = \{ x > 0, \ y > 0 \} \subset \mathbb{C}$ consists of the positive $x$ and $y$ axes (along with the
origin). Since these are streamlines of the flow with complex velocity (4.12), its restriction to \( Q \) represents an ideal flow past a 90° interior corner, as illustrated in Figure 9. The individual fluid particles move along hyperbolas as they flow past the corner.

**Remark:** We can also restrict the preceding flow to the domain \( \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ x < 0, y < 0 \} \) consisting of three quadrants, corresponding to a 90° exterior corner. However, the latter flow is not as relevant owing to its unphysical behavior; for example, the positive \( x \) and \( y \) axes are streamlines emanating from the corner, dividing the flow into three hyperbolic quadrants. A more realistic flow around an exterior corner can be found using the method of conformal mapping, as discussed in Example 6.5 below.

Now, suppose that the complex velocity \( f(z) \) admits a single-valued complex anti-derivative, i.e., a complex analytic function

\[
\chi(z) = \varphi(x, y) + i \psi(x, y)
\]

that satisfies

\[
\frac{d\chi}{dz} = f(z).
\] (4.14)

Using formula (4.6) for the complex derivative,

\[
\frac{d\chi}{dz} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = u - i v,
\]

so \( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = u \), \( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = v \).

Thus, \( \nabla \varphi = v \), and hence the real part \( \varphi(x, y) \) of the complex function \( \chi(z) \) defines a *velocity potential* for the fluid flow. For this reason, the anti-derivative \( \chi(z) \) is known as a *complex potential function* for the given fluid velocity field.

On a connected domain, any two complex potentials differ by a (complex) constant \( \tilde{\chi}(z) = \chi(z) + c \). In exceptional situations, a complex velocity may not admit a single-valued complex potential. The prototypical example is the complex velocity

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{z}
\]

whose velocity vector field

\[
v = \left( \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right)^T
\]

Figure 9. Flow Inside a Corner.
defines an ideal fluid flow on the punctured plane \( \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \). It admits the multiply-valued complex potentials \( \chi(z) = \log z + c \) for any \( c \in \mathbb{C} \), but there is no single-valued complex potential function defined on the entire domain \( \Omega \). On the other hand, a complex potential function is guaranteed to exist when the domain of definition of the complex velocity is simply connected; for details, see the discussion following Theorem 7.2. In particular, in the neighborhood of any point, any complex velocity field locally admits a complex potential.

Since the complex potential is analytic, its real part — the potential function — is harmonic, and therefore satisfies the Laplace equation \( \Delta \varphi = 0 \). Conversely, any harmonic function can be viewed as the potential function for some fluid flow. The real fluid velocity is its gradient \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \), and is automatically incompressible and irrotational. (Why?)

The harmonic conjugate \( \psi(x, y) \) to the velocity potential, which is the imaginary part of the complex potential function, also plays an important role. In fluid mechanics, it is known as the stream function. It also satisfies the Laplace equation \( \Delta \psi = 0 \), and the potential and stream function are related by the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2):

\[
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.
\] (4.15)

The level sets of the velocity potential, \( \{ \varphi(x, y) = c \} \), where \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) is fixed, are known as equipotential curves. The velocity vector \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \) points in the normal direction to the equipotentials. On the other hand, as we noted above, \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \) is tangent to the level curves \( \{ \psi(x, y) = d \} \) of its harmonic conjugate stream function. But \( \mathbf{v} \) is the velocity field, and so tangent to the streamlines followed by the fluid particles. Thus, these two systems of curves must coincide, and we infer that the level curves of the stream function are the streamlines of the flow, whence its name! Summarizing, for an ideal fluid flow, the equipotentials \( \{ \varphi = c \} \) and streamlines \( \{ \psi = d \} \) form two mutually orthogonal families of plane curves. The fluid velocity \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \) is tangent to the stream lines and normal to the equipotentials, whereas the gradient of the stream function \( \nabla \psi = \nabla^\perp \varphi \) is tangent to the equipotentials and normal to the streamlines.

The discussion in the preceding paragraph implicitly relied on the fact that the velocity is nonzero, \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \neq 0 \), which means we are not at a stagnation point, where the fluid is not moving. While streamlines and equipotentials might begin or end at a stagnation point, there is no guarantee, and, indeed, it is not generally the case that they meet at mutually orthogonal directions there.

**Example 4.7.** The simplest example of a complex potential function is

\[
\chi(z) = z = x + i y.
\]

Thus, the velocity potential is \( \varphi(x, y) = x \), while its harmonic conjugate stream function is \( \psi(x, y) = y \). The complex derivative of the potential is the complex velocity,

\[
f(z) = \frac{d\chi}{dz} = 1,
\]

which corresponds to the uniform horizontal fluid motion considered first in Example 4.6. Note that the horizontal stream lines coincide with the level sets \( \{ y = d \} \) of the stream
function, whereas the equipotentials \( \{ x = c \} \) are the orthogonal system of vertical lines; see Figure 10.

Next, consider the complex potential function
\[
\chi(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^2 = \frac{1}{2} (x^2 - y^2) + i x y.
\]

The associated complex velocity
\[
f(z) = \chi'(z) = z = x + i y
\]
leads to the hyperbolic flow (4.13). The hyperbolic streamlines \( x y = d \) are the level curves of the stream function \( \psi(x, y) = x y \). The equipotential lines \( \frac{1}{2} (x^2 - y^2) = c \) form a system of orthogonal hyperbolas. Figure 11 shows (some of) the equipotentials in the first plot, the stream lines in the second, and combines them together in the third picture.

**Example 4.8. Flow Around a Disk.** Consider the complex potential function
\[
\chi(z) = z + \frac{1}{z} = \left( x + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right) + i \left( y - \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right),
\]
whose real and imaginary parts are individually solutions to the two-dimensional Laplace
equation. The corresponding complex fluid velocity is

\[ f(z) = \frac{d\chi}{dz} = 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} = 1 - \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} + \frac{2xy}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}. \]  

(4.17)

The equipotential curves and streamlines are plotted in Figure 12. The points \( z = \pm 1 \) are stagnation points of the flow, while \( z = 0 \) is a singularity. Fluid particles that move along the positive \( x \) axis approach the leading stagnation point \( z = 1 \) as \( t \to \infty \), and similarly for the negative \( x \) axis. Note that the streamlines

\[ \psi(x, y) = y - \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} = d \]

are asymptotically horizontal at large distances, and hence, far away from the origin, the flow is indistinguishable from a uniform horizontal motion, from left to right, with unit complex velocity \( f(z) \equiv 1 \).

The level curve for the particular value \( d = 0 \) consists of the unit circle \( |z| = 1 \) and the real axis \( y = 0 \). In particular, the unit circle consists of two semicircular stream lines combined with the two stagnation points. The flow velocity vector field \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \) is
everywhere tangent to the unit circle, and hence satisfies the no flux condition \( \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \) along the boundary of the unit disk. Thus, we can interpret (4.17), when restricted to the domain \( \Omega = \{ |z| > 1 \} \), as the complex velocity of a uniformly moving fluid around the outside of a solid circular disk of radius 1, as illustrated in Figure 13. In three dimensions, this would correspond to the steady flow of a fluid around a solid cylinder.

**Remark**: In this section, we have focused on the fluid mechanical roles of a harmonic function and its conjugate. An analogous interpretation applies when \( \varphi(x, y) \) represents an electromagnetic potential function; the level curves of its harmonic conjugate \( \psi(x, y) \) are the paths followed by charged particles under the electromotive force field \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla \varphi \). Similarly, if \( \varphi(x, y) \) represents the equilibrium temperature distribution in a planar domain, its level lines represent the *isotherms* — curves of constant temperature, while the level lines of its harmonic conjugate are the curves along which heat energy flows. Finally, if \( \varphi(x, y) \) represents the height of a deformed membrane, then its level curves are the contour lines of elevation. The level curves of its harmonic conjugate are the curves of *steepest descent*, that is, the paths followed by, say, a stream of water flowing down the membrane\(^\dagger\).

### 5. Conformal Mapping.

As we now know, complex functions provide an almost inexhaustible supply of harmonic functions, that is, solutions to the two-dimensional Laplace equation. Thus, to solve an associated boundary value problem, we “merely” find the complex function whose real part matches the prescribed boundary conditions. Unfortunately, even for relatively simple domains, this remains a daunting task.

The one case where we do have an explicit solution is that of a circular disk, where the Poisson integral formula, [20; Theorem 4.6], provides a complete solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem. Thus, an evident solution strategy for the corresponding boundary value problem on a more complicated domain would be to transform it into a solved case by an inspired change of variables.

**Analytic Maps**

The intimate connections between complex analysis and solutions to the Laplace equation inspires us to look at changes of variables defined by complex functions. To this end, we will re-interpret a complex analytic function

\[
\zeta = g(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi + i \eta = p(x, y) + i q(x, y)
\]

as a *mapping* that takes a point \( z = x + i y \) belonging to a prescribed domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) to a point \( \zeta = \xi + i \eta \) belonging to the image domain \( D = g(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \). In many cases, the image domain \( D \) is the unit disk, as in Figure 14, but the method can also be applied to more general domains. In order to unambiguously relate functions on \( \Omega \) to functions on \( D \), we require that the analytic mapping (5.1) be one-to-one, so that each point \( \zeta \in D \) comes...

\(\dagger\) This interpretation ignores any inertial effects in the fluid flow.
from a unique point \( z \in \Omega \). As a result, the inverse function \( z = g^{-1}(\zeta) \) is a well-defined map from \( D \) back to \( \Omega \), which we assume is also analytic on all of \( D \). The calculus formula for the derivative of the inverse function

\[
\frac{d}{d\zeta} g^{-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{g'(z)} \quad \text{at} \quad \zeta = g(z),
\]

(5.2)

remains valid for complex functions. It implies that the derivative of \( g(z) \) must be nonzero everywhere in order that \( g^{-1}(\zeta) \) be differentiable. This condition,

\[
g'(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{at every point} \quad z \in \Omega,
\]

(5.3)

will play a crucial role in the development of the method. Finally, in order to match the boundary conditions, we will assume that the mapping extends continuously to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) and maps it, one-to-one, to the boundary \( \partial D \) of the image domain.

Before trying to apply this idea to solve boundary value problems for the Laplace equation, let us look at some of the most basic examples of analytic mappings.

**Example 5.1.** The simplest nontrivial analytic maps are the *translations*

\[
\zeta = z + \beta = (x + a) + i(y + b),
\]

(5.4)

where \( \beta = a + ib \) is a fixed complex number. The effect of (5.4) is to translate the entire complex plane in the direction and distance prescribed by the vector \((a, b)^T\). In particular, (5.4) maps the disk \( \Omega = \{ |z + \beta| < 1 \} \) of radius 1 and center at the point \( -\beta \) to the unit disk \( D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \} \).

**Example 5.2.** There are two types of linear analytic maps. First are the *scalings*

\[
\zeta = \rho z = \rho x + i\rho y,
\]

(5.5)

where \( \rho \neq 0 \) is a fixed nonzero real number. This maps the disk \( |z| < 1/|\rho| \) to the unit disk \( |\zeta| < 1 \). Second are the *rotations*

\[
\zeta = e^{i\phi} z = (x \cos \phi - y \sin \phi) + i(x \sin \phi + y \cos \phi),
\]

(5.6)

which rotates the complex plane around the origin by a fixed (real) angle \( \phi \). These all map the unit disk to itself.
Example 5.3. Any non-constant affine transformation
\[ \zeta = \alpha z + \beta, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \tag{5.7} \]
defines an invertible analytic map on all of \( \mathbb{C} \), whose inverse \( z = \alpha^{-1}(\zeta - \beta) \) is also affine. Writing \( \alpha = \rho e^{i\phi} \) in polar coordinates, we see that the affine map (5.7) can be viewed as the composition of a rotation (5.6), followed by a scaling (5.5), followed by a translation (5.4). As such, it takes the disk \( |\alpha z + \beta| < 1 \) of radius \( 1/|\alpha| = 1/|\rho| \) and center \(-\beta/\alpha\) to the unit disk \( |\zeta| < 1 \).

Example 5.4. A more interesting example is the complex function
\[ \zeta = g(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad \text{or} \quad \xi = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \eta = -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}, \tag{5.8} \]
which defines an inversion of the complex plane. The inversion is a one-to-one analytic map everywhere except at the origin \( z = 0 \); indeed \( g(z) \) is its own inverse: \( g^{-1}(\zeta) = 1/\zeta \). Since \( g'(z) = -1/z^2 \) is never zero, the derivative condition (5.3) is satisfied everywhere. Note that \( |\zeta| = 1/|z| \), while \( \text{ph } \zeta = -\text{ph } z \). Thus, if \( \Omega = \{ |z| > \rho \} \) denotes the exterior of the circle of radius \( \rho \), then the image points \( \zeta = 1/z \) satisfy \( |\zeta| = 1/|z| \), and hence the image domain is the punctured disk \( D = \{ 0 < |\zeta| < 1/\rho \} \). In particular, the inversion maps the outside of the unit disk to its inside, but with the origin removed, and vice versa. The reader may enjoy seeing what the inversion does to other domains, e.g., the unit square \( S = \{ z = x + iy \mid 0 < x,y < 1 \} \).

Example 5.5. The complex exponential
\[ \zeta = g(z) = e^z, \quad \text{or} \quad \xi = e^x \cos y, \quad \eta = e^x \sin y, \tag{5.9} \]
satisfies the condition \( g'(z) = e^z \neq 0 \) everywhere. Nevertheless, it is not one-to-one because \( e^{z+2\pi i} = e^z \), and so points that differ by an integer multiple of \( 2\pi i \) are all mapped to the same point. We deduce that condition (5.3) is necessary, but not sufficient for invertibility.

Under the exponential map, the horizontal line \( \text{Im } z = b \) is mapped to the curve \( \zeta = e^{x+ib} = e^x(\cos b + i \sin b) \), which, as \( x \) varies from \(-\infty \) to \( \infty \), traces out the ray emanating from the origin that makes an angle \( \text{ph } \zeta = b \) with the real axis. Therefore, the exponential map will map a horizontal strip
\[ S_{a,b} = \{ a < \text{Im } z < b \} \quad \text{to a wedge-shaped domain} \quad \Omega_{a,b} = \{ a < \text{ph } \zeta < b \}, \]
and is one-to-one provided $|b - a| < 2\pi$. In particular, the horizontal strip

$$S_{-\pi/2,\pi/2} = \{ -\frac{1}{2}\pi < \text{Im} \, z < \frac{1}{2}\pi \}$$

of width $\pi$ centered around the real axis is mapped, in a one-to-one manner, to the right half plane

$$R = \Omega_{-\pi/2,\pi/2} = \{ -\frac{1}{2}\pi < \text{ph} \, \zeta < \frac{1}{2}\pi \} = \{ \text{Im} \, \zeta > 0 \},$$

while the horizontal strip $S_{-\pi,\pi} = \{ -\pi < \text{Im} \, z < \pi \}$ of width $2\pi$ is mapped onto the domain

$$\Omega_* = \Omega_{-\pi,\pi} = \{ -\pi < \text{ph} \, \zeta < \pi \} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ \text{Im} \, z = 0, \, \text{Re} \, z \leq 0 \}$$

obtained by slitting the complex plane along the negative real axis.

On the other hand, vertical lines $\text{Re} \, z = a$ are mapped to circles $|\zeta| = e^a$. Thus, a vertical strip $a < \text{Re} \, z < b$ is mapped to an annulus $e^a < |\zeta| < e^b$, albeit many-to-one, since the strip is effectively wrapped around and around the annulus. The rectangle $R = \{ a < x < b, \, -\pi < y < \pi \}$ of height $2\pi$ is mapped in a one-to-one fashion on an annulus that has been cut along the negative real axis, as illustrated in Figure 15. Finally, we note that no domain is mapped to the unit disk $D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \}$ (or, indeed, any other domain that contains 0) because the exponential function is never zero: $\zeta = e^z \neq 0$.

**Example 5.6.** The squaring map

$$\zeta = g(z) = z^2, \quad \text{or} \quad \xi = x^2 - y^2, \quad \eta = 2xy,$$

(5.10)
is analytic on all of $\mathbb{C}$, but is not one-to-one. Its inverse is the square root function $z = \sqrt{\zeta}$, which, as we noted in Section 2, is doubly-valued, except at the origin $z = 0$. Furthermore, its derivative $g'(z) = 2z$ vanishes at $z = 0$, violating the invertibility condition (5.3). However, once we restrict $g(z)$ to a simply connected subdomain $\Omega$ that does not contain 0, the function $g(z) = z^2$ does define a one-to-one mapping, whose inverse $z = g^{-1}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\zeta}$ is a well-defined, analytic and single-valued branch of the square root function.

