Invariant Theory (Humphreys Chap. 3)  
His a classical topic.  
We'll focus on finite groups 
$$G = GL(C) = GL(V)$$
  
 $V = C^{n}$   
C-basis e.,-,en

as they act on 
$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \underline{x}_n] = : \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$$
 polynomial

Via linear substitutions: for  $g\in G$ ,  $g(f(x)) := f(\tilde{g}'x)$ . Want to study, describe the *G*-invariant subring  $\mathbb{C}[x]^G := \{f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]\} : g(f(x)) = f(x) \forall g \in G\}$ 

shall  
proof: 
$$\mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{C}[x]_{d} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]_{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]_{2} \oplus \dots$$
  
 $U$   
 $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}_{n}} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]_{d}$   
 $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbb{C}_{n}} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]_{d}$   
 $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbb{C}_{n} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]_{d}$   
 $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbb$ 

(2) Similarly, not too hard to show  

$$G(d,1,n) = \{n_{xx}, monomial \\ metrics with nonzero entries in 11\} \subset GLn(C)$$
  
 $dels' fde2 (d,1,n) = C[x]G(d,1,n) = C[e_1(x_1, -x_n), g(x_1, -x_n)] = C[e_1(x_1, -x_n)$ 

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} e_{2} \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(3) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$(4) G = \left\langle e_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

**PROPOSITION:** For any finite group  $G \in GL_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[x]^{G}$  is at least finitely general as an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ by any  $f_{1}, f_{2}, \dots, f_{m}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x]^{G}$  generating the Hilbert ideal  $I := (\mathbb{C}[x]^{G}_{+}) \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$  $\mathbb{C}[x]^{G}_{+} \otimes \mathbb{C}[x]^{G}_{+} \otimes \dots$ 

The proof is easy, and uses an important recurring idea ve've seen: averaging over G DEF: N: In any finite-dimit G-repin U over C, the averageng/Reynolds operator  $\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{T_{G}} \mathcal{U}$   $u \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{G}(u) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(u)$ is an idempotent projection onto the G-fixed  $\mathcal{U}_{g}^{G}$  $\mathcal{T}_{G}^{2} = \mathcal{T}_{G}$ 

It still makes sense acting  $\mathbb{C}[x] \xrightarrow{T_G} \mathbb{C}[x]$ (since each O(x) is finitie-dimil), and it is C(x) - linear there: if fec(x), hec(x) then  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(fh) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(f) \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(h) = f \cdot \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(h)$ .

pool of PROP: Show every homogoneous f & C[x]G lies nothe C-subalgebra genid by f1,\_,fm via induction on d.

BASE CASE doo. Then  $\mathbb{C}[\underline{\times}]_{0}^{G} = \mathbb{C}$ , so done.

INDUCTIVE STEP 
$$d \ge 1$$
.  
Since  $f \in C[\ge]_{d}^{G} \subset I = (C[\ge]_{d}^{G}) = (f_{1}, f_{2}, ..., f_{m})$   
can write  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i} h_{i}$  where  $h_{i} \in C[\ge]_{d-deg}(f_{i})$   
 $\begin{cases} apply T_{G} \\ f = T_{G}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_{C}(f_{i}h_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i} T_{G}(h_{i})$   
lies in  
 $C[\ge]_{d-deg}(f_{i}),$   
so already in  
the subalgebra genid  
by reduction  
 $= f$  lies in this subalgebra.

PROPOSITION: The number not Galgebra generators fr, fin for Cl×JG satisfies M≥n, with equality ⇐> they're alg. independent, so C(×JG ≅ C(fr, -, fn) a polynomial ring. Toprore this, either one uses ring theory of Kull dimension and integral ingertensions, or some field theory ideas...