The effect of the squaring map on a point $z$ is to square its modulus, $|\zeta| = |z|^2$, while doubling its phase, $\text{ph} \, \zeta = \text{ph} \, z^2 = 2 \text{ ph} \, z$. Thus, for example, the upper right quadrant

$$Q = \{ x > 0, \, y > 0 \} = \{ 0 < \text{ph} \, z < \frac{1}{2}\pi \}$$

is mapped onto the upper half plane

$$U = g(Q) = \{ \eta = \text{Im} \, \zeta > 0 \} = \{ 0 < \text{ph} \, \zeta < \pi \}.$$

The inverse function maps a point $\zeta \in U$ back to its unique square root $z = \sqrt{\zeta}$ that lies in the quadrant $Q$. Similarly, a quarter disk

$$Q_\rho = \{ 0 < |z| < \rho, \, 0 < \text{ph} \, z < \frac{1}{2}\pi \}$$

of radius $\rho$ is mapped to a half disk

$$U_{\rho^2} = g(\Omega) = \{ 0 < |\zeta| < \rho^2, \, \text{Im} \, \zeta > 0 \}.$$
of radius $\rho^2$. On the other hand, the unit square $S = \{0 < x < 1, \ 0 < y < 1\}$ is mapped to a curvilinear triangular domain, as indicated in Figure 16; the edges of the square on the real and imaginary axes map to the two halves of the straight base of the triangle, while the other two edges become its curved sides.

**Example 5.7.** A particularly important example is the analytic map

$$
\zeta = \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} + i \frac{2y}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2},
$$

(5.11)

where we established the formulae for its real and imaginary parts in (2.13). The map is one-to-one with analytic inverse

$$
z = \frac{1 + \zeta}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{1 - \xi^2 - \eta^2}{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} + i \frac{2\eta}{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2},
$$

(5.12)

provided $z \neq -1$ and $\zeta \neq 1$. This particular analytic map has the important property of mapping the right half plane $R = \{x = \text{Re} \ z > 0\}$ to the unit disk $D = \{ |\zeta|^2 < 1\}$. Indeed, by (5.12)

$$
|\zeta|^2 = \xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = \frac{1 - \xi^2 - \eta^2}{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} > 0.
$$

Note that the denominator does not vanish on the interior of the disk $D$.

The complex functions (5.7, 8, 11) are all particular examples of *linear fractional transformations*

$$
\zeta = \frac{\alpha \ z + \beta}{\gamma \ z + \delta},
$$

(5.13)

which form one of the most important classes of analytic maps. Here $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex constants, subject only to the restriction

$$
\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0,
$$

since otherwise (5.13) reduces to a trivial constant (and non-invertible) map. (Why?) The map is well defined except when $\gamma \neq 0$ and $z = -\delta/\gamma$, which, by convention, is said to be mapped to the point $\zeta = \infty$. On the other hand, the linear fractional transformation maps $z = \infty$ to $\zeta = \alpha/\gamma$ (or $\infty$ when $\gamma = 0$), the value following from an evident limiting process. Thus, every linear fractional transformation defines a one-to-one, analytic map from the *Riemann sphere* $\mathbb{S} \equiv \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ obtained by adjoining the point at infinity to the complex plane. The resulting space is identified with a two-dimensional sphere via stereographic projection $\pi: \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}$, [1, 23], which is one-to-one (and conformal) except at
the north pole, where it is not defined and which is thus identified with the point \( \infty \); see Figure 17. In complex analysis, one treats the point at infinity on an equal footing with all other complex points, using the map \( \zeta = 1/z \), say, to analyze the behavior of analytic functions there.

There is a unique linear fractional transformation mapping any three distinct points in the Riemann sphere to any other three distinct points. In particular,

\[
\zeta = \frac{(b - c)(z - a)}{(b - a)(z - c)} \tag{5.14}
\]

is the unique linear fractional transformation mapping the point \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \) to the respective points \( 0, 1, \infty \).

Another important property of linear fractional transformations is that they always map circles to circles, where, to be completely accurate, one must view a straight line as a “circle of infinite radius”; indeed, the images of circles and straight lines are all circles on the Riemann sphere, the latter corresponding to circles that go through the north pole.

Each linear fractional transformation (5.13) can be identified with a nonsingular \( 2 \times 2 \) complex matrix \( A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \). The matrices \( A \) and \( B \) define the same linear fractional transformation if and only if \( A = \lambda B \) for some \( 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). If \( A, B \) respectively represent linear fractional transformations \( w = f(z) \), \( \zeta = g(w) \), then their product matrix \( C = BA \) represents the composed linear fractional transformation \( \zeta = g \circ f(z) \). Consequently the inverse of a linear fractional transformation is the linear fractional transformation identified with the inverse matrix \( A^{-1} \).

**Example 5.8.** The linear fractional transformation

\[
\zeta = \frac{z - \alpha}{\overline{\alpha} z - 1}, \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha| < 1, \tag{5.15}
\]

maps the unit disk to itself, in particular moving the origin \( z = 0 \) to the point \( \zeta = \alpha \).
prove this, we note that
\[ |z - \alpha|^2 = (z - \alpha)(\bar{z} - \bar{\alpha}) = |z|^2 - \alpha \bar{z} - \bar{\alpha} z + |\alpha|^2, \]
\[ |\alpha z - 1|^2 = (\alpha z - 1)(\bar{\alpha} z - 1) = |\alpha|^2 |z|^2 - \alpha \bar{z} - \bar{\alpha} z + 1. \]
Subtracting these two formulae,
\[ |z - \alpha|^2 - |\alpha z - 1|^2 = (1 - |\alpha|^2) (|z|^2 - 1) < 0, \quad \text{whenever} \quad |z| < 1, \quad |\alpha| < 1. \]
Thus, \( |z - \alpha| < |\alpha z - 1| \), which implies that
\[ |\zeta| = \frac{|z - \alpha|}{|\alpha z - 1|} < 1 \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| < 1, \quad |\alpha| < 1, \]
and hence, as promised, \( \zeta \) lies within the unit disk.

The rotations (5.6) also map the unit disk to itself, while leaving the origin fixed. It can be proved, \([1, 12, 23]\), that the only invertible analytic mappings that take the unit disk to itself are obtained by composing the preceding linear fractional transformation (5.15) with a rotation.

**Proposition 5.9.** If \( \zeta = g(z) \) is a one-to-one analytic map that takes the unit disk to itself, then
\[ g(z) = e^{i\phi} \frac{z - \alpha}{\alpha z - 1} \quad \text{for some} \quad |\alpha| < 1, \quad -\pi < \phi \leq \pi. \quad (5.16) \]

**Conformality**

A remarkable geometrical property enjoyed by all complex analytic functions is that, at non-critical points, they preserve angles, and therefore define conformal mappings.

**Definition 5.10.** A function \( g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is called conformal if it preserves angles.

But what does it mean to “preserve angles”? In the Euclidean norm, the angle between two vectors is defined by their dot product. However, most analytic maps are nonlinear, and so will not map vectors to vectors since they will typically map straight lines to curves. However, if we interpret “angle” to mean the angle between two curves\(^\dagger\), as illustrated in Figure 18, then we can make sense of the conformality requirement. Thus, in order to

\(\dagger\) Or, more precisely, the angle between their tangent vectors at the point of intersection; see below for details.
realize complex functions as conformal maps, we first need to understand their effect on curves.

In general, a curve $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ in the complex plane is parametrized by a complex-valued function
\[ z(t) = x(t) + i y(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b, \] (5.17)
that depends on a real parameter $t$. Note that there is no essential difference between a complex curve (5.17) and a real plane curve; we have merely switched from vector notation $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t))^T$ to complex notation $z(t) = x(t) + i y(t)$. All the usual vectorial curve terminology — closed, simple (non-self intersecting), piecewise smooth, etc. — is employed without modification. In particular, the tangent vector to the curve at the point $z(t) = x(t) + i y(t)$ can be identified with the complex number $\dot{z}(t) = \dot{x}(t) + i \dot{y}(t)$. Smoothness of the curve is guaranteed by the requirement that $\dot{z}(t) \neq 0$.

**Example 5.11.** (a) The curve
\[ z(t) = e^{i t} = \cos t + i \sin t, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi, \]
parametrizes the unit circle $|z| = 1$ in the complex plane. Its complex tangent $\dot{z}(t) = i e^{i t} = i z(t)$ is obtained by rotating $z(t)$ through $90^\circ$.

(b) The complex curve
\[ z(t) = \cosh t + i \sinh t = \frac{1 + i}{2} e^t + \frac{1 - i}{2} e^{-t}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \]
parametrizes the right hand branch of the hyperbola $\text{Re} z^2 = x^2 - y^2 = 1$. Its complex tangent vector is $\dot{z}(t) = \sinh t + i \cosh t = i \overline{z}(t)$.

When we interpret the curve as the trajectory of a particle in the complex plane, so that $z(t)$ is the position of the particle at time $t$, the tangent $\dot{z}(t)$ represents its instantaneous velocity. The modulus of the tangent, $|\dot{z}| = \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}$, indicates the particle’s speed, while its phase $\text{ph} \dot{z}$ measures the direction of motion, as prescribed by the angle that the curve makes with the horizontal; see Figure 19.

The (signed) *angle* between two curves is defined as the angle between their tangents at the point of intersection $z = z_1(t_1) = z_2(t_2)$. If the curve $C_1$ is at angle
\[ \theta_1 = \text{ph} \, \dot{z}_1(t_1) \] while the curve \( C_2 \) is at angle \( \theta_2 = \text{ph} \, \dot{z}_2(t_2) \), then the angle \( \theta \) between \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) at \( z \) is their difference

\[ \theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1 = \text{ph} \, \dot{z}_2 - \text{ph} \, \dot{z}_1 = \text{ph} \left( \frac{\dot{z}_2}{\dot{z}_1} \right). \quad (5.18) \]

Now, consider the effect of an analytic map \( \zeta = g(z) \). A curve \( C \) parametrized by \( z(t) \) will be mapped to a new curve \( \Gamma = g(C) \) parametrized by the composition \( \zeta(t) = g(z(t)) \). The tangent to the image curve is related to that of the original curve by the chain rule:

\[ \frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{dg}{dz} \frac{dz}{dt}, \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{\zeta}(t) = g'(z(t)) \dot{z}(t). \quad (5.19) \]

Therefore, the effect of the analytic map on the tangent vector \( \dot{z} \) is to multiply it by the complex number \( g'(z) \). If the analytic map satisfies our key assumption \( g'(z) \neq 0 \), then \( \zeta \neq 0 \), and so the image curve is guaranteed to be smooth.

According to equation (5.19),

\[ |\dot{\zeta}| = |g'(z)\, \dot{z}| = |g'(z)| \, |\dot{z}|. \quad (5.20) \]

Thus, the speed of motion along the new curve \( \zeta(t) \) is multiplied by a factor \( \rho = |g'(z)| > 0 \). Observe that the magnification factor \( \rho \) depends only upon the point \( z \) and not how the curve passes through it. All curves passing through the point \( z \) are speeded up (or slowed down if \( \rho < 1 \)) by the same factor! Similarly, the angle that the new curve makes with the horizontal is given by

\[ \text{ph} \, \dot{\zeta} = \text{ph} \left( g'(z) \, \dot{z} \right) = \text{ph} \, g'(z) + \text{ph} \, \dot{z}. \quad (5.21) \]

Therefore, the tangent angle of the curve is increased by an amount \( \phi = \text{ph} \, g'(z) \), i.e., its tangent has been rotated through angle \( \phi \). Again, the increase in tangent angle only depends on the point \( z \), and all curves passing through \( z \) are rotated by the same amount \( \phi \). This immediately implies that the angle between any two curves is preserved. More precisely, if \( C_1 \) is at angle \( \theta_1 \) and \( C_2 \) at angle \( \theta_2 \) at a point of intersection, then their images \( \Gamma_1 = g(C_1) \) and \( \Gamma_2 = g(C_2) \) are at angles \( \psi_1 = \theta_1 + \phi \) and \( \psi_2 = \theta_2 + \phi \). The angle between the two image curves is the difference

\[ \psi_2 - \psi_1 = (\theta_2 + \phi) - (\theta_1 + \phi) = \theta_2 - \theta_1, \]

which is the same as the angle between the original curves. This establishes the conformality or angle-preservation property of analytic maps.

**Theorem 5.12.** If \( \zeta = g(z) \) is an analytic function and \( g'(z) \neq 0 \), then \( g \) defines a conformal map.

**Remark:** The converse is also valid: Every planar conformal map comes from a complex analytic function with nonvanishing derivative.
The conformality of analytic functions is all the more surprising when one revisits elementary examples. In Example 5.6, we discovered that the function $w = z^2$ maps a quarter plane to a half plane, and therefore *doubles* the angle between the coordinate axes at the origin! Thus $g(z) = z^2$ is most definitely not conformal at $z = 0$. The explanation is, of course, that $z = 0$ is a critical point, $g'(0) = 0$, and Theorem 5.12 only guarantees conformality when the derivative is nonzero. Amazingly, the map preserves angles everywhere else! Somehow, the angle at the origin is doubled, while angles at all nearby points are preserved. Figure 20 illustrates this remarkable and counter-intuitive feat. The left hand figure shows the coordinate grid, while on the right are the images of the horizontal and vertical lines under the map $z^2$. Note that, except at the origin, the image curves continue to meet at 90° angles, in accordance with conformality.

**Example 5.13.** A particularly interesting example is the *Joukowski map*

$$
\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right).
$$

(5.22)

It was first employed to study flows around airplane wings by the pioneering Russian aero-
and hydro-dynamics researcher Nikolai Zhukovskii (Joukowski). Since
\[ \frac{d\zeta}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad z = \pm 1, \]
the Joukowski map is conformal except at the critical points \( z = \pm 1 \) as well as the singularity \( z = 0 \), where it is not defined.

If \( z = e^{i\theta} \) lies on the unit circle, then
\[ \zeta = \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) = \cos \theta, \]
lies on the real axis, with \(-1 \leq \zeta \leq 1\). Thus, the Joukowski map squashes the unit circle down to the real line segment \([-1, 1]\). The images of points outside the unit circle fill the rest of the \( \zeta \) plane, as do the images of the (nonzero) points inside the unit circle. Indeed, if we solve (5.22) for
\[ z = \zeta \pm \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}, \]
we see that every \( \zeta \) except \( \pm 1 \) comes from two different points \( z \); for \( \zeta \) not on the critical line segment \([-1, 1]\), one point (with the minus sign) lies inside and one (with the plus sign) lies outside the unit circle, whereas if \(-1 < \zeta < 1\), both points lie on the unit circle and a common vertical line. Therefore, (5.22) defines a one-to-one conformal map from the exterior of the unit circle \( \{ |z| > 1 \} \) onto the exterior of the unit line segment \( \mathbb{C}\setminus[-1,1] \).

Under the Joukowski map, the concentric circles \( |z| = r \neq 1 \) are mapped to ellipses with foci at \( \pm 1 \) in the \( \zeta \) plane; see Figure 21. The effect on circles not centered at the origin is quite interesting. The image curves take on a wide variety of shapes; several examples are plotted in Figure 22. If the circle passes through the singular point \( z = 1 \), then its image is...
no longer smooth, but has a cusp at \( \zeta = 1 \); this happens in the last 6 of the figures. Some of the image curves assume the shape of the cross-section through an idealized airplane wing or airfoil. Later, we will see how to determine the physical fluid flow around such an airfoil, a construction that was important in early aircraft design.

**Composition and the Riemann Mapping Theorem**

One of the hallmarks of conformal mapping is that one can assemble a large repertoire of complicated examples by composing elementary mappings. This relies on the fact that the composition of two complex analytic functions is also complex analytic.

**Proposition 5.14.** If \( w = f(z) \) is an analytic function of the complex variable \( z = x + i y \), and \( \zeta = g(w) \) is an analytic function of the complex variable \( w = u + i v \), then the composition \( \zeta = h(z) \equiv g \circ f(z) = g(f(z)) \) is an analytic function of \( z \).

The proof that the composition of two differentiable functions is differentiable is identical to the real variable version, \([2, 21]\), and need not be reproduced here. The derivative of the composition is explicitly given by the usual chain rule:

\[
\frac{d}{dz} g \circ f(z) = g'(f(z)) f'(z), \quad \text{or, in Leibnizian notation,} \quad \frac{d\zeta}{dz} = \frac{d\zeta}{dw} \frac{dw}{dz}. \tag{5.24}
\]

If both \( f \) and \( g \) are one-to-one, so is their composition \( h = g \circ f \). Moreover, the composition of two conformal maps is also conformal, a fact that is immediate from the definition, or by using the chain rule (5.24) to show that

\[ h'(z) = g'(f(z)) f'(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{provided} \quad g'(f(z)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(z) \neq 0. \]

**Example 5.15.** As we learned in Example 5.5, the exponential function

\[ w = e^z \]

maps the horizontal strip \( S = \{-\frac{1}{2} \pi < \text{Im} \ z < \frac{1}{2} \pi \} \) conformally onto the right half plane \( R = \{\text{Re} \ w > 0 \} \). On the other hand, Example 5.7 tells us that the linear fractional transformation

\[ \zeta = \frac{w - 1}{w + 1} \]

maps the right half plane \( R \) conformally to the unit disk \( D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \} \). Therefore, the composition

\[ \zeta = \frac{e^z - 1}{e^z + 1} \tag{5.25} \]

is a one-to-one conformal map from the horizontal strip \( S \) to the unit disk \( D \), which we illustrate in Figure 23.

\[ \uparrow \] Of course, to properly define the composition, we need to ensure that the range of the function \( w = f(z) \) is contained in the domain of the function \( \zeta = g(w) \).
Example 5.16. Suppose we want to conformally map the upper half plane \( U = \{ \text{Im } z > 0 \} \) to the unit disk \( D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \} \). We already know that the linear fractional transformation
\[
\zeta = g(w) = \frac{w - 1}{w + 1}
\]
maps the right half plane \( R = \{ \text{Re } w > 0 \} \) to \( D = g(R) \). On the other hand, multiplication by \( i = e^{i\pi/2} \), with \( z = h(w) = i w \), rotates the complex plane by 90° and so maps the right half plane \( R \) to the upper half plane \( U = h(R) \). Its inverse \( h^{-1}(z) = -iz \) will therefore map \( U \) to \( R = h^{-1}(U) \). Consequently, to map the upper half plane to the unit disk, we compose these two maps, leading to the conformal map
\[
\zeta = g \circ h^{-1}(z) = \frac{-iz - 1}{-iz + 1} = \frac{iz + 1}{iz - 1}
\]
from \( U \) to \( D \).