{fi,\_,fin} generating C[z]G as a C-algebra => Frac(([x]G) = C(f1,f2,-,fn) as a field extension /C C field of fractions := { p(x) : p, q f ((x)<sup>6</sup>, q=0) But the inclusion  $\operatorname{Trac}(\mathbb{C}[x]^G) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\underline{x})^G \operatorname{Trg}(q(\underline{x}))$ is actually an equality: given  $\frac{p(\underline{x})}{q(\underline{x})} \in \mathbb{O}(\underline{x})^G$ , rewrite it as  $\frac{p(\underline{x}) \cdot qeG}{q\neq 1}$  $q(\underline{y}) \cdot \operatorname{Trg}(q(\underline{x}))$  $\neq q(x) \cdot \prod_{g \in G} q(x)$ denominator is G-invoriant, hence so is  $\in \operatorname{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[x]^G)$ numerator Also the field extension  $C(x)^{6} \subset C(x)$  is a finite algebraic Galois extension vith Galois group Gr (by Galois Theory). Hence we have  $\mathbb{C}(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{C}(\underline{x}_{1,\dots,n}, \underline{x}_{n})$  $\rightarrow$  +  $i_{1}, ..., f_{m}$ contins a algebraic omscendence. busis for Q(x) over C, somen  $\mathbb{C}(\underline{x})^{\mathsf{Si}} = \mathbb{C}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathsf{N}}, \mathsf{L}, \mathsf{f}_{\mathsf{N}})$ and equality => alg. independence

One reason Shephard & Todd produced their 1955  
classification of complex refin groups 
$$G \subset GL(V)$$
,  $V = C^n$   
acting inveducitly (=  $G(d,e,n) + 34 e \times ceptronal groups$ )  
was to prove the backward mydication here.

(Shephind-Todd/Chamlley)  
THEOREM: A finite subgroup 
$$G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$
 has  
 $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^G = \mathbb{C}[f_1, f_n]$  a polynomial ring  
 $\iff G$  is a complex refin group

The refin ideas of (i) =>(ii) are in the prot  
of that Humphreys calls...  
THE KEY LEMMA:  
Assume 
$$f_{1}h_{1}+...+f_{1}h_{1}h_{2}=0$$
 for some  $f_{i}\in C[x]^{G_{1}}$   
 $h_{i}\in C[x]$   
all homogeneous, and  $f_{i}\notin (f_{2},...,f_{m}) C[x]^{G_{1}}$ .  
Then  $h_{i}\in I:= (C[x]_{+}^{G_{1}}) C[x] = the Hilbert ideal.proof: Induct on deg(he).These  $h_{i}\in I:= (C[x]_{+}^{G_{1}}) C[x] = the Hilbert ideal.$   
 $proof: Induct on deg(he).$   
That  $f_{i}=-c^{i}(f_{2}h_{2}+...+f_{in}h_{m})$   
 $iso f_{i}=-c^{i}(f_{2}h_{2}+...+f_{in}h_{m})$   
 $f_{i}=\pi^{G}(f_{i})=-c^{i}(f_{1}\pi_{c}(h_{2})+...+f_{in}\pi_{G}(h_{m})) \in (f_{2},..,f_{m}) C[x]^{G_{1}}$   
(NDUCENCE STEP: deg(h_{i}) \ge 1.  
We make use of the apportant BGG operators  
for each reflection se Gi, with reflecting  
hyperplane  $H = \ker I_{H}(x)$  for some  $I_{H}(x)=c_{i}x_{i}-a_{i}x_{i}$ .  
 $CLAIM: Every h(x) \in C[x]$   
has  $h-s(h)$  vanishing on  $H$ ,  
and hence  $I_{H}$  divides  $h-s(h)$  in  $C[x]:$$ 

¥ veft one has (h-s(h))(v) = h(v) - h(s'v) = h(v) - h(v) = 0.  
The divisibility is easier to see if one  
changes basis X<sub>1</sub>, -, X<sub>n</sub> in V\* so that lu(x) = X<sub>1</sub>  
i.e H = { X<sub>1</sub>=0} and s = 
$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{1} & 0\\ 0 & \ddots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Tor any f(x) ∈ C(x] vanishing on H= {X<sub>1</sub>=0},  
then writing f(x) = X<sub>1</sub>f(x) + f(X\_2, X\_3, -, X<sub>n</sub>)  
shows f(X\_3, -, X<sub>n</sub>) vanishes ¥ X<sub>2</sub>, -, X<sub>n</sub> ∈ C<sup>n-1</sup> = f=0.  
The BGG operator for refine s is  
C(x) =  $\frac{X_3}{R_1(x)}$  and note it } lowers degree by 1  
is C(x) = -linear  
EXAMPLE G= G\_3 acting on C(X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>)  
and s = (12) has  
A<sub>1</sub>(X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>) =  $\frac{X_1^3 X_2^3 X_3^5}{X_1 - X_2} = \frac{X_1^3 X_2^3 X_3^5 - X_1^3 X_3^2 X_3^5}{X_1 - X_2}$ 