In a similar vein, we already know that the squaring map \( w = z^2 \) maps the upper right quadrant \( Q = \{ 0 < \text{ph } z < \frac{1}{2} \pi \} \) to the upper half plane \( U \). Composing this with our previously constructed map — which requires replacing \( z \) by \( w \) in (5.26) beforehand — leads to the conformal map
\[
\zeta = \frac{iz^2 + 1}{iz^2 - 1}
\]
that maps the quadrant \( Q \) to the unit disk \( D \).

Recall that our aim is to use analytic functions/conformal maps to solve boundary value problems for the Laplace equation on a complicated domain \( \Omega \) by transforming them to solved boundary value problems on the unit disk. Of course, the key question the student should be asking at this point is: Is there, in fact, a conformal map \( \zeta = g(z) \) from a given domain \( \Omega \) to the unit disk \( D = g(\Omega) \)? The theoretical answer is the celebrated Riemann Mapping Theorem.

**Theorem 5.17.** If \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) is any simply connected open subset, not equal to the entire complex plane, then there exists a one-to-one complex analytic map \( \zeta = g(z) \), satisfying the conformality condition \( g'(z) \neq 0 \) for all \( z \in \Omega \), that maps \( \Omega \) to the unit disk \( D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \} \).

Thus, any simply connected domain — with one exception, the entire complex plane — can be conformally mapped the unit disk. Note that \( \Omega \) need not be bounded for this to hold.
Indeed, the conformal map (5.11) takes the unbounded right half plane \( R = \{ \text{Re } z > 0 \} \) to the unit disk.

The standard proof of this important theorem relies on some more advanced topics in complex analysis, and can be found, for instance, in [1]. More recently, Levi, [17], has provided a more elementary “constructive” proof, based on the Green’s function\(^{†}\) of the domain. In outline, let

\[
u(z) = \log |z - z_0| + u_0(z)
\]  

be the Green’s function for the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem based at a point \( z_0 \in \Omega \). Let \( \varphi_t(z) \) denote the flow induced by the system of first order ordinary differential equations

\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = F(z), \quad \text{where} \quad F(z) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|^2}, & z \neq z_0, \\
0, & z = z_0,
\end{cases}
\]

that governs the (rescaled) gradient descent associated with the Green’s function \( u \). Then a conformal mapping from \( \Omega \) to \( D \) is given by

\[
g(z) = e^{u_0(z_0)} \left[ \lim_{t \to \infty} e^t \varphi_t(z) - z_0 \right], \quad z \in \Omega.
\]

The proof that formula (5.30) produces the desired Riemann map requires showing first that \( \nabla u \neq 0 \) at all \( z \neq z_0 \) so that \( F(z) \) is analytic on \( \Omega \setminus \{ z_0 \} \) and continuous at \( z_0 \). This implies that, as \( t \to \infty \), the flow \( \varphi_t(z) \) asymptotically shrinks \( \Omega \) down to the base point \( z_0 \). The second step is to prove that the limiting map (5.30) is indeed analytic and one-to-one from \( \Omega \) to the unit disk. The key intuition is that, for large \( t \gg 0 \), the gradient descent flow maps the level sets of \( u \) to asymptotically small circles centered at \( z_0 \), and hence a suitably rescaled version — namely, \( e^t \varphi_t(z) \) — will, as \( t \to \infty \), map \( \Omega \) to a disk centered at \( z_0 \) of radius \( R = e^{-u_0(z_0)} \). The final manipulations in formula (5.30) merely map this disk to the unit disk centered at the origin.

Moreover, it is not difficult to prove that \( g(z) \) is the unique conformal map from \( \Omega \) to \( D \) that satisfies \( g(z_0) = 0 \), \( g'(z_0) > 0 \), and hence, by Proposition 5.9, all other conformal maps from \( \Omega \) to \( D \) are obtained by composing \( g \) with a linear fractional transformation of the form (5.16). Full details can be found in the above reference [17].

Since the formula for the conformal map \( g \) guaranteed by the Riemann Mapping Theorem 5.17 is not completely explicit, in practical applications one assembles a collection of useful conformal maps for particular domains of interest. More complicated maps can then be built up by composition of the basic examples. An extensive catalog can be found in [14], while numerical schemes for constructing conformal maps are surveyed in [7].

**Example 5.18.** The goal of this example is to construct a conformal map that takes a half disk

\[
D_+ = \{ |z| < 1, \ \text{Im } z > 0 \}
\]

\( \dagger \) See (6.28) below for the definition of the Green’s function.
to the full unit disk \( D = \{ |\zeta| < 1 \} \). The answer is not \( \zeta = z^2 \) because the image of \( D_+ \) omits the positive real axis, resulting in a disk that has a slit cut out of it: \( \{ |\zeta| < 1, \; 0 < \text{ph} \zeta < 2\pi \} \). To obtain the entire disk as the image of the conformal map, we must work a little harder.

The first observation is that the map \( z = (w - 1)/(w + 1) \) that we analyzed in Example 5.7 takes the right half plane \( R = \{ \text{Re} \; w > 0 \} \) to the unit disk. Moreover, it maps the upper right quadrant \( Q = \{ 0 < \text{ph} \; w < \frac{1}{2}\pi \} \) to the half disk (5.31). Its inverse,

\[
w = \frac{z + 1}{z - 1}
\]

will therefore map the half disk, \( z \in D_+ \), to the upper right quadrant \( w \in Q \).

On the other hand, we just constructed a conformal map (5.27) that takes the upper right quadrant \( Q \) to the unit disk \( D \). Therefore, if compose the two maps — replacing \( z \) by \( w \) in (5.27) and then using (5.32) — we obtain the desired conformal map:

\[
\zeta = -i \frac{z^2 + 2iz + 1}{z^2 - 2iz + 1}.
\]

The leading factor \(-i\) is unimportant and can be omitted, since it merely rotates the disk by \(-90^\circ\), and so

\[
\zeta = \frac{z^2 + 2iz + 1}{z^2 - 2iz + 1} \quad \text{(5.33)}
\]

is an equally valid solution to our problem.

Finally, as noted in the preceding example, the conformal map guaranteed by the Riemann Mapping Theorem is not unique. Since the linear fractional transformations (5.16) map the unit disk to itself, we can compose them with any conformal Riemann mapping to produce additional conformal maps from a simply connected domain to the unit disk. For example, composing with (5.33) produces the two parameter family of conformal mappings

\[
\zeta = e^{i\phi} \frac{z^2 + 2iz + 1 - \alpha(z^2 - 2iz + 1)}{\alpha(z^2 + 2iz + 1) - z^2 + 2iz - 1}, \quad \text{(5.34)}
\]

which, for any \( |\alpha| < 1, \; -\pi < \phi \leq \pi \), take the half disk onto the unit disk. Proposition 5.9 implies that this is the only ambiguity, and so, in this instance, (5.34) forms a complete list of one-to-one conformal maps from \( D_+ \) to \( D \).
Annular Domains

The Riemann Mapping Theorem does not apply to non-simply connected domains. For purely topological reasons, a hole cannot be made to disappear under a one-to-one continuous mapping — much less a conformal map — and so it is impossible to map a non-simply connected domain in a one-to-one manner onto the unit disk.

The simplest non-simply connected domain is an annulus consisting of the points between two concentric circles $A_{r,R} = \{ r < |\zeta| < R \}$, which, for simplicity, is centered around the origin; see Figure 24. The case $r = 0$ corresponds to a punctured disk, while setting $R = \infty$ gives the exterior of a disk of radius $r$. It can be proved, [14], that any other domain with a single hole can be conformally mapped to one of these annuli. The radii $r, R$ are not uniquely specified; indeed the linear map $\zeta = \alpha z$ maps the annulus (5.35) to a rescaled annulus $A_{\rho r, \rho R}$ whose inner and outer radii have both been scaled by the factor $\rho = |\alpha|$. However, the ratio $r/R$ of the inner to outer radius of the annulus is uniquely specified, and annuli with different ratios cannot be mapped to each other by a conformal map. Here, if $r = 0$ or $R = \infty$, but not both, then $r/R = 0$ by convention, while the punctured plane, where $r = 0$ and $R = \infty$ remains a separate case.

Example 5.19. Let $c > 0$. Consider the domain
$$\Omega = \{ |z| < 1 \text{ and } |z - c| > c \}$$
contained between two nonconcentric circles. To keep the computations simple, we take the outer circle to have radius 1 (which can always be arranged by rescaling, anyway) while the inner circle has center at the point $z = c$ on the real axis and radius $c$, which means that it passes through the origin. We must restrict $c < \frac{1}{2}$ in order that the inner circle be strictly inside the outer circle. Our goal is to find a conformal map $\zeta = g(z)$ that takes this non-concentric annular domain to a concentric annulus of the form
$$A_{r,1} = \{ r < |\zeta| < 1 \}.$$
Now, according to Example 5.8, a linear fractional transformation of the form
$$\zeta = g(z) = \frac{z - \alpha}{\alpha z - 1} \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha| < 1$$
maps the unit disk to itself. Moreover, as noted above, linear fractional transformations always map circles to circles. Therefore, we seek a particular value of $\alpha$ that maps the inner circle $|z - c| = c$ to a circle of the form $|\zeta| = r$ centered at the origin. We choose $\alpha$ to be real and try to map the points 0 and $2c$ on the inner circle to the points $r$ and $-r$ on the circle $|\zeta| = r$. This requires

$$g(0) = \alpha = r, \quad g(2c) = \frac{2c - \alpha}{2c\alpha - 1} = -r.$$  

(5.39)

Substituting the first into the second leads to the quadratic equation

$$c\alpha^2 - \alpha + c = 0,$$

which has two real solutions:

$$\alpha_- = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_+ = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{2c}. \quad (5.40)$$

Since $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$, the second solution $\alpha_+ > 1$, and hence is inadmissible. Therefore, the first yields the required conformal map

$$\zeta = \frac{2cz - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c^2}}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c^2})z - 2c}. \quad (5.41)$$

Note in particular that the radius $r = \alpha_-$ of the inner circle in $A_{r,1}$ is not the same as the radius $c$ of the inner circle in $\Omega$.

For example, taking $c = \frac{2}{5}$, equation (5.40) implies $\alpha_- = \frac{1}{2}$, and hence the linear fractional transformation $\zeta = \frac{2z - 1}{z - 2}$ maps the annular domain $\Omega = \{ |z| < 1, \ |z - \frac{2}{5}| > \frac{2}{5} \}$ to the concentric annulus $A = A_{1/2,1} = \{ \frac{1}{2} < |\zeta| < 1 \}$. In Figure 25, we plot several of the non-concentric circles in $\Omega$ that are mapped to concentric circles in the annulus $A$. 

Figure 25. Conformal Map for a Non-Concentric Annulus.
Let us now apply what we have learned about analytic/conformal maps. We begin with boundary value problems for the Laplace equation, and then present some applications in fluid mechanics. We conclude by explaining how to use conformal maps to construct Green’s functions for the two-dimensional Poisson equation.

Applications to Harmonic Functions and Laplace’s Equation

We are interested in solving a boundary value problem for the Laplace equation on a domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \). Our strategy is to map it to a boundary value problem on the unit disk \( D \) that we know how to solve. To this end, suppose we know a conformal map \( \zeta = g(z) \) that takes \( z \in \Omega \) to \( \zeta \in D \). As we know, the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function \( F(\zeta) \) defined on \( D \) are harmonic. Moreover, according to Proposition 5.14, the composition \( f(z) = F(g(z)) \) defines an analytic function whose real and imaginary parts are harmonic functions on \( \Omega \). Thus, the conformal mapping can be regarded as a change of variables that preserves harmonicity. In fact, this property does not even require the harmonic function to be the real part of an analytic function, i.e., we need not assume the existence of a harmonic conjugate.

**Proposition 6.1.** If \( U(\xi, \eta) \) is a harmonic function of \( \xi, \eta \), and

\[
\zeta = \xi + i \eta = \xi(x, y) + i \eta(x, y) = g(z)
\]  

(6.1)

is any analytic function, then the composition

\[
u(x, y) = U(\xi(x, y), \eta(x, y))
\]  

(6.2)

is a harmonic function of \( x, y \).

**Proof:** This is a straightforward application of the chain rule:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2}.
\]

Using the Cauchy–Riemann equations

\[
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x},
\]

for the analytic function \( \zeta = \xi + i \eta \), we find, after some algebra,

\[
\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \left[ \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \right] = |g'(z)|^2 \Delta U,
\]

(6.3)

the final expression following from the first formula for the complex derivative in (3.3). We conclude that whenever \( U(\xi, \eta) \) is harmonic, and so solves the Laplace equation \( \Delta U = 0 \) in the \( \xi, \eta \) variables, then \( u(x, y) \) solves the Laplace equation \( \Delta u = 0 \) in the \( x, y \) variables, and is thus also harmonic.

Q.E.D.
This observation has immediate consequences for boundary value problems arising in physical applications. Suppose we wish to solve the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad u = h \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$

in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Let $\zeta = g(z) = p(x, y) + i q(x, y)$ be a one-to-one conformal mapping from the domain $\Omega$ to the unit disk $D$, whose existence is guaranteed by the Riemann Mapping Theorem 5.17. Then the change of variables formula (6.2) will map the harmonic function $u(x, y)$ on $\Omega$ to a harmonic function $U(\xi, \eta)$ on $D$. Moreover, the boundary values of $U = H$ on the unit circle $\partial D$ correspond to those of $u = h$ on $\partial\Omega$ by the same change of variables formula:

$$h(x, y) = H(p(x, y), q(x, y)) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, y) \in \partial\Omega. \quad (6.5)$$

We conclude that $U(\xi, \eta)$ solves the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta U = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D, \quad U = H \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D. \quad (6.6)$$

But we know how to solve the Dirichlet problem (6.6) on the unit disk. The solution is given by the well known Poisson integral formula, [20; Theorem 4.6], which we write in terms of polar coordinates $r, \theta$.

**Theorem 6.2.** The solution to the Laplace equation in the unit disk subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions $u(1, \theta) = h(\theta)$ is

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(\phi) \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos(\theta - \phi)} \, d\phi. \quad (6.7)$$

We conclude that the solution to the original boundary value problem is given by the composition formula $u(x, y) = U(p(x, y), q(x, y))$. In summary, the solution to the Dirichlet problem on a unit disk can be used to solve the Dirichlet problem on more complicated planar domains — provided we are in possession of a suitable conformal map.

**Example 6.3.** According to Example 5.7, the analytic function

$$\xi + i\eta = \zeta = \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} + i \frac{2y}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2} \quad (6.8)$$

maps the right half plane $R = \{x = \text{Re} \, z > 0\}$ to the unit disk $D = \{|\zeta| < 1\}$. Proposition 6.1 implies that if $U(\xi, \eta)$ is a harmonic function in the unit disk, then

$$u(x, y) = U\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2}, \frac{2y}{(x + 1)^2 + y^2}\right) \quad (6.9)$$

is a harmonic function on the right half plane. (This can, of course, be checked directly by a rather unpleasant chain rule computation.)

To solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\Delta u = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad u(0, y) = h(y), \quad (6.10)$$
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on the right half plane, we adopt the change of variables (6.8) and use the Poisson integral formula to construct the solution to the transformed Dirichlet problem

$$
\Delta U = 0, \quad \xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1, \quad U(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) = H(\phi), \quad (6.11)
$$
on the unit disk subject to the corresponding boundary data. The transformed boundary data are found as follows. Using the explicit form

$$
x + iy = z = \frac{1 + \zeta}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{(1 + \zeta)(1 - \bar{\zeta})}{|1 - \zeta|^2} = \frac{1 + \zeta - \bar{\zeta} - |\zeta|^2}{|1 - \zeta|^2} = \frac{1 - \zeta^2 - \eta^2 + 2i\eta}{(\xi - 1)^2 + \eta^2}
$$

for the inverse map, we see that the boundary point \( \zeta = \xi + i\eta = e^{i\phi} \) on the unit circle \( \partial D \) will correspond to the boundary point

$$
iy = \frac{2i\eta}{(\xi - 1)^2 + \eta^2} = \frac{2i \sin \phi}{(\cos \phi - 1)^2 + \sin^2 \phi} = i \cot \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (6.12)
$$
on the imaginary axis \( \partial R = \{ \text{Re} \ z = 0 \} \). Thus, the boundary data \( h(y) \) on \( \partial R \) corresponds to the boundary data

$$
H(\phi) = h(\cot \frac{1}{2} \phi)
$$
on the unit circle. The Poisson integral formula (6.7) can then be applied to solve (6.11), from which we are able to reconstruct the solution (6.9) to the boundary value problem (6.9) on the half plane.