Now in the inductive step where 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i h_i = 0$$
 with deg[h]zi,  
apply  $\Delta_s$  to conclude  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i \Delta_s[h_i] = 0$ ,  
and hence  $\Delta_s(h_g) \in I = (C[x_1]^2)_{C[x_1]}$  by induction.  
 $h_g - s(h_g)$  If  $h_i - s(h_i) \in l_g \cdot I = I$  therefore see  
 $h_i = s(h_i)$  in  $C[x_1]/I$  therefore see  
 $h_i = g(h_g)$  in  $C[x_1]/I$  therefore see  
 $h_i = g(h_g)$  in  $C[x_1]/I$  therefore see  
 $h_i = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(h_g)$  in  $C[x_1]/I$   
 $h_i \in I$  If  $h_i \in I$  If If I If  $h_i \in I$  If

REMARK: Once one has this ker LEMMA, one can pretty quickly show that any h1,--,hm lifting a O-basis for O[x]/I give a free basis for O[x] as a free O[x]<sup>G</sup>-module; see Springer's lem 4.2.8

This implication.  
(ii) 
$$C[x]$$
 is a free  $C[x]^{G}$ -module with finite basis.  
(iii)  $C[x]^{G} = C[f_{1,...,f_{n}}]$  for alg. indep. homogeneous  
is a case of a purely comm. alg. statement:  
comm. ALG. LEMMA: (Sprager 4.2.10)  
Whenever  $C[x_{1,...,x_{n}}]$  is a free R-module of finite rank  
over some C-subalgebra  $R \subset C[x]$ , then  
 $R = C[f_{1,...,f_{n}}]$  for alg. indep. homog. fi...fn.  
The proof is elementary, but rather bicky,  
and at some point calls on a cute leasy) fact:  
EULER'S (EMMA:  
If  $h(x) \in C[x]$  is homog. of degree d,  
then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = d \cdot h(x)$   
proof: (heck it when  $h(x) = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n$  is a monomial.  
Remark: The map  $C[x] \longrightarrow C[x]$   
 $h(x) \mapsto C[x] \longrightarrow C[x]$   
is ometimes called the future demotion.

The implication.  
(ii) 
$$C[x]^G = C[f_{1,...,f_n}]$$
 for alg. indep. homogeneous  
is rather fun, and starts like this...  
Assuming  $C[x]^G = C[f_{1,...,f_n}]$  for alg. indep. homog. fi  
varie their degrees  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq ... \leq d_n$   
Let  $\hat{G}_1 :=$  the subgroup of GI genid by all refins in  $G_1$   
so  $\hat{G}_1$  is a complex refin group, and by  $(i) = p(i) = p(ii)$   
already proven,  $C[x]^G = C[\hat{f}_{1,...,f_n}]$  for alg. indep. homog. fi  
whose degrees we name  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq ... \leq d_n$ .  
PROP: In this setting,  $d_1 \leq d_1$ ,  $d_2 \leq d_2 \leq ... \leq d_n$ .  
proof: One has  $C[x]^G = C[x]^G$   
 $C[f_{1,...,f_n}]$   $C[\hat{f}_{1,...,f_n}]$   
so  $\exists (unique)$  provenials  $F_{1,...,f_n} = C[T_{1,...,T_n}]$   
expressing  $f_1 = P_1(\hat{f}_{1,...,f_n})$  for  $l=1,2,...,n$ ,  
and whenever the variable  $T_j$  appears in  $F_2(T_{1,...,T_n})$ 

this means  $d_{j} \ge \hat{d}_{j}$ .

Note that for each 
$$i=1,2,...,n$$
, one cannot  
have  $\{f_{n}, f_{2}, ..., f_{i}\} \subset \mathbb{C}[\hat{f}_{n}, \hat{f}_{2}, ..., \hat{f}_{i-1}]$   
else  $\{f_{n}, f_{2}, ..., f_{i}\}$  could not be alg. indep.  
Hence  $\exists$  some  $f_{n}$  with  $l \leq i$   
whose  $F_{n}$  has one of  $T_{i}, T_{i}n, ..., T_{n}$  appearing,  
say  $T_{j}$  with  $j \geq i$ .  
This means  $d_{i} \geq d_{i} \geq \hat{d}_{j} \geq \hat{d}_{i}$ 

We'll then finish it off with an easy consequence of  
Molien's Theorem (proven below):  
(st numerology) For a complex refingroup GI,  
(proposition) For a complex refingroup GI,  
with 
$$C[x]^G = C[f_{1,2}, f_n]$$
 homog. of degrees  $d_{1,2}, d_n$   
one has (a)  $|G| = d_1 d_2 - d_n$   
(b) # refins in  $G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - i)$ 

EXAMPLE  
Recall 
$$\mathbb{O}[\underline{x}]^{G(d,1,n)} = \mathbb{O}[e_1(\underline{x}^d), e_2(\underline{x}^d), \dots, e_n(\underline{x}^d)]$$
  
degrees:  $d ed \dots nd$   
 $\overset{"}{d_1} \leq \overset{"}{d_2} \leq \dots \leq \overset{"}{d_n}$   
Note  $[G(d,1,n)] = d^n \cdot n! = d \cdot 2d \cdot 3d \dots nd \sqrt{d_n}$   
therefore nonzero  $d_1^n$  (choose the permutation "shepe"  
eddies in  $d_1^n$  (choose the permutation "shepe"  
eddies in  $d_1^n$   $\overset{GO}{=}_{g\neq 1} : ge^{d_1^n} \int + \# \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & g^n \\ g \in g^n \end{bmatrix} : ge^{d_1^n} \right\}$   
 $= (d-1) \cdot n + {n \choose 2} d = {n+1 \choose 2} d - n$   
 $= (d-1) + (2d-1) + \dots + (nd-1) \sqrt{d_n^n}$ 

Why does this finish off 
$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$$
?  
Recall we want to show the inclusion  $\hat{G} \leq G$  is quality.  
Note  $G, \hat{G}$  have the same set of refins,  
so part (b) and  $\hat{d}_i \leq d_i \Rightarrow \hat{d}_i = d_i \forall i$ .  
Then part (a)  $\Rightarrow |\hat{G}| = |G|$ ,  
so  $G = \hat{G}$ , a refin group  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\frac{\text{Molien's THEOREM (1897)}}{\text{numerical group of GLn(C)}} = \frac{\text{numerical group of GLn(C)}}{\text{numerical group of GLn(C)}}$$
  
acting on  $\mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{C}[x_{1,3}, ..., x_n]$  as before,  
the invariant ing  $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$  has Hilbert series  
Hilb( $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ , q)  $\stackrel{\text{DEF'N}}{=} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d dm(\mathbb{C}[x]^G)$   
computable by another average over G:  
Hilb( $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ , q) =  $\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det[(I_n - q; q)]}$   
EXAMPLE:  $G = G_2$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1x_3]$   
has  $\mathbb{C}[x_1x_3]^{G_2} = \mathbb{C}[e_1,e_3]$   
=> Hilb( $\mathbb{C}[x]^{G_2}$ , q) =  $(1+q^1+g^2+\dots)(1+g^2+(g^2)^2+\dots) = (\frac{1}{(1-g^2)(1-g^2)})$   
while Molien says  
Hilb( $\mathbb{C}[x]^{G_2}$ , q) =  $\frac{1}{2!} \left[ \frac{1}{\det[\frac{1}{3} - g[\frac{1}{3}]} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-g^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(1-g^2)} \right]$   
=  $\frac{1}{2[\frac{1}{\det[\frac{1}{3} + g^2]} + \frac{1}{\det[\frac{1}{3} + g^2]} \right] = \frac{1}{2[\frac{1}{(1-g^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(1-g^2)}]}$ 