Let’s look at an explicit example. If the boundary data on the imaginary axis is provided by the step function

$$
u(0, y) = h(y) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & y > 0, \\ 0, & y < 0, \end{cases}
$$
then the corresponding boundary data on the unit disk is a (periodic) step function

$$
H(\phi) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < \phi < \pi, \\ 0, & -\pi < \phi < 0. \end{cases}
$$
According to [20; eq. (4.130)], the corresponding solution in the unit disk is

$$
U(\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1 - \xi^2 - \eta^2}{2\eta} \right), & \xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1, \ \eta > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1, \ \eta = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1 - \xi^2 - \eta^2}{2\eta} \right), & \xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1, \ \eta < 0. \end{cases}
$$

After some tedious algebra, we find that the corresponding solution in the right half plane is simply

$$
u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ph} \ z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x},
$$
an answer that, in hindsight, we should have been able to guess.
Figure 26. A Non–Coaxial Cable.

Remark: The solution to the preceding Dirichlet boundary value problem is not, in fact, unique, owing to the unboundedness of the domain. The solution that we pick out by using the conformal map to the unit disk is the one that remains bounded at $\infty$. The unbounded solutions would correspond to solutions on the unit disk that have a singularity in their boundary data at the point $-1$.

Example 6.4. A non-coaxial cable. The goal of this example is to determine the electrostatic potential inside a non-coaxial cylindrical cable with prescribed constant potential values on the two bounding cylinders, as illustrated in Figure 26. Assume for definiteness that the larger cylinder has radius 1, and is centered at the origin, while the smaller cylinder has radius $\frac{2}{5}$, and is centered at $z = \frac{2}{3}$. The resulting electrostatic potential will be independent of the longitudinal coordinate, and so can be viewed as a planar potential in the annular domain contained between two circles representing the cross-sections of our cylinders. The desired potential must satisfy the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad |z| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |z - \frac{2}{5}| > \frac{2}{5},
$$

$$
u = a, \quad \text{when} \quad |z| = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad u = b \quad \text{when} \quad |z - \frac{2}{5}| = \frac{2}{5}.
$$

According to Example 5.19, the linear fractional transformation

$$
\zeta = \frac{2z - 1}{z - 2} \quad (6.13)
$$

maps this non-concentric annular domain to the annulus $A_{1/2,1} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} < |\zeta| < 1 \right\}$, which is the cross-section of a coaxial cable. The corresponding transformed potential $U(\xi, \eta)$ has the constant Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
U = a, \quad \text{when} \quad |\zeta| = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad U = b \quad \text{when} \quad |\zeta| = 1. \quad (6.14)
$$

Clearly the coaxial potential $U$ must be a radially symmetric solution to the Laplace equation, and hence of the form

$$
U(\xi, \eta) = \alpha \log |\zeta| + \beta,
$$

for constants $\alpha, \beta$. A short computation shows that the particular potential function

$$
U(\xi, \eta) = \frac{b - a}{\log 2} \log |\zeta| + b = \frac{b - a}{2\log 2} \log(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + b
$$
Figure 27. Electrostatic Potential Between Coaxial and Non-Coaxial Cylinders.

satisfies the prescribed boundary conditions (6.14). Therefore, the desired non-coaxial electrostatic potential

\[
u(x, y) = \frac{b - a}{\log 2} \log \left| \frac{2z - 1}{z - 2} \right| + b = \frac{b - a}{2\log 2} \log \left( \frac{4 \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + 4y^2}{(x - 2)^2 + y^2} \right) + b \tag{6.15}
\]

is obtained by composition with the conformal map (6.13). The particular case \(a = 0, b = 1\), is plotted in Figure 27.

Remark: The same harmonic function determines the equilibrium temperature of an annular plate whose inner boundary is kept at a temperature \(u = a\) while the outer boundary is kept at temperature \(u = b\). One could also interpret this solution as the equilibrium temperature of a three-dimensional cylindrical body contained between two non-coaxial cylinders that are held at fixed temperatures. In this circumstance, the body’s temperature (6.15) only depends upon the transverse coordinates \(x, y\), and not upon the longitudinal coordinate \(z\).

Applications to Fluid Flow

Conformal mappings are particularly apt for the analysis of planar ideal fluid flow. Let \(\Theta(\zeta) = \Phi(\xi, \eta) + i\Psi(\xi, \eta)\) be an analytic function representing the complex potential function for a steady state fluid flow in a planar domain \(\zeta \in D\). Composing the complex potential \(\Theta(\zeta)\) with a one-to-one conformal map \(\zeta = g(z)\) leads to a transformed complex potential \(\chi(z) = \Theta(g(z)) = \varphi(x, y) + i\psi(x, y)\) on the corresponding domain \(\Omega = g^{-1}(D)\). Thus, we can employ conformal maps to construct fluid flows in complicated domains from known flows in simpler domains. Further, given that the complex velocities are the derivatives of their corresponding (locally defined) potentials, i.e., \(f(z) = d\chi/dz\), \(F(\zeta) = d\Theta/d\zeta\), the chain rule implies that they are related by the formula

\[
f(z) = F(g(z)) \frac{dg}{dz} \tag{6.16}
\]
under the conformal map $\zeta = g(z)$.

**Example 6.5.** *Flow around an exterior corner.* The conformal map

$$
\zeta = (iz)^{2/3}
$$

takes the region $\Omega = \{ -\frac{1}{2}\pi < \text{ph} z < \pi \} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ x < 0, y < 0 \}$ that is exterior to the lower left quadrant to the upper half plane $D = \{ \text{Im} \, \zeta > 0 \}$. As noted above, the complex potential $\Theta(\zeta) = \zeta$ corresponds to the uniform horizontal flow on $D$ with fluid velocity $v = (1, 0)$. Composing with the conformal map produces the complex potential $\chi(z) = \Theta \circ g(z) = (iz)^{2/3}$ on $\Omega$, with associated complex velocity field $f(z) = \chi'(z) = \frac{2}{3} i^{2/3} z^{-1/3}$ for an ideal fluid flow around an exterior corner. Note that this is quite different from the flow induced by the potential $\chi(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^2$ with complex velocity $f(z) = z$ that was noted above; see the Remark on page 23. Both are mathematically valid fluid flows, but differ dramatically in the geometric structure induced by their distinct asymptotic behaviors at large distances, as illustrated in the plots of their streamlines in Figure 28. The former is clearly the more plausible fluid motion from a physical standpoint; the slight kinks that can be observed in the streamlines that pass near the corner are intriguing.

Let us now concentrate on how a fluid flows around a solid object. The ideal flow assumptions of incompressibility and irrotationality are reasonably accurate if the flow is *laminar*, meaning far away from exhibiting turbulence. In three dimensions, the object is assumed to have a uniform shape in the axial direction, and so we can restrict our attention to a planar fluid flow around a closed, bounded subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ representing the cross-section of our cylindrical object, as in Figure 29. The (complex) velocity and potential are defined on the complementary domain $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ occupied by the fluid. The velocity potential $\varphi(x,y)$ will satisfy the Laplace equation $\Delta \varphi = 0$ in the exterior domain $\Omega$. For a solid object, we should impose the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on the boundary} \quad \partial \Omega = \partial D, \quad (6.17)
$$
indicating that there is no fluid flux into the object. We note that, since it preserves angles and hence the normal direction to the boundary, a conformal map will automatically preserve the Neumann boundary conditions.

In addition, since the flow is taking place on an unbounded domain, we need to specify the fluid motion at large distances. We shall assume our object is placed in a uniform horizontal flow, e.g., a wind tunnel, as sketched in Figure 30. Thus, far away, the object will not affect the flow, and so the fluid velocity should approximate the uniform velocity field $v = (1,0)^T$, where, for simplicity, we choose our physical units so that the fluid moves from left to right with an asymptotic speed equal to 1. Equivalently, the velocity potential should satisfy

$$\varphi(x,y) \approx x, \quad \text{so} \quad \nabla \varphi \approx (1,0) \quad \text{when} \quad x^2 + y^2 \gg 0.$$  

An alternative physical interpretation is that we are located on an object that is moving horizontally to the left at unit speed through a fluid that is initially at rest. Think of an airplane flying through the air at constant speed. If we adopt a moving coordinate system by sitting inside the airplane, then the effect is as if the plane is sitting still while the air is moving towards us at unit speed.
Example 6.6. *Horizontal plate.* The simplest example is a flat plate moving horizontally through the fluid. The plate’s cross-section is a horizontal line segment, and, for simplicity, we take it to be the segment $D = [-1, 1]$ lying on the real axis. If the plate is very thin and smooth, it will have no appreciable effect on the horizontal flow of the fluid, and, indeed, the velocity potential is given by

$$
\varphi(x, y) = x, \quad \text{for} \quad x + iy \in \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1].
$$

Note that $\nabla \varphi = (1, 0)^T$, and hence this flow satisfies the Neumann boundary conditions (6.17) on the horizontal segment $D = \partial \Omega$. The corresponding complex potential is $\chi(z) = z$, with complex velocity $f(z) = \chi'(z) = 1$.

Example 6.7. *Circular disk.* Recall, from Example 5.13, that the Joukowski conformal map

$$
\zeta = g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right)
$$

(6.18)

squashes the unit circle $|z| = 1$ down to the real line segment $[-1, 1]$ in the $\zeta$ plane. Therefore, it will map the fluid flow outside a unit disk to the fluid flow past the line segment, which, according to the previous example, has complex potential $\Theta(\zeta) = \zeta$. The resulting complex potential on the exterior of the disk is

$$
\chi(z) = \Theta \circ g(z) = g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right). \quad (6.19)
$$

Except for a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$, indicating that the corresponding flow past the disk is half as fast, this agrees with the potential we derived in Example 4.8; see Figure 13 for a plot of the streamlines.

Example 6.8. *Tilted plate.* Let us next consider the case of a tilted plate in a uniformly horizontal fluid flow. The cross-section will be the line segment

$$
z(t) = te^{-i\phi}, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

obtained by rotating the horizontal line segment $[-1, 1]$ through an angle $-\phi$, as illustrated in Figure 31. The goal is to construct a fluid flow past the tilted segment that is asymptotically horizontal at large distance. As before, the air flow will be going from left to right, and so $\phi$ is called the *attack angle* of the plate or airfoil relative to the flow.

The key observation is that, while the effect of rotating a plate in a fluid flow is not so evident, rotating a circularly symmetric disk has no effect on the flow around it. Thus, the rotation $w = e^{i\phi}z$ through angle $\phi$ maps the disk potential (6.19) to the complex potential

$$
\Upsilon(w) = \chi(e^{-i\phi} w) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-i\phi} w + \frac{e^{i\phi}}{w} \right). \quad (6.20)
$$

The streamlines of the induced flow are no longer asymptotically horizontal, but rather at an angle $\phi$. If we now apply the original Joukowski map (6.18) (with $w$ replacing $z$) to the rotated flow, the circle is again squashed down to the horizontal line segment, but the streamlines continue to be at angle $\phi$ at large distances. Thus, if we subsequently rotate
the resulting flow through an angle $-\phi$, the net effect will be to tilt the segment to the desired angle while rotating the streamlines to be asymptotically horizontal. Putting the pieces together, we deduce the final complex potential to have the form

$$
\chi(z) = e^{i\phi} \left( z \cos \phi - i \sin \phi \sqrt{z^2 - e^{-2i\phi}} \right).
$$

(6.21)

Sample streamlines for the flow at several attack angles are plotted in Figure 31.

**Example 6.9.** *Airfoils.* As we discovered in Example 5.13, applying the Joukowski map to off-center disks will, in favorable configurations, produce airfoil-shaped objects. The fluid motion around such airfoils can thus be obtained from the flow past such an off-center circle.

First, an affine map

$$
w = \alpha z + \beta
$$

(6.22)

has the effect of moving the unit disk $|z| \leq 1$ to the disk

$$
|w - \beta| \leq |\alpha|
$$

(6.23)

with center $\beta$ and radius $|\alpha|$. In particular, the boundary circle will continue to pass through the point $w = 1$ provided $|\alpha| = |1 - \beta|$. Moreover, as noted in Example 5.3, the angular component of $\alpha$ has the effect of a rotation, and so the streamlines around the new disk will, asymptotically, be at an angle $\phi = ph\alpha$ with the horizontal. We then apply the Joukowski transformation

$$
\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \left( w + \frac{1}{w} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha z + \beta + \frac{1}{\alpha z + \beta} \right)
$$

(6.24)

to map the disk (6.23) to the airfoil shape. The resulting complex potential for the flow past the airfoil is obtained by substituting the inverse map

$$
z = \frac{w - \beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\zeta - \beta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}}{\alpha},
$$

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fluid_flow_plate.png}
\caption{Fluid Flow Past a Tilted Plate.}
\end{figure}
into the disk potential (4.16), whereby

\[ \Theta(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta - \beta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha(\zeta - \beta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1})}{\beta^2 + 1 - 2\beta \zeta}. \]  

Finally, to make the streamlines asymptotically horizontal, we replace \( \zeta \) by \( e^{i\phi} \zeta \) in the final formula (6.25), which produces an airfoil tilted by the attack angle \( \phi \) to the horizontal flow. Sample streamlines for the airfoil generated by the circle centered at \(-.1 + .2i\) and passing through 1, at several attack angles, are shown in Figure 32.

Unfortunately, there is a major flaw with the airfoils that we have just designed. As we will discover, potential flows do not produce lift, and hence an airplane with such a wing would not fly. Fortunately, for both birds and the travel industry, physical air flow is not of this nature! In order to understand how lift enters into the picture, we need to study complex integration, and this will be the topic of the final section. For more extensive developments of the applications on conformal maps in fluid mechanics, see [3, 12, 13, 15, 16].

**Poisson’s Equation and the Green’s Function**

Although designed for solving the homogeneous Laplace equation, the method of conformal mapping can also be used to solve its inhomogeneous counterpart — the Poisson equation

\[ -\Delta u = f(x, y), \quad \text{for} \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \]  

on a domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), where the right hand side is a prescribed forcing function. We include homogeneous boundary conditions (Dirichlet or mixed) on the boundary of the \( \partial\Omega \). (We exclude pure Neumann boundary conditions due to lack of existence/uniqueness, [20]; however, [9] discusses how to extend the Green’s function formalism to this case.) One can then extend to inhomogeneous boundary conditions by linearly superimposing the solution to the homogeneous Laplace equation that satisfies them.

To handle such a forced boundary value problem it suffices to solve the problem when the right hand side is a delta function concentrated at a single point \((\xi, \eta) \in \Omega\) in the domain:

\[ -\Delta u = \delta_\zeta(x, y) = \delta(x - \xi) \delta(y - \eta), \]  

\[ \phi = 0^\circ \]  

\[ \phi = 15^\circ \]  

\[ \phi = 30^\circ \]  

Figure 32. Flow Past a Tilted Airfoil.
subject to the given homogeneous boundary conditions. The solution
\[ u(x, y) = G_\zeta(x, y) = G(x, y; \xi, \eta) \] (6.28)
is the Green’s function for the given boundary value problem. We will sometimes abbreviate it as \( G(z; \zeta) \), where \( z = x + i y, \zeta = \xi + i \eta \). With the Green’s function in hand, the solution to the homogeneous boundary value problem under a general external forcing, is then provided by the Superposition Principle
\[ u(x, y) = \int \int_{\Omega} G(x, y; \xi, \eta) f(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta. \] (6.29)

For the planar Poisson equation, the important observation is that conformal mappings preserve Green’s functions. Specifically:

**Theorem 6.10.** Let \( w = g(z) \) be a one-to-one conformal map that maps the domain \( \Omega \) to the domain \( D \), which is also continuous on the boundary: \( g: \partial \Omega \to \partial D \). Let \( \tilde{G}(w; \omega) \) be the Green’s function for the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem for the Poisson equation on \( D \). Then \( G(z; \zeta) = \tilde{G}(g(z); g(\zeta)) \) is the corresponding Green’s function on \( \Omega \).

**Proof:** Fixing \( \omega = \varphi + i \psi \), we are given that \( H(u, v) = \tilde{G}(w; \omega) \), with \( w = u + i v \), solves
\[ -\tilde{\Delta} H(u, v) = \delta_\omega(u, v) = \delta(u - \varphi, v - \psi), \] (6.30)
where we use \( \tilde{\Delta} \) to denote the Laplacian in the \( u, v \) variables, along with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on \( \partial D \). We now apply the change of variables \( u = p(x, y), v = q(x, y) \), provided by the real and imaginary parts of our conformal map. According to (6.3), the function \( h(x, y) = H(p(x, y), q(x, y)) \) satisfies
\[ \Delta h(x, y) = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \tilde{\Delta} H(p(x, y), q(x, y)). \] (6.31)
On the other hand, at \( \omega = g(\zeta) \) with \( \zeta = \xi + i \eta \), the change of variables formula for the two-dimensional delta function, cf. [20; eq. (6.126)], implies that
\[ \delta_\omega(p(x, y), q(x, y)) = \frac{\delta_\zeta(x, y)}{|J(\xi, \eta)|} \] (6.32)
where \( J(x, y) \) is the Jacobian determinant of the transformation, namely
\[ J(x, y) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right)^2, \] (6.33)
where the second expression follows from the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2) for the analytic function \( g(z) \). Combining the preceding four formulas (6.30–33), we conclude that
\[ -\Delta h = \frac{p_x(x, y)^2 + q_x(x, y)^2}{p_x(\xi, \eta)^2 + q_x(\xi, \eta)^2} \delta_\zeta(x, y) = \delta_\zeta(x, y), \] (6.34)
since the delta function vanishes except when \((x, y) = (\xi, \eta)\), at which point the numerator and denominator in the fraction coincide. Thus, the Laplacian of the transformed function has the correct delta function singularity at the point \( \zeta = \xi + i \eta \). The fact that \( h(x, y) \) also satisfies homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on \( \partial \Omega \) is immediate. \( \text{Q.E.D.} \)
Remark: Theorem 6.10 also applies to the mixed boundary value problem, provided the conformal map is \(C^1\) on the Neumann part of the boundary, which, by the angle-preserving property of conformality, implies that it preserves the normal direction to the boundary.

The logarithmic potential function

\[
U(u, v) = \text{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log w \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |w| = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log (u^2 + v^2),
\]  

(6.35)
solves the Dirichlet problem

\[-\tilde{\Delta} U = \delta(u, v), \quad (u, v) \in D, \quad U = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D,
\]
on the unit disk \(D\) for a delta impulse concentrated at the origin. According to Example 5.8, the linear fractional transformation

\[w = g(z) = \frac{z - \zeta}{\zeta z - 1}, \quad \text{where} \quad |\zeta| < 1,
\]  

(6.36)
maps the unit disk to itself, moving the point \(z = \zeta\) to the origin \(w = g(\zeta) = 0\). The proof of Theorem 6.10 then implies that the transformed function \(u(x, y)\) will satisfy

\[-\Delta u = \delta_\zeta(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in D, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D,
\]
and hence defines the Green’s function at the point \(\zeta = \xi + i \eta\). We conclude that

\[G(z; \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta z - 1}{z - \zeta} \right|\]  

(6.37)
is the Green’s function for the Dirichlet boundary value problem on the unit disk.

Now that we know the Green’s function on the unit disk, we can use the Riemann Mapping Theorem 5.17 and Theorem 6.10 to produce the Green’s function for any other simply connected domain \(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}\).

**Corollary 6.11.** Suppose \(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) be a simply connected domain. Let \(w = g(z)\) denote a conformal map that takes \(z \in \Omega\) to the unit disk \(w \in D\). Then the Green’s function for the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary problem for the Poisson equation on \(\Omega\) is explicitly given by

\[G(z; \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{g(\zeta) g(z) - 1}{g(z) - g(\zeta)} \right|\]  

(6.38)

Remark: When \(\Omega\) is unbounded, the Green’s function singles out the solution that is asymptotically zero at large distances, reflecting the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition “at \(\infty\”).

**Example 6.12.** According to Example 5.7, the analytic function

\[w = \frac{z - 1}{z + 1}\]
maps the right half plane \( x = \Re z > 0 \) to the unit disk \( |\zeta| < 1 \). Therefore, by (6.38), the Green’s function for the right half plane has the form

\[
G(z; \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta + 1} \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} - 1}{\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} - \frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta + 1}} \right| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{(\zeta + 1)(z + \zeta)}{(\zeta + 1)(z - \zeta)} \right|. \tag{6.39}
\]

One can then write an integral formula for the solution to the Poisson equation on the right half plane in the form of a superposition as in (6.29).

7. Complex Integration.

The magic and power of calculus ultimately rests on the amazing fact that differentiation and integration are mutually inverse operations. And, just as complex functions enjoy remarkable differentiability properties not shared by their real counterparts, so the sublime beauty of complex integration goes far beyond its real progenitor.

Let us begin by motivating the definition of the complex integral. Basic calculus tells us that the (definite) integral of a real function, \( \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \), is to be evaluated on an interval \([a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}\). In complex function theory, integrals are taken along curves in the complex plane, and are akin to the line integrals appearing in real vector calculus. Indeed, the identification of a complex number \( z = x + i y \) with a planar vector \( \mathbf{x} = (x, y)^T \) will serve to connect the two constructions.

Consider a curve \( C \subset \mathbb{C} \) in the complex plane, parametrized by \( z(t) = x(t) + i y(t) \) for \( a \leq t \leq b \). We will always assume \( C \) is sufficiently smooth, meaning either continuously differentiable, or continuous and piecewise smooth. We define the integral of the complex function \( f(z) \) along \( C \) to be the complex number

\[
\int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_a^b f(z(t)) \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt, \tag{7.1}
\]

the right hand side being an ordinary real integral of a complex-valued function; in other words, if

\[
g(t) = p(t) + i q(t), \quad \text{then} \quad \int_a^b g(t) \, dt = \int_a^b p(t) \, dt + i \int_a^b q(t) \, dt.
\]

We shall always assume that the integrand \( f(z) \) is a well-defined complex function at each point on the curve, and hence the integral is well-defined. Let us write out the integrand

\[
f(z) = u(x, y) + i v(x, y)
\]

\(^\dagger\) Usually \( C \) is simple meaning it has no self intersections, other than closed curves that are joined at the endpoints. However, most constructions easily carry over to non-simple curves.
in terms of its real and imaginary parts, as well as the differential

\[ dz = \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt = \left( \frac{dx}{dt} + i \frac{dy}{dt} \right) \, dt = dx + i \, dy. \]

As a result, the complex integral (7.1) splits up into a pair of real line integrals:

\[ \int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_C (u + i \, v)(dx + i \, dy) = \int_C (u \, dx - v \, dy) + i \int_C (v \, dx + u \, dy). \quad (7.2) \]

**Example 7.1.** Suppose \( n \) is an integer. Let us compute complex integrals

\[ \int_C z^n \, dz \quad (7.3) \]

of the monomial function \( f(z) = z^n \) along several different curves. We begin with a straight line segment \( I \) along the real axis connecting the points \(-1\) and \(1\), which we parametrize by \( z(t) = t \) for \(-1 \leq t \leq 1\). The defining formula (7.1) implies that the complex integral (7.3) reduces to an elementary real integral:

\[ \int_I z^n \, dz = \int_{-1}^{1} t^n \, dt = \begin{cases} \frac{0}{n+1}, & 0 \leq n = 2k \quad \text{even}, \\ \frac{2}{n+1}, & 0 < n = 2k + 1 \quad \text{odd}. \end{cases} \]

If \( n \leq -1 \) is negative, then the singularity of the integrand at the origin implies that the integral diverges, and so the complex integral is not defined.

Let us evaluate the same complex integral, but now along the parabolic arc \( P \) parametrized by

\[ z(t) = t + i (t^2 - 1), \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1. \]

Note that, as we see in Figure 33, the parabola connects the same two points in \( \mathbb{C} \). We
again refer back to the basic definition (7.1) to evaluate the integral, so
\[ \int_P z^n \, dz = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ t + i(t^2 - 1) \right]^n (1 + 2it) \, dt. \]

We could, at this point, expand the resulting complex polynomial integrand, and then integrate term by term. A more elegant approach is to recognize that it is an exact derivative:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ t + i(t^2 - 1) \right]^{n+1} = \left[ t + i(t^2 - 1) \right]^n (1 + 2it),
\]
as long as \( n \neq -1 \). Therefore, we can use the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (which works equally well for real integrals of complex-valued functions), to evaluate
\[ \int_P z^n \, dz = \left. \left[ t + i(t^2 - 1) \right]^{n+1} \right|_{t=-1}^{t=1} = \begin{cases} 
0, & -1 \neq n = 2k + 1 \text{ odd,} \\
\frac{2}{n+1}, & n = 2k \text{ even.}
\end{cases} \]

Thus, when \( n \geq 0 \) is a positive integer, we obtain the same result as before. Interestingly, in this case the complex integral is well-defined even when \( n \) is a negative integer because, unlike the real line segment, the parabolic path does not go through the singularity of \( z^n \) at \( z = 0 \). The case \( n = -1 \) needs to be done slightly differently, and integration of \( 1/z \) along the parabolic path is left as an exercise for the reader — one that requires some care. We recommend trying the exercise now, and then verifying your answer once we have become a little more familiar with basic complex integration techniques.

Finally, let us try integrating around a semi-circular arc, again with the same endpoints \(-1\) and \(1\). If we parametrize the semi-circle \( S^+ \) by \( z(t) = e^{it}, 0 \leq t \leq \pi \), we find
\[
\int_{S^+} z^n \, dz = \int_0^\pi z^n \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt = \int_0^\pi e^{int} i e^{it} \, dt = \int_0^\pi i e^{i(n+1)t} \, dt = \frac{e^{i(n+1)t}}{n+1} \bigg|_{t=0}^{t=\pi} = \begin{cases} 
0, & -1 \neq n = 2k + 1 \text{ odd,} \\
\frac{2}{n+1}, & n = 2k \text{ even.}
\end{cases}
\]

This value is the negative of the previous cases — but this can be explained by the fact that the circular arc is oriented to go from \( 1 \) to \(-1\) whereas the line segment and parabola both go from \(-1\) to \(1\). Just as with line integrals, the direction of the curve determines the sign of the complex integral; if we reverse direction, replacing \( e^{it} \) by \( e^{-it} \), we end up with the same value as the preceding two complex integrals. Moreover — again provided \( n \neq -1 \) — it does not matter whether we use the upper semicircle or lower semicircle to go from \(-1\) to \(1\) — the result is exactly the same. However, the case \( n = -1 \) is an exception to this “rule”. Integrating along the upper semicircle \( S^+ \) from \( 1 \) to \(-1\) yields
\[ \int_{S^+} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^\pi i \, dt = \pi i, \tag{7.4} \]
whereas integrating along the lower semicircle \( S^- \) from \( 1 \) to \(-1\) yields the negative
\[ \int_{S^-} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^{-\pi} i \, dt = -\pi i. \tag{7.5} \]
Hence, when integrating the function $1/z$, it makes a difference which direction we go around the origin.

Integrating $z^n$ for any integer $n \neq -1$ around an entire circle gives zero — irrespective of the radius. This can be seen as follows. We parametrize a circle of radius $r$ by $z(t) = re^{it}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$. Then, by the same computation\(^\dagger\),

$$
\oint_C z^n \, dz = \int_0^{2\pi} (r^n e^{int})(r e^{it}) \, dt = \int_0^{2\pi} r^{n+1} e^{i(n+1)t} \, dt = \frac{r^{n+1}}{n+1} e^{i(n+1)t} \bigg|_{t=0}^{2\pi} = 0,
$$

provided $n \neq -1$. The circle on the integral sign serves to remind us that we are integrating around a closed curve. The case $n = -1$ remains special. Integrating once around the circle in the counter-clockwise direction yields a nonzero result

$$
\oint_C \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^{2\pi} i \, dt = 2\pi i. \quad (7.7)
$$

Let us note that a complex integral does not depend on the particular parametrization of the curve $C$. It does, however, depend upon its orientation: if we traverse the curve in the reverse direction, then the complex integral changes its sign:

$$
\int_{-C} f(z) \, dz = -\int_C f(z) \, dz. \quad (7.8)
$$

Moreover, if we chop up the curve into two non-overlapping pieces, $C = C_1 \cup C_2$, with a common orientation, then the complex integral can be decomposed into a sum over the pieces:

$$
\int_{C_1 \cup C_2} f(z) = \int_{C_1} f(z) \, dz + \int_{C_2} f(z) \, dz. \quad (7.9)
$$

For instance, the integral (7.7) of $1/z$ around the circle is the difference of the individual semicircular integrals (7.4, 5); the lower semicircular integral acquires a negative sign to flip its orientation so as to agree with that of the entire circle. All these facts are immediate consequences of the well-known properties of line integrals, or can be proved directly from the defining formula (7.1).

*Note:* In complex integration theory, a simple closed curve is often referred to as a *contour*, and so complex integration is sometimes referred to as *contour integration*. Typically, unless explicitly stated otherwise, we always go around contours in the *counter-clockwise* direction, or, more accurately, so that the enclosed domain is always to our left.

Further experiments lead us to suspect that complex integrals are usually path-independent, and hence evaluate to zero around closed curves. One must be careful, though, as the integral (7.7) makes clear. Path independence, in fact, follows from the complex version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

\(^\dagger\) The circle on the line integral sign is to indicate that it is around a closed contour.
Theorem 7.2. Let \( f(z) = F'(z) \) be the derivative of a single-valued complex function \( F(z) \) defined on a domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \). Let \( C \subset \Omega \) be any curve with initial point \( \alpha \) and final point \( \beta \). Then
\[
\int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_C F'(z) \, dz = F(\beta) - F(\alpha).
\] (7.10)

Proof: This follows immediately from the definition (7.1) and the chain rule:
\[
\int_C F'(z) \, dz = \int_a^b F'(z(t)) \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} F(z(t)) \, dt = F(z(b)) - F(z(a)) = F(\beta) - F(\alpha),
\]
where \( \alpha = z(a) \) and \( \beta = z(b) \) are the endpoints of the curve. Q.E.D.

For example, when \( n \neq -1 \), the function \( f(z) = z^n \) is the derivative of the single-valued function \( F(z) = \frac{1}{n+1} z^{n+1} \). Hence
\[
\int_C z^n \, dz = \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{n+1}
\]
whenever \( C \) is (almost) any curve connecting \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \). The only restriction is that, when \( n < 0 \), the curve is not allowed to pass through the singularity at the origin \( z = 0 \). Setting \( \beta = 1, \alpha = -1 \), we recover our previous computations of this integral.

In contrast, the function \( f(z) = 1/z \) is the derivative of the complex logarithm \( \log z = \log |z| + i \, \text{ph} \, z \), which is not single-valued on all of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), and so Theorem 7.2 cannot be applied directly. However, if our curve is contained within a simply connected subdomain that does not include the origin, \( 0 \not\in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \), then we can employ any single-valued branch of the complex logarithm to evaluate the integral
\[
\int_C \frac{dz}{z} = \log \beta - \log \alpha,
\]
where \( \alpha, \beta \) are the endpoints of the curve. Since the common multiples of \( 2\pi i \) cancel, the answer does not depend upon which particular branch of the complex logarithm is selected as long as we are consistent in our choice. For example, on the upper semicircle \( S^+ \) of radius 1 going from 1 to \( -1 \),
\[
\int_{S^+} \frac{dz}{z} = \log(-1) - \log 1 = \pi i,
\]
where we use the branch of \( \log z = \log |z| + i \, \text{ph} \, z \) with \( 0 \leq \text{ph} \, z \leq \pi \). On the other hand, if we integrate on the lower semi-circle \( S^- \) going from 1 to \( -1 \), we need to adopt a different branch, say that with \( -\pi \leq \text{ph} \, z \leq 0 \). With this choice, the integral becomes
\[
\int_{S^-} \frac{dz}{z} = \log(-1) - \log 1 = -\pi i,
\]
thus reproducing (7.4, 5). Pay particular attention to the different values of \( \log(-1) \) used in the two cases!

*Cauchy’s Theorem*

The preceding considerations suggest the following fundamental theorem, due in its general form to Cauchy. Before stating it, we introduce the convention that a complex function \( f(z) \) is to be deemed *analytic on a domain* \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) provided it is analytic at every point inside \( \Omega \) and, in addition, remains (at least) continuous on the boundary \( \partial \Omega \). When \( \Omega \) is bounded, its boundary \( \partial \Omega \) consists of one or more simple closed curves. In general, we orient \( \partial \Omega \) so that the domain is always on our left hand side. This means that the outermost boundary curve is traversed in the counter-clockwise direction, but those around interior holes take on a clockwise orientation. Our convention is depicted in Figure 34.

**Theorem 7.3.** If \( f(z) \) is analytic on a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \), then

\[
\oint_{\partial \Omega} f(z) \, dz = 0. \tag{7.11}
\]

**Proof:** Application of Green’s Theorem to the two real line integrals in (7.2) yields

\[
\oint_{\partial \Omega} u \, dx - v \, dy = \iint_{\Omega} \left( -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = 0, \quad \oint_{\partial \Omega} v \, dx + u \, dy = \iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = 0,
\]

both of which vanish by virtue of the Cauchy–Riemann equations (3.2). \( \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \)

If the domain of definition of our complex function \( f(z) \) is simply connected, then, by definition, the interior of any closed curve \( C \subset \Omega \) is contained in \( \Omega \), and hence Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3 implies path independence of the complex integral within \( \Omega \).

**Corollary 7.4.** If \( f(z) \) is analytic on a simply connected domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \), then its complex integral \( \int_C f(z) \, dz \) for \( C \subset \Omega \) is independent of path. In particular,

\[
\oint_C f(z) \, dz = 0 \tag{7.12}
\]
Figure 35. Integration Around Two Closed Curves.

for any closed curve $C \subset \Omega$.

Remark: Simple connectivity of the domain is an essential hypothesis — our evaluation (7.7) of the integral of $1/z$ around the unit circle provides a simple counterexample to (7.12) for the non-simply connected domain $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Interestingly, this result also admits a converse, known as Morera’s Theorem: a continuous complex-valued function that satisfies (7.12) for all closed curves is necessarily analytic; see [1] for a proof.