proof of Malien's Thm:  
Let's interpret each term det 
$$(I_n - g \cdot g)$$
 as a graded tare.  
Change the C-basis  $\chi_{1,3-,\chi_n}$  for  $V^*$  as  $g$  acts  
triangularly with eigenvalues  $n_{1,3-,\chi_n}$ :  
 $g = \prod_{k=1}^{\chi_1} \prod_{k=1}^{\chi_2} \prod_{k=1}^{\chi_1} \prod_{k=1}^{\chi_2} \prod_{k=1}^{\chi_2}$ 

Now recall ...  
Exercise: For any fin. dim'l G-repin U  
one has dim 
$$(U^G) = Trace (U - T_G M)$$
,  
because  $T_G^2 = T_G$  shows  $U = Tm(T_G) \oplus ker(T_G)$   
i.e.  $T_G = u^G \left( \begin{array}{c} u^G & ker(T_G) \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{1-eigenspace} & 0-eigenspace$   
i.e.  $T_G = u^G \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{1-eigenspace} & 0-eigenspace$   
Hence  $Hilb((Ux)^G, g) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} g^d \cdot kin_C (Ux)_d^G$   
 $= \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} g^d \cdot Trace (Ux)_d - C(x)_d$   
 $Trace(T_G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \int_{d=0}^{\infty} f^A \cdot Trace (Ux)_d - C(x)_d$   
 $= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \int_{d=0}^{\infty} det(T_n g, g)$ 

Now let's use it to prove ... (proposition) For a complex refingroup GI, with  $C[x]^G = C[f_1, f_n]$  homog. of degrees  $d_1, d_n$ one has (a)  $|G| = d_1 d_2 - - d_n$ (b)  $\# refins in G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - i)$ proof: Compare 2 expressions for Hilb ( []x], g):  $\frac{1}{\left[G\right]} \underbrace{\sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det(I_n - q, q)}}_{from Molien} = \underbrace{\left(I - q^{d_1}\right) \cdots \left(I - q^{d_n}\right)}_{from C[x]^G = C[f_1, -f_n]}$  $= \frac{1}{164} \frac{1}{966} \frac{1}{(1-9\lambda_1(9))\cdots(1-9\lambda_n(9))}$ if g has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(g), ..., \lambda_n(g)$  $= \frac{1}{|G|} \left( \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} + \frac{\sum}{\operatorname{refins}} \frac{1}{(1-q)^{n-1}(1-q)^{n-1}(1-q)^{n-2}} \right)$ seg where flg) has no pole at g=1. 3 muit. bothsides by (⊢g)<sup>n</sup>

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \in I \text{ ins } i - q \\ s \in G}} \frac{1 - q}{1 - q} \cdot det(s) + (t - q)^2 f(q_i) \right)_{i=1}^{(k)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1 \\ i - q}}^{i - q} \frac{1 - q}{1 - q} \cdot det(s)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1 \\ i - q}}^{i - q} \frac{1 - q}{1 - q} \cdot det(s)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1 \\ i=1$$

Since 
$$|G| = d_1 d_2 - d_n$$
, this means  

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\refns}} \frac{1}{1-det(s)} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n} \frac{d_i-1}{2}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n} \frac{d_i}{2} = \frac{1-det(s)}{1-det(s)} + \frac{1-det(s)}{1-det(s)} = \frac{2-(det(s)+det(s'))}{1-(det(s))+det(s')+1} = 1$$

$$\frac{d_i}{det(s')} = \frac{1-det(s')+1-det(s)}{1-(det(s))+det(s')+1} = 1$$

$$\frac{d_i}{det(s')} = \frac{2-(det(s)+det(s'))}{1-(det(s))+det(s')+1} = 1$$

REMARK: The (iii) => (i) proof via Molien & generating functions was all in the Shephards Todd 1955 paper.