We will also require a slight generalization of this result.

**Proposition 7.5.** If $f(z)$ is analytic in a domain that contains two simple closed curves $S$ and $C$, and the entire region lying between them, then, assuming they are oriented in the same direction,

$$\oint_S f(z)\,dz = \oint_C f(z)\,dz.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.13)

**Proof:** If $C$ and $S$ do not cross each other, we let $\Omega$ denote the domain contained between them, so that $\partial \Omega = C \cup S$; see the first plot in Figure 35. According to Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, $\oint_{\partial \Omega} f(z)\,dz = 0$. Now, our orientation convention for $\partial \Omega$ means that the outer curve, say $C$, is traversed in the counter-clockwise direction, while the inner curve $S$ assumes the opposite, clockwise orientation. Therefore, if we assign both curves the same counter-clockwise orientation,

$$0 = \oint_{\partial \Omega} f(z)\,dz = \oint_C f(z)\,dz - \oint_S f(z)\,dz,$$

proving (7.13).

If the two curves cross, we can construct a nearby curve $K \subset \Omega$ that neither crosses, as in the second sketch in Figure 35. By the preceding paragraph, each integral is equal to
that over the third curve,
\[ \oint_C f(z) \, dz = \oint_K f(z) \, dz = \oint_S f(z) \, dz, \]
and formula (7.13) remains valid. \quad Q.E.D.

**Example 7.6.** Consider the function \( f(z) = z^n \) where \( n \) is an integer\(^\dagger\). In (7.6), we already computed
\[ \oint_C z^n \, dz = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & n \neq -1, \\ 2\pi i, & n = -1, \end{array} \right. \quad (7.14) \]
when \( C \) is a circle centered at \( z = 0 \). When \( n \geq 0 \), Theorem 7.2 immediately implies that the integral of \( z^n \) is 0 over any closed curve in the plane. The same applies in the cases \( n \leq -2 \) provided the curve does not pass through the singular point \( z = 0 \). In particular, the integral is zero around closed curves encircling the origin, even though \( z^n \) for \( n \leq -2 \) has a singularity inside the curve and so Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3 does not apply as stated.

The case \( n = -1 \) has particular significance. Here, Proposition 7.5 implies that the integral is the same as the integral around a circle — provided the curve \( C \) also goes once around the origin in a counter-clockwise direction. Thus formula (7.7) holds for any closed curve that goes counter-clockwise once around the origin. More generally, if the curve goes several times around the origin\(^\ddagger\), then
\[ \oint_C \frac{dz}{z} = 2k\pi i \quad (7.15) \]
is an integer multiple of \( 2\pi i \). The integer \( k \) is called the *winding number* of the curve \( C \), and measures the total number of times \( C \) goes around the origin. For instance, if \( C \) winds three times around 0 in a counter-clockwise fashion, then \( k = 3 \), while \( k = -5 \) indicates that the curve winds 5 times around 0 in a clockwise direction, as in Figure 36. In particular, a winding number \( k = 0 \) indicates that \( C \) is not wrapped around the origin. If \( C \) represents a loop of string wrapped around a pole (the *pole* of \( 1/z \) at 0) then a winding number \( k = 0 \) would indicate that the string can be disentangled from the pole without cutting; nonzero winding numbers would indicate that the string is truly entangled\(^\S\).

**Lemma 7.7.** If \( C \) is a simple closed curve, and \( a \) is any point not lying on \( C \), then
\[ \oint_C \frac{dz}{z - a} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2\pi i, & a \text{ inside } C \\ 0, & a \text{ outside } C. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.16) \]
If \( a \in C \), then the integral does not converge.

\(^\dagger\) When \( n \) is fractional or irrational, the integrals are not well-defined owing to the multi-valued branch point at the origin.

\(^\ddagger\) Such a curve is undoubtedly not simple and must necessarily cross over itself.

\(^\S\) Actually, there are more subtle physical considerations that come into play, and even strings with zero winding number cannot be removed from the pole without cutting if they are knotted in some nontrivial manner. Can you think of an example?
Proof: Note that the integrand $f(z) = 1/(z-a)$ is analytic everywhere except at $z = a$, where it has a simple pole. If $a$ is outside $C$, then Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3 applies, and the integral is zero. On the other hand, if $a$ is inside $C$, then Proposition 7.5 implies that the integral is equal to the integral around a circle centered at $z = a$. The latter integral can be computed directly by using the parametrization $z(t) = a + re^{it}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, as in (7.7).

Q.E.D.

Example 7.8. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a closed and connected domain. Let $a, b \in D$ be two points in $D$. Then

$$\oint_C \left( \frac{1}{z-a} - \frac{1}{z-b} \right) dz = \oint_C \frac{dz}{z-a} - \oint_C \frac{dz}{z-b} = 0$$

for any closed curve $C \subset \Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ lying outside the domain $D$. This is because, by connectivity of $D$, either $C$ contains both points in its interior, in which case both integrals equal $2\pi i$, or $C$ contains neither point, in which case both integrals are 0. The conclusion is that, while the individual logarithms are multiply-valued, their difference

$$F(z) = \log(z-a) - \log(z-b) = \log \frac{z-a}{z-b}$$

is a consistent, single-valued complex function on all of $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus D$. The function (7.17) has, in fact, an infinite number of possible values, differing by integer multiples of $2\pi i$; the ambiguity can be resolved by choosing one of its values at a single point in $\Omega$. These conclusions rest on the fact that $D$ is connected, and are not valid, say, for the twice-punctured plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a, b\}$.

We are sometimes interested in estimating the size of a complex integral. A basic inequality bounds it in terms of an arc length integral.

Proposition 7.9. The modulus of the integral of the complex function $f$ along a curve $C$ is bounded by the integral of its modulus with respect to arc length:

$$\left| \int_C f(z) \, dz \right| \leq \int_C |f(z)| \, ds.$$
Proof: We begin with a simple lemma on real integrals of complex functions.

Lemma 7.10. Let \( f(t) \) be a complex-valued function depending on the real variable \( a \leq t \leq b \). Then

\[
\left| \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \, dt. \tag{7.19}
\]

Proof: If \( \int_a^b f(t) \, dt = 0 \), the inequality is trivial. Otherwise, let \( \theta = \text{ph} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \). Then,

\[
\left| \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| = \Re\left[ e^{-i \theta} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right] = \int_a^b \Re\left[ e^{-i \theta} f(t) \right] \, dt \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \, dt,
\]

which proves the lemma. \( \square \)

To prove the proposition, we write out the complex integral, and use (7.19) as follows:

\[
\left| \int_C f(z) \, dz \right| = \left| \int_a^b f(z(t)) \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt \right| \leq \int_a^b \left| f(z(t)) \right| \left| \frac{dz}{dt} \right| \, dt = \int_C \left| f(z) \right| ds,
\]

since

\[
|dz| = \left| \frac{dz}{dt} \right| \, dt = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \, dt = ds
\]

is the arc length integral element. \( \square \)

Corollary 7.11. If the curve \( C \) has length \( L \), and \( f(z) \) is an analytic function such that \( |f(z)| \leq M \) for all points \( z \in C \), then

\[
\left| \int_C f(z) \, dz \right| \leq ML. \tag{7.20}
\]

Circulation and Lift

In fluid mechanical applications, the complex integral can be assigned an important physical interpretation. As above, we consider the steady state flow of an incompressible, irrotational fluid. Let \( f(z) = u(x,y) - i \, v(x,y) \) with \( z = x + i \, y \) denote the complex velocity corresponding to the real velocity vector \( \mathbf{v} = (u(x,y), v(x,y))^T \) at the point \( (x,y)^T \).

As we noted in (7.2), the integral of the complex velocity \( f(z) \) along a curve \( C \) can be written as a pair of real line integrals:

\[
\int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_C (u - i \, v)(dx + i \, dy) = \int_C (udx + vdy) - i \int_C (vdx - udy). \tag{7.21}
\]

The real part is the circulation integral

\[
\int_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \int_C u \, dx + v \, dy, \tag{7.22}
\]
while the imaginary part is minus the flux integral$^\dagger$:
\[
\int_C \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \int_C \mathbf{v} \times d\mathbf{x} = \int_C v \, dx - u \, dy. \tag{7.23}
\]

Let us show that conformal maps preserve the complex velocity integral, and hence both the circulation and flux.

**Theorem 7.12.** Let $\zeta = g(z)$ be a conformal map. Suppose $g$ maps the curve $C = \{ z(t) \mid a \leq t \leq b \}$ to the image curve $\Gamma = g(C) = \{ \zeta(t) = g(z(t)) \mid a \leq t \leq b \}$. Let $f(z)$ be a complex velocity for an ideal fluid flow near $C$ and $F(\zeta)$ the corresponding complex velocity near $\Gamma$, then the corresponding complex velocity integrals are the same:
\[
\int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_\Gamma F(\zeta) \, d\zeta.
\]

*Proof:* Recall that, under the conformal map $\zeta = g(z)$, the complex velocities are related by formula (6.16), namely $f(z) = F(g(z)) g'(z)$. Thus, using the definition (7.1) of the complex integral and the chain rule,
\[
\int_\Gamma F(\zeta) \, d\zeta = \int_a^b F(\zeta(t)) \frac{d\zeta}{dt} \, dt = \int_a^b F(g(z(t)) \frac{dg}{dz}(z(t)) \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt
\]
\[
= \int_a^b f(z(t)) \frac{dz}{dt} \, dt = \int_C f(z) \, dz. \quad Q.E.D.
\]

If the complex velocity admits a single-valued complex potential
\[
\chi(z) = \varphi(z) - i \psi(z), \quad \text{where} \quad \chi'(z) = f(z),
\]
which is always the case if its domain of definition is simply connected, then the complex integral is independent of path, and one can use the Fundamental Theorem 7.2 to evaluate it:
\[
\int_C f(z) \, dz = \chi(\beta) - \chi(\alpha) \tag{7.24}
\]
for any curve $C$ connecting $\alpha$ to $\beta$. Path independence of the complex integral reconfirms the path independence of the circulation and flux integrals for ideal fluid flow. The real part of formula (7.24) evaluates the circulation integral
\[
\int_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \int_C \nabla \varphi \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha), \tag{7.25}
\]
as the difference in the values of the (real) potential at the endpoints $\alpha, \beta$ of the curve $C$. On the other hand, the imaginary part of formula (7.24) computes the flux integral
\[
\int_C \mathbf{v} \times d\mathbf{x} = \int_C \nabla \psi \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \psi(\beta) - \psi(\alpha), \tag{7.26}
\]
\[\dagger\] Here $\times$ denotes the *cross product* between two-dimensional vectors, which is the scalar
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = ay - bx. \quad \text{The same notation was used for the two-dimensional curl (4.8).}
as the difference in the values of the stream function at the endpoints of the curve. The stream function acts as a “flux potential” for the flow. Thus, for ideal flows on simply connected domains or, more generally, those admitting a single valued complex potential, the fluid flux through a curve depends only upon its endpoints. In particular, if $C$ is a closed contour, and $\chi(z)$ is analytic on its interior, then

$$\oint_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0 = \oint_C \mathbf{v} \times d\mathbf{x}, \quad (7.27)$$

and so there is no net circulation or flux along any closed curve in this scenario.

Typically, lift on a body requires a nonzero circulation around it. The precise relation is spelled out by Blasius’ Theorem.

**Theorem 7.13.** Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded, simply connected domain. Let $f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}$ be the complex velocity of an ideal fluid flow, of constant density $\rho$, exterior to $D$. If $C \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}$ is any simple closed contour encircling the body, then the contour integral

$$F = D - iL = \frac{i\rho}{2} \oint_C f(z)^2 dz, \quad (7.28)$$

determines the complex force $F$ experienced by the body, so that $D = \text{Re} F$ is the horizontal component or drag while $L = -\text{Im} F$ is the vertical component or lift.

For a derivation of Blasius’ formula (7.28) from physical principles, see [13, 15, 16]. Consequently, the relation between circulation and lift is a bit more subtle than was indicated above. For example, $f(z) = 1/z$ has a nonzero circulation integral around any contour encircling the origin, while, despite the singularity of $f(z)^2 = 1/z^2$, the Blasius force integral (7.28) is 0, and hence there is no lift nor drag. On the other hand, the complex velocity $f(z) = z^{-2} + z$ has zero circulation but non-zero lift around any contour encircling the origin. Be that as it may, for our airfoil dilemma, we will concentrate on finding flows with non-zero circulation, from which one can determine the lift coefficient using Blasius’ formula (7.28).

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded, simply connected domain representing the cross-section of a cylindrical body, e.g., an airplane wing. The velocity vector field $\mathbf{v}$ of a steady state flow around the exterior of the body is defined on the domain $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}$. The no flux boundary conditions $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega = \partial D$ indicate that there is no fluid flowing across the boundary of the solid body. The resulting circulation of the fluid around the body is given by the integral $\oint_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$, where $C \subset \Omega$ is any simple closed contour encircling the body. (Cauchy’s theorem, in the form of Proposition 7.5, tells us that the value does not depend upon the choice of contour.) However, if the corresponding complex velocity $f(z)$ admits a single-valued complex potential in $\Omega$, then (7.27) tells us that the circulation integral is automatically zero, and so the body will not experience any lift!

Consider first the flow around a disk, as discussed in Examples 4.8 and 6.7. The disk potential (4.16) is a single-valued analytic function everywhere except at the origin $z = 0$. Therefore, the circulation integral (7.25) around any contour encircling the disk will vanish, and hence the disk experiences no net lift. This is more or less evident from Figure 13; the
streamlines of the flow are symmetric above and below the disk, and hence there cannot be any net force in the vertical direction.

Any conformal map will maintain single-valuedness of the complex potentials, and hence preserve the zero-circulation property. In particular, all the flows past airfoils constructed in Example 6.9 also admit single-valued potentials, and so also have zero circulation and complex force integrals. Such an airplane will not fly, because its wings have no lift. Of course, physical airplanes do fly, and so there must be some physical assumption we are neglecting in our treatment of flow past a body. Abandoning incompressibility or irrotationality would banish us from the paradise of complex variable theory to the vastly more complicated world inhabited by the fully nonlinear partial differential equations of fluid mechanics. Moreover, although air is slightly compressible, water is, for all practical purposes, incompressible, and, as we know, hydrofoils do experience lift when traveling through water.

The only way to introduce lift into the picture is through a (single-valued) complex velocity with a non-zero circulation integral, and this requires that its complex potential be multiply-valued. The one function that we know that has such a property is the complex logarithm

\[ \lambda(z) = \log(a z + b), \quad \text{whose derivative} \quad \lambda'(z) = \frac{a}{a z + b} \]

is single-valued away from the singularity at \( z = -b/a \). Thus, we are naturally led to introduce the family of complex potentials

\[ \chi_{\gamma}(z) = z + \frac{1}{z} + i \gamma \log z. \quad (7.29) \]

The corresponding complex velocity

\[ f_{\gamma}(z) = \frac{d\chi_{\gamma}}{dz} = 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{i \gamma}{z} \quad (7.30) \]

remains asymptotically 1 at large distances. On the unit circle \( z = e^{i \theta} \),

\[ f_{\gamma}(e^{i \theta}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2 i \theta} + i \gamma e^{-i \theta} = (\sin \theta + \gamma) i e^{-i \theta} \]

is a real multiple of the complex tangent vector \( i e^{-i \theta} = \sin \theta - i \cos \theta \), and hence its normal velocity or flux vanishes if and only if \( \gamma \) is real. Applying Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3 coupled with formula (7.16), if \( C \) is a curve going once around the disk in a counter-clockwise direction, then

\[ \oint_C f_{\gamma}(z) \, dz = \oint_C \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{i \gamma}{z} \right) \, dz = -2 \pi \gamma. \quad (7.31) \]

Therefore, when \( \gamma \neq 0 \), the circulation integral is non-zero, and the cylinder experiences a net lift. In Figure 37, the streamlines for the flow corresponding to a few representative values of \( \gamma \) are plotted. The asymmetry of the streamlines accounts for the lift experienced by the disk. In particular, assuming \( |\gamma| \leq 2 \), the stagnation points have moved from \( \pm 1 \) to

\[ z_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} \gamma^2 - \frac{1}{2} i \gamma}. \quad (7.32) \]
When we compose the modified potentials (7.29) with the Joukowski transformation (6.24), we obtain a complex potential for flow around the corresponding airfoil — the image of the unit disk. Since, according to Theorem 7.12, the conformal mapping does not affect the value of the circulation, whenever \( \gamma \neq 0 \), there will be a nonzero circulation around the airfoil under the modified fluid flow, and at last our airplane will fly!

However, we now have a slight embarrassment of riches, having designed flows around the airfoil with an arbitrary value \(-2\pi \gamma\) for the circulation integral, and hence an arbitrary amount of lift! Which of these possible flows most closely realizes the true physical version? In his 1902 thesis, the German mathematician Martin Kutta hypothesized that Nature chooses the constant \( \gamma \) so as to keep the velocity of the flow at the trailing edge of the airfoil finite, which requires that the trailing edge, \( \zeta = 1 \), be a stagnation point. Under the Joukowski map (6.24), the trailing edge corresponds to \( w = 1 \), and hence, under the affine map (6.22), the corresponding point on the unit circle is

\[
    z = \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha} = e^{i(\psi - \phi)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi = \text{ph} \alpha, \quad \psi = \text{ph}(1 - \beta),
\]

(7.33)

since, as in Example 6.9, we require \(|\alpha| = |1 - \beta|\) in order that the image of the unit circle go through \( w = 1 \). Equating (7.33) to (7.32), we deduce Kutta’s formula

\[
    \gamma = 2 \sin(\phi - \psi)
\]

(7.34)

that produces the corresponding circulation via (7.31). Sample flows for the airfoil of Figure 32 are depicted in Figure 38. As long as the attack angle \( \phi \) is of moderate size, the resulting flow and lift is in fairly good agreement with experiments. Further developments and refinements can be found in [3, 12, 13, 15, 16].

All of the preceding examples can be interpreted as planar cross-sections of three-dimensional fluid flows past an airplane wing oriented in the longitudinal \( z \) direction. The wing is assumed to have a uniform cross-section shape, and the flow not dependent upon the axial \( z \) coordinate. For sufficiently long wings flying in laminar (non-turbulent) flows, this model will be valid away from the wing tips and the fuselage. Understanding the dynamics of more complicated airfoils/airplanes with varying cross-section and/or faster
motion requires a fully three-dimensional fluid model. For such problems, complex analysis is no longer applicable, and, for the most part, one must rely on large scale numerical integration. Only in recent years have computers become sufficiently powerful to compute realistic three-dimensional fluid motions — and then only in reasonably mild scenarios\(^\dagger\).

The two-dimensional versions that have been analyzed here still provide important clues to the behavior of a three-dimensional flow, as well as useful initial approximations to the three-dimensional airplane wing design problem.

*Cauchy’s Integral Formula*

Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3 forms the cornerstone of almost all applications of complex integration. The fact that we can move the contours of complex integrals around freely — as long as we do not cross over singularities of the integrand — grants us great flexibility in their evaluation. An important consequence of Cauchy’s Theorem is the justly famous *Cauchy integral formula*, which enables us to compute the value of an analytic function at a point by evaluating a contour integral around a closed curve encircling the point.

**Theorem 7.14.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a bounded domain with boundary \( \partial \Omega \), and let \( a \in \Omega \). If \( f(z) \) is analytic on \( \Omega \), then

\[
f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz.
\]

(7.35)

*Remark:* As always, we traverse the boundary curve \( \partial \Omega \) so that the domain \( \Omega \) lies on our left. In most applications, \( \Omega \) is simply connected, and so \( \partial \Omega \) is a simple closed curve oriented in the counter-clockwise direction.

It is worth emphasizing that Cauchy’s formula (7.35) is *not* a form of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, since we are reconstructing the function by *integration* — not its antiderivative! The closest real counterpart is the Poisson Integral Formula (6.7) expressing the value of a harmonic function in a disk in terms of its values on the boundary circle.

\(^\dagger\) The definition of “mild” relies on the magnitude of the Reynolds number, \([3]\), an overall measure of the flow’s complexity.
Indeed, there is a direct connection between the two results resulting from the intimate bond between complex and harmonic functions.

**Proof**: We first prove that the difference quotient

\[ g(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} \]

is an analytic function on all of \( \Omega \). The only problematic point is at \( z = a \) where the denominator vanishes. First, by the definition of complex derivative,

\[ g(a) = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} = f'(a) \]

exists and therefore \( g(z) \) is well-defined and, in fact, continuous at \( z = a \). Secondly, we can compute its derivative at \( z = a \) directly from the definition:

\[ g'(a) = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{g(z) - g(a)}{z - a} = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f(z) - f(a) - f'(a)(z - a)}{(z - a)^2} = \frac{1}{2} f''(a), \]

which follows from Taylor’s Theorem (or l’Hôpital’s rule). Knowing that \( g \) is differentiable at \( z = a \) suffices to establish that it is analytic on all of \( \Omega \). Thus, we may appeal to Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, and conclude that

\[ 0 = \oint_{\partial \Omega} g(z) \, dz = \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} \, dz = \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz - f(a) \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{dz}{z - a} \]

\[ = \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz - 2\pi i f(a), \]

where the second integral was evaluated using (7.16). Rearranging terms completes the proof of the Cauchy formula. \( Q.E.D. \)

**Remark**: The proof shows that if, in contrast, \( a \not\in \overline{\Omega} \), then the Cauchy integral vanishes:

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz = 0. \]

If \( a \in \partial \Omega \), then the integral does not converge.

Let us see how we can apply this result to evaluate seemingly intractable complex integrals.

**Example 7.15.** Suppose that you are asked to compute the contour integral

\[ \oint_C \frac{e^z \, dz}{z^2 - 2z - 3} \]

where \( C \) is a circle of radius 2 centered at the origin. A direct evaluation is not easy, since the integrand does not have an elementary anti-derivative\(^\dagger\). However, we note that

\[ \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = \frac{e^z}{(z + 1)(z - 3)} = \frac{f(z)}{z + 1} \]

where \( f(z) = \frac{e^z}{z - 3} \)

\(^\dagger\) At least not one listed in any integration tables, e.g., [10]. A deeper result, [6], confirms that its anti-derivative cannot be expressed in closed form using elementary functions.
is analytic in the disk $|z| \leq 2$ since its only singularity, at $z = 3$, lies outside the contour $C$. Therefore, by Cauchy’s formula (7.35), we immediately obtain the integral
\[
\oint_C \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} \, dz = \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z + 1} \, dz = 2\pi i f(-1) = -\frac{\pi i}{2e}.
\]

**Note:** Path independence implies that the integral has the same value on any other simple closed contour, provided it is oriented in the usual counter-clockwise direction and encircles the point $z = 1$ but not the point $z = 3$.

### Derivatives by Integration

The fact that we can recover values of complex functions by integration is noteworthy. Even more amazing is the fact that we can compute derivatives of complex functions by integration — turning the Fundamental Theorem on its head! Let us differentiate both sides of Cauchy’s formula (7.35) with respect to $a$. The integrand in the Cauchy formula is sufficiently nice so as to allow us to bring the derivative inside the integral sign. Moreover, the derivative of the Cauchy integrand with respect to $a$ is easily found:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z-a} \right) = \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2}.
\]
In this manner, we deduce an integral formula for the derivative of an analytic function:
\[
f'(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} \, dz,
\] (7.36)
where, as before, $C$ is any simple closed curve that goes once around the point $z = a$ in a counter-clockwise direction†. Further differentiation yields the general integral formulae
\[
f^{(n)}(a) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} \, dz
\] (7.37)
that expresses the $n$th order derivative of a complex function in terms of a contour integral.

These remarkable formulae can be used to prove our earlier claim that an analytic function is infinitely differentiable, and thereby complete the proof of Theorem 3.8.

**Example 7.16.** Let us compute the integral
\[
\oint_C \frac{e^z}{z^3 - z^2 - 5z - 3} \, dz = \oint_C \frac{e^z}{(z+1)^2(z-3)} \, dz
\]
around the circle of radius 2 centered at the origin. We use (7.36) with
\[
f(z) = \frac{e^z}{z-3}, \quad \text{whereby} \quad f'(z) = \frac{(z-4)e^z}{(z-3)^2}.
\]

† Or, more generally, any closed curve that has winding number +1 around the point $z = a$. 
Since \( f(z) \) is analytic inside \( C \), the integral formula (7.36) tells us that

\[
\oint_C \frac{e^z \, dz}{z^3 - z^2 - 5z - 3} = \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z + 1)^2} \, dz = 2\pi i \, f'(-1) = -\frac{5\pi i}{8i}.
\]

**Liouville’s Theorem and the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra**

One application of the preceding formulae is the following remarkable result due to the nineteenth century French mathematician Joseph Liouville. It says that the only bounded complex functions are the constants! Again, note the stunning contrast with real analysis, where there are many bounded, analytic real functions.

**Theorem 7.17.** If \( f(z) \) is analytic at all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), and satisfies \( |f(z)| \leq M \) for some fixed positive number \( M > 0 \), then \( f(z) \equiv c \) is constant.

**Proof:** According to Cauchy’s formula (7.35), for any point \( a \in \mathbb{C} \),

\[
f'(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_R} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} \, dz,
\]

where we take \( C_R = \{ z \mid |z-a| = R \} \) to be a circle of radius \( R \) centered at \( z = a \). We then estimate the complex integral using (7.18), whence

\[
|f'(a)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \oint_{C_R} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} \, dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{C_R} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z-a|^2} \, ds \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{C_R} \frac{M}{R^2} \, ds = \frac{M}{R},
\]

since the length of \( C_R \) is \( 2\pi R \). Since \( f(z) \) is analytic everywhere, we can let \( R \to \infty \) and conclude that \( f'(a) = 0 \). Since this occurs for all possible points \( a \), we conclude that \( f'(z) \equiv 0 \) is everywhere zero, which suffices to prove constancy of \( f(z) \). \( \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \)

One outstanding application of Liouville’s Theorem 7.17 is a proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, first proved by the incomparably influential German mathematician Carl Friedrich Gauss in 1799; see [8] for an extensive discussion. Although it is, in essence, a purely algebraic result, this proof relies in an essential way on complex analysis and complex integration.

**Theorem 7.18.** Every nonconstant (complex or real) polynomial \( f(z) \) has at least one complex root \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \).

**Proof:** Suppose

\[
f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0 \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

Then we claim that its reciprocal

\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0}
\]

satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 7.17, and hence must be constant, in contradiction to our hypothesis. Therefore, \( f(z) \) cannot be non-zero for all \( z \), and this establishes the result.
To prove the claim, note first that our nonvanishing assumption (7.38) implies that \( g(z) \) is analytic for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). Moreover,

\[
|f(z)| = |z|^n \left| a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \cdots + \frac{a_1}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{a_0}{z^n} \right| \quad \text{whenever} \quad |z| \geq 1,
\]

which implies that \( |f(z)| \to \infty \) as \( |z| \to \infty \), and so

\[
|g(z)| = \frac{1}{|f(z)|} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \to \infty.
\]

This suffices to prove that \( |g(z)| \leq M \) is bounded for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). \( Q.E.D. \)

**Corollary 7.19.** Every complex polynomial of degree \( n \) can be factored,

\[ f(z) = a_n (z - z_1) (z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_n) \]

where \( z_1, \ldots, z_n \) are the roots of \( f(z) \), listed in accordance with their multiplicity.

**Proof:** Theorem 7.18 guarantees that there is at least one point \( z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) where \( f(z_1) = 0 \). Therefore, by the rules of polynomial factorization, we can write

\[ f(z) = (z - z_1) g(z) \]

where \( g(z) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n - 1 \). A straightforward induction on the degree of the polynomial completes the proof. \( Q.E.D. \)

**The Calculus of Residues**

Cauchy’s Theorem and Integral Formulae provide us with some amazingly versatile tools for evaluating complicated complex integrals. The upshot is that one only needs to understand the singularities of the integrand within the domain of integration — no indefinite integration is required! With a little more work, we are led to a general method for efficiently computing contour integrals, known as the *Calculus of Residues*. While the residue method has no counterpart in real integration theory, it can, remarkably, be used to evaluate a large variety of interesting definite real integrals, including many without an explicitly known anti-derivative.

**Definition 7.20.** Let \( f(z) \) be an analytic function for all \( z \) near, but not equal to \( a \). The *residue* of \( f(z) \) at the point \( z = a \) is defined by the contour integral

\[
\text{Res}_{z=a} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) \, dz,
\]

where \( C \) is any simple, closed curve that contains \( a \) in its interior, oriented, as always, in a counter-clockwise direction, and such that \( f(z) \) is analytic everywhere inside \( C \) except at the point \( z = a \); see Figure 39. For example, \( C \) could be a small circle centered at \( a \). The residue is a complex number, and tells us important information about the singularity of \( f(z) \) at \( z = a \).
The simplest example is the monomial function $f(z) = cz^n$, where $c$ is a complex constant and the exponent $n$ is assumed to be an integer. (Residues are not defined at branch points.) According to (7.6),

$$\text{Res}_{z=a} cz^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C cz^n \, dz = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq -1, \\ c, & n = -1. \end{cases} \quad (7.40)$$

Thus, only the case $n = -1$ gives a nonzero residue. The residue thus serves to single out the function $1/z$, which, not coincidentally, is the only one with a logarithmic, and multiply-valued, antiderivative.

Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, when applied to the integral in (7.39), implies that if $f(z)$ is analytic at $z = a$, then it has zero residue at $a$. Therefore, all the monomials, including $1/z$, have zero residue at any nonzero point:

$$\text{Res}_{z=a} cz^n = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a \neq 0. \quad (7.41)$$

Since integration is a linear operation, the residue is a linear operator, mapping complex functions to complex numbers:

$$\text{Res}_{z=a} \left[ f(z) + g(z) \right] = \text{Res}_{z=a} f(z) + \text{Res}_{z=a} g(z), \quad \text{Res}_{z=a} \left[ cf(z) \right] = c \, \text{Res}_{z=a} f(z), \quad (7.42)$$

for any complex constant $c$. Thus, by linearity, the residue of any finite linear combination of monomials,

$$f(z) = \frac{c_{-m}}{z^m} + \frac{c_{-m+1}}{z^{m-1}} + \cdots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z} + c_0 + c_1 z + \cdots + c_n z^n = \sum_{k=-m}^{n} c_k z^k,$$

is equal to

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = c_{-1}. \quad (7.43)$$

Thus, the residue effectively picks out the coefficient of the term $1/z$ in such an expansion.
The easiest nontrivial residues to compute are at the poles of a function. According to (3.9), the function \( f(z) \) has a simple pole at \( z = a \) if
\[
h(z) = (z - a) f(z)
\]
is analytic at \( z = a \) and \( h(a) \neq 0 \). The next result allows us to bypass the contour integral when evaluating such a residue.

**Lemma 7.21.** If \( f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{z - a} \) has a simple pole at \( z = a \), then \( \text{Res}\_{z=a} f(z) = h(a) \).

**Proof:** We substitute the formula for \( f(z) \) into the definition (7.39), and so
\[
\text{Res}\_{z=a} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{h(z) dz}{z - a} = h(a)
\]
by Cauchy’s formula (7.35).

Q.E.D.

**Example 7.22.** Consider the function
\[
f(z) = \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = \frac{e^z}{(z + 1)(z - 3)}.
\]
From the factorization of the denominator, we see that \( f(z) \) has simple pole singularities at \( z = -1 \) and \( z = 3 \). The residues are given, respectively, by
\[
\text{Res}_{z=-1} \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = \left. \frac{e^z}{z - 3} \right|_{z=-1} = -\frac{1}{4e}, \quad \text{Res}_{z=3} \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = \left. \frac{e^z}{z + 1} \right|_{z=3} = \frac{e^3}{4}.
\]
Since \( f(z) \) is analytic everywhere else, its residue at any other point is automatically 0.

Recall that a function \( g(z) \) is said to have simple zero at \( z = a \) provided
\[
g(z) = (z - a) k(z)
\]
where \( k(z) \) is analytic at \( z = a \) and \( k(a) = g'(a) \neq 0 \). If \( f(z) \) is analytic at \( z = a \), then the quotient
\[
\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{f(z)}{(z - a) k(z)}
\]
has a simple pole at \( z = a \), with residue
\[
\text{Res}\_{z=a} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \text{Res}\_{z=a} \frac{f(z)}{(z - a) k(z)} = \frac{f(a)}{k(a)} = \frac{f(a)}{g'(a)}\tag{7.44}
\]
by Lemma 7.21. More generally, if \( z = a \) is a zero of order \( n \) of
\[
g(z) = (z - a)^n k(z), \quad \text{so that} \quad k(a) = \frac{g^{(n)}(a)}{n!} \neq 0,
\]
then
\[
\text{Res}\_{z=a} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \left. \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left( \frac{f(z)}{k(z)} \right) \right|_{z=a}.
\]
(7.45)
The proof of the latter formula is left as an exercise for the reader.
Example 7.23. As an illustration, let us compute the residue of \( \sec z = 1/\cos z \) at the point \( z = \frac{1}{2} \pi \). Note that \( \cos z \) has a simple zero at \( z = \frac{1}{2} \pi \) since its derivative, namely \( -\sin z \), is nonzero there. Thus, according to (7.44) with \( f(z) \equiv 1 \),

\[
\text{Res}_{z=\pi/2} \sec z = \text{Res}_{z=\pi/2} \frac{1}{\cos z} = \frac{-1}{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi} = -1.
\]

The direct computation of the residue using the defining contour integral (7.39) is considerably harder.

Residues are the building blocks of a general method for computing contour integrals of analytic functions. The Residue Theorem says that the value of the integral of a complex function around a closed curve depends only on its residues at the enclosed singularities. Since the residues can be computed directly from the function, the resulting formula provides an effective mechanism for painless evaluation of complex integrals, that completely avoids the anti-derivative. Indeed, the calculus of residues continues to be effective even when the integrand does not have an anti-derivative that can be expressed in terms of elementary functions.

Theorem 7.24. Let \( C \) be a simple, closed curve, oriented in the counter-clockwise direction. Suppose \( f(z) \) is analytic everywhere inside \( C \) except at a finite number of poles at the points \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) \, dz = \text{Res}_{z=a_1} f(z) + \cdots + \text{Res}_{z=a_n} f(z). \tag{7.46}
\]

Keep in mind that only the poles that lie inside the contour \( C \) contribute to the residue formula (7.46). Moreover, these are the only singularities inside \( C \); no branch points or essential singularities are allowed.

Proof: We draw a small circle \( C_i \) around each singularity \( a_i \). We assume the circles all lie inside the contour \( C \) and do not cross each other, so that \( a_i \) is the only singularity contained within \( C_i \); see Figure 40. Definition 7.20 implies that

\[
\text{Res}_{z=a_i} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_i} f(z) \, dz, \tag{7.47}
\]

where the line integral is taken in the counter-clockwise direction around \( C_i \).

Consider the domain \( \Omega \) consisting of all points \( z \) which lie inside the given curve \( C \), but outside all the small circles \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \); this is the shaded region in Figure 40. By our construction, the function \( f(z) \) is analytic on \( \Omega \), and hence by Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, the integral of \( f(z) \) around the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) is zero. The boundary \( \partial \Omega \) must be oriented consistently, so that the domain is always lying on one’s left hand side. This means that the outside contour \( C \) should be traversed in a counter-clockwise direction, whereas the inside circles \( C_i \) are taken in a clockwise direction. Therefore, the integral around the
boundary of the domain $\Omega$ can be broken up into a difference

$$
0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \Omega} f(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} f(z) \, dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_i} f(z) \, dz
\quad = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} f(z) \, dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Res}_{z=a_i} f(z).
$$

The minus sign converts the circular integrals to the counterclockwise orientation used in the definition (7.47) of the residues. Rearranging the final identity leads to the residue formula (7.46).

Q.E.D.

**Example 7.25.** Let us use residues to evaluate the contour integral

$$
\oint_{C_r} \frac{e^z}{z^2 - 2z - 3} \, dz
$$

where $C_r$ denotes the circle of radius $r$ centered at the origin. According to Example 7.22, the integrand has two singularities at $-1$ and $3$, with respective residues $-1/(4e)$ and $e^3/4$. If the radius of the circle is $r > 3$, then it goes around both singularities, and hence by the residue formula (7.46)

$$
\oint_{C} \frac{e^z \, dz}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = 2\pi i \left( -\frac{1}{4e} + \frac{e^3}{4} \right) = \frac{(e^4 - 1)\pi i}{2e}, \quad r > 3.
$$

If the circle has radius $1 < r < 3$, then it only encircles the singularity at $-1$, and hence

$$
\oint_{C} \frac{e^z \, dz}{z^2 - 2z - 3} = -\frac{\pi i}{2e}, \quad 1 < r < 3.
$$
If $0 < r < 1$, the function has no singularities inside the circle and hence, by Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, the integral is 0. Finally, when $r = 1$ or $r = 3$, the contour passes through a singularity, and the integral does not converge.

Example 7.26. A bit more challenging is the problem of evaluating

$$ I = \oint_{C_r} \sqrt{\frac{z-1}{z+1}} \, dz $$

where $C_r$ denotes the circle of radius $r > 1$ centered at the origin. Inside the circle, the integrand has two branch points at $z = \pm 1$, and hence the Residue Theorem 7.24 cannot be used. However, replacing $z$ by $w = 1/z$, we can convert $I$ into a contour integral that can be evaluated. By the usual change of variables formula for integrals,

$$ I = \oint_{C_{1/r}} \sqrt{\frac{1-w}{1+w}} \, \frac{dw}{w^2}, \tag{7.48} $$

where the latter integral is over a circle of radius $1/r < 1$. (The reader may initially think we have forgotten the minus sign coming from the change of variables formula $dz = -dw/w^2$. However, while the change of variables maps the circle of radius $r$ to the circle of radius $1/r$, it reverses its orientation, so that the image circle $C_{1/r}$ is traversed in the clockwise direction. However, to apply the Residue Theorem, the contour must be oriented correctly, and so we need to switch the orientation of the image circle, thereby producing a second minus sign that cancels the first one, thus establishing the validity of formula (7.48).)

Moreover, the integrand in (7.48) is clearly analytic inside the circle $C_{1/r}$, except for a pole at the origin $w = 0$, since its branch points are at $w = \pm 1$ which lie outside the contour. (Incidentally, this also proves that the original integrand is analytic as long as one stays away from the “branch cut” consisting of the interval $[-1, 1]$. Indeed, as one encircles the two singularities, the two ambiguities in the square roots of the numerator and denominator cancel each other out, and hence the function $\sqrt{(z-1)/(z+1)}$ is single valued on the domain $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$, modulo an additive integer multiple of $2\pi i$, which does not affect the overall value of the integral.) To evaluate (7.48), we thus only have to calculate the residue at the pole $w = 0$, which can be done by a straightforward Taylor expansion:

$$ \frac{1}{w^2} \sqrt{\frac{1-w}{1+w}} = \frac{1}{w^2} \left( 1 - w + \frac{1}{2} w^2 - \cdots \right) = \frac{1}{w^2} - \frac{1}{w} + \cdots, $$

where the omitted terms represent a function that is analytic at the origin. Thus,

$$ \text{Res}_{w=0} \frac{1}{w^2} \sqrt{\frac{1-w}{1+w}} = -1, $$

and hence, applying the Residue Formula (7.46) to (7.48), we deduce that

$$ I = 2\pi i \text{Res}_{w=0} \frac{1}{w^2} \sqrt{\frac{1-w}{1+w}} = -2\pi i. $$
By Cauchy’s Theorem 7.3, the same value is attained for any simple closed contour encircling the branch points $z = \pm 1$.

Remark: This calculation based on the change of variables $w = 1/z$ is often viewed as calculating the residue at $z = \infty$ of a function $f(z)$ (in this case the original integrand), thus allowing one to evaluate contour integrals by summing the residues at the poles exterior to the contour, including $z = \infty$, assuming either the function is analytic or has a pole there, which can be checked by looking at the behavior of the transformed function $f(1/w)/w^2$ at $w = 0$.

**Evaluation of Real Integrals**

One important — and unexpected — application of the Residue Theorem 7.24 is to aid in the evaluation of certain definite real integrals. Of particular note is that it even applies to cases in which one is unable to evaluate the corresponding indefinite integral in closed form. Nevertheless, converting the definite real integral into (part of a) complex contour integral leads to a direct evaluation via the calculus of residues that sidesteps the difficulties in finding the antiderivative.

The method treats two basic types of real integral, although numerous variations appear in more extensive treatments of the subject. The first category are real trigonometric integrals of the form

$$I = \int_0^{2\pi} F(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \, d\theta. \quad (7.49)$$

Such integrals can often be evaluated by converting them into complex integrals around the unit circle $C = \{ |z| = 1 \}$. If we set

$$z = e^{i \theta} \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{1}{z} = e^{-i \theta},$$

then

$$\cos \theta = \frac{e^{i \theta} + e^{-i \theta}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad \text{sin} \theta = \frac{e^{i \theta} - e^{-i \theta}}{2i} = \frac{1}{2i} \left( z - \frac{1}{z} \right). \quad (7.50)$$

Moreover,

$$dz = de^{i \theta} = i e^{i \theta} \, d\theta = iz \, d\theta, \quad \text{and so} \quad d\theta = \frac{dz}{iz}. \quad (7.51)$$

Therefore, the integral (7.49) can be written in the complex form

$$I = \oint_C F \left( \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2}, \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2i} \right) \frac{dz}{iz}. \quad (7.52)$$

If we know that the resulting complex integrand is well-defined and single-valued, except, possibly, for a finite number of singularities inside the unit circle, then the residue formula (7.46) tells us that the integral can be directly evaluated by adding together its residues and multiplying by $2\pi i$. 
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**Example 7.27.** We compute the relatively simple example
\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2 + \cos \theta}. \]
Applying the substitution (7.52), we find
\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2 + \cos \theta} = \int_C \frac{dz}{iz \left[ 2 + \frac{1}{2} (z + z^{-1}) \right]} = -i \int_C \frac{2dz}{z^2 + 4z + 1}. \]
The complex integrand has singularities where its denominator vanishes:
\[ z^2 + 4z + 1 = 0, \quad \text{so that} \quad z = -2 \pm \sqrt{3}. \]
Only one of these singularities, namely \(-2 + \sqrt{3}\) lies inside the unit circle. Therefore, applying (7.44), we find
\[ -i \int_C \frac{2dz}{z^2 + 4z + 1} = 2\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z = -2 + \sqrt{3}} \frac{2}{z^2 + 4z + 1} \bigg|_{z = -2 + \sqrt{3}} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}}. \]
As you may recall from first year calculus, this particular integral can, in fact, be computed directly via a trigonometric substitution. However, the required integration is not particularly pleasant, and, with a little practice, the residue method is seen to be an easier approach. Moreover, it straightforwardly applies to situations where no elementary anti-derivative exists.

**Example 7.28.** The goal is to evaluate the definite integral
\[ \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{3 - \cos \theta} d\theta. \]
The first thing to note is that the integral only runs from 0 to \(\pi\) and so is not explicitly of the form (7.49). However, note that the integrand is even, and so
\[ \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{3 - \cos \theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{3 - \cos \theta} d\theta, \]
which will turn into a contour integral around the entire unit circle under the substitution (7.50). Also note that
\[ \cos 2\theta = \frac{e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right), \]
and so
\[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{3 - \cos \theta} d\theta = \int_C \frac{\frac{1}{2} (z^2 + z^{-2})}{3 - \frac{1}{2} (z + z^{-1})} \frac{dz}{iz} = i \int_C \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2 (z^2 - 6z + 1)} dz. \]
The denominator has 4 roots, at 0, $3 - 2\sqrt{2}$, and $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, but the last one does not lie inside the unit circle and so can be ignored. We use (7.44) with $f(z) = (z^4 + 1)/z^2$ and $g(z) = z^2 - 6z + 1$ to compute

$$\text{Res}_{z=3-2\sqrt{2}} \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2(z^2 - 6z + 1)} = \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2(2z - 6)} \bigg|_{z=3-2\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{17}{4}\sqrt{2},$$

whereas (7.45) is used to compute

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2(z^2 - 6z + 1)} = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2 - 6z + 1} \right) \bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{2(z^5 - 9z^4 + 2z^3 - z + 3)}{(z^2 - 6z + 1)^2} \bigg|_{z=0} = 6.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{3 - \cos \theta} \, d\theta = -\pi \left[ \text{Res}_{z=0} \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2(z^2 - 6z + 1)} + \text{Res}_{z=3-2\sqrt{2}} \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2(z^2 - 6z + 1)} \right]
= \left( \frac{17\sqrt{2} - 24}{4} \right) \pi \approx 0.032697.$$

A second type of real integral that can often be evaluated by complex residues are integrals over the entire real line, from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. Here the technique is a little more subtle, and we sneak up on the integral by using larger and larger closed contours that include more and more of the real axis. The basic idea is contained in the following example.

**Example 7.29.** The problem is to evaluate the real integral

$$I = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{1 + x^2} \, dx. \quad (7.53)$$

The corresponding indefinite integral cannot be evaluated in elementary terms, and so we are forced to rely on the calculus of residues. We begin by noting that the integrand is
even, and hence the integral \( I = \frac{1}{2} J \) is one half the integral

\[
J = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{1 + x^2} \, dx
\]

over the entire real line. Moreover, for \( x \) real, we can write

\[
\frac{\cos x}{1 + x^2} = \text{Re} \left( e^{ix}/(1 + x^2) \right), \quad \text{and hence} \quad J = \text{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{1 + x^2} \, dx. \quad (7.54)
\]

Let \( C_R \) be the closed contour consisting of a large semicircle of radius \( R \gg 0 \), which we denote by \( S_R \), connected at its ends by the real interval \(-R \leq x \leq R\), as plotted in Figure 41, and having the usual counterclockwise orientation. The corresponding contour integral

\[
\oint_{C_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \, dz = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{e^{ix}}{1 + x^2} \, dx + \int_{S_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \, dz \quad (7.55)
\]

breaks up into two pieces: the first over the real interval, and the second over the semicircle. As the radius \( R \to \infty \), the semicircular contour \( C_R \) includes more and more of the real axis, and so the first integral gets closer and closer to our desired integral (7.54). If we can prove that the second, semicircular integral goes to zero, then we will be able to evaluate the integral over the real axis by contour integration, and hence by the method of residues. Our hope that the semicircular integral is small seems reasonable, since the integrand \((1 + z^2)^{-1} e^{iz}\) gets smaller and smaller as \( |z| \to \infty \) provided \( \text{Im} \, z \geq 0 \). (Why?) A rigorous verification of this fact will appear at the end of the example.

According to the Residue Theorem 7.24, the integral (7.55) is equal to the sum of all the residues at the singularities of \( f(z) \) lying inside the contour \( C_R \). Now \( e^z \) is analytic everywhere, and so the singularities occur where the denominator vanishes, i.e., \( z^2 = -1 \), and so are at \( z = \pm i \). Since the semicircle lies in the upper half plane \( \text{Im} \, z > 0 \), only the singularity \( z = +i \) lies inside — and then only when \( R > 1 \). To compute the residue, we use (7.44) to evaluate

\[
\text{Res}_{z=i} \left( \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \right) = \left. \frac{e^{iz}}{2z} \right|_{z=i} = \frac{e^{-1}}{2i} = \frac{1}{2i e}.
\]

Therefore, by (7.46),

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \, dz = \frac{1}{2i e}, \quad \text{provided} \quad R > 1.
\]

Thus, assuming the semicircular part of the integral does indeed become vanishingly small as \( R \to \infty \), we conclude that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{1 + x^2} \, dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \oint_{C_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \, dz = 2\pi i \left( \frac{1}{2i e} \right) = \frac{\pi}{e}.
\]

Incidentally, the integral is real because its imaginary part,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{1 + x^2} \, dx = 0,
\]

10/9/20 83 © 2020 Peter J. Olver
is the integral of an odd function which is automatically zero. Consequently,
\[ I = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x \, dx}{1 + x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \, \text{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix} \, dx}{1 + x^2} = \frac{\pi}{2e}, \tag{7.56} \]
which is the desired result.

To complete the argument, let us estimate the size of the semicircular integral. Since
\[ |1 + z^2| \geq |z|^2 - 1 > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |z| > 1, \]
while
\[ |e^{iz}| = e^{-y} \leq 1 \quad \text{whenever} \quad z = x + iy \quad \text{with} \quad y \geq 0, \]
the integrand is bounded by
\[ \left| \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + z^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{R^2 - 1} \quad \text{provided} \quad |z| = R > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im} \, z \geq 0. \]
According to Corollary 7.11, the size of the integral of a complex function is bounded by its maximum modulus along the curve times the length of the semicircle, namely \( \pi R \). Thus, in our case,
\[ \left| \int_{C_R} e^{iz} \, dz \right| \leq \frac{\pi R}{R^2 - 1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad 1 < R \rightarrow \infty, \]
as required.

**Example 7.30.** Here we will use residues to evaluate the real integral
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1 + x^4}. \tag{7.57} \]
The indefinite integral can, in fact, be found by the method of partial fractions, but, as you may know, this is not a particularly pleasant task. Let us try the method of residues. Let \( C_R \) denote the same semicircular contour as in Figure 41. The integrand has pole singularities where the denominator vanishes, i.e., \( z^4 = -1 \), and so at the four fourth roots of \(-1\). These are
\[ e^{\pi i/4} = \frac{1 + i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad e^{3\pi i/4} = \frac{-1 + i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad e^{5\pi i/4} = \frac{1 - i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad e^{7\pi i/4} = \frac{-1 - i}{\sqrt{2}}. \]
Only the first two roots lie inside \( C_R \) when \( R > 1 \). Their residues can be computed using (7.44):
\[ \text{Res}_{z=e^{\pi i/4}} \frac{1}{1 + z^4} = \frac{1}{4z^3} \bigg|_{z=e^{\pi i/4}} = \frac{e^{-3\pi i/4}}{4} = \frac{-1 - i}{4\sqrt{2}}, \]
\[ \text{Res}_{z=e^{3\pi i/4}} \frac{1}{1 + z^4} = \frac{1}{4z^3} \bigg|_{z=e^{3\pi i/4}} = \frac{e^{-9\pi i/4}}{4} = \frac{1 - i}{4\sqrt{2}}. \]
Therefore, by the residue formula (7.46),
\[ \oint_{C_R} \frac{dz}{1 + z^4} = 2\pi i \left( \frac{-1 - i}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1 - i}{4\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{7.58} \]
On the other hand, we can break up the contour integral into an integral along the real axis and an integral around the semicircle:

\[
\oint_{C_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{dx}{1+x^4} + \int_{S_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4}.
\]

The first integral goes to the desired real integral as the radius \( R \to \infty \). On the other hand, on a large semicircle \( |z| = R \), the integrand is small:

\[
\left| \frac{1}{1+z^4} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|^4-1} = \frac{1}{R^4-1} \quad \text{when} \quad |z| = R > 1.
\]

Thus, using Corollary 7.11, the integral around the semicircle can be bounded by

\[
\left| \int_{S_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} \right| \leq \frac{\pi R}{R^4-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad R \to \infty.
\]

Thus, the complex integral (7.58) converges to the desired real integral (7.57) as \( R \to \infty \), and so

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^4} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}.
\]

Note that the result is real and positive, as it must be.
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