



As a  $[32, 6, 16]$  code, its binary rate was only  $\frac{6}{32} \approx \frac{1}{5}$  (so comparable to **5-fold repetition code**), but it could correct up to  $\lfloor \frac{16-1}{2} \rfloor = 7$  errors (much better than  $\lfloor \frac{5-1}{2} \rfloor = 2$  errors for 5-fold repetition code).

$m=1$ :  $\text{RM}(1,1) \stackrel{\text{DEF}}{=} (\mathbb{F}_2)^2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 00, \\ 01, \\ 10, \\ 11 \end{array} \right\}$

with generator matrix  $G(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (not standard form, but that's OK)

$m \geq 2$ : Having defined  $\text{RM}(1, m-1)$  with gen. matrix  $G(m-1)$ ,

$\text{RM}(1, m) \stackrel{\text{DEF}}{=} \left\{ (v, v), (v, [1 \dots 1] + v) : v \in \text{RM}(1, m-1) \right\} \subset (\mathbb{F}_2)^{2^m}$

with gen. matrix

$$G(m) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \overbrace{00 \dots 0}^{2^{m-1}} & \overbrace{11 \dots 1}^{2^{m-1}} \\ \hline G(m-1) & G(m-1) \end{array} \right]$$

# EXAMPLES

$$RM(1,1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{array} \right\} \quad G(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


---

$$RM(1,2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0000 \\ 0101 \\ 1010 \\ 1111 \\ \hline 0011 \\ 0110 \\ 1001 \\ 1100 \end{array} \right\}$$

$\leftarrow (v, v)$  with  $v \in RM(1,1)$   
 $\leftarrow (v, [1, 0] + v)$  with  $v \in RM(1,1)$

$$G(2) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$\leftarrow G(1)$        $\leftarrow G(1)$

---

$RM(1,3)$  has 16 codewords in  $(\mathbb{F}_2)^8$

$$\text{with } G(3) = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$\leftarrow G(2)$        $\leftarrow G(2)$

**PROPOSITION:** The 1<sup>st</sup> order Reed-Muller code  $RM(1, m)$  is an  $[n, k, d]$   $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear code,

$$\begin{matrix} 2^m & m+1 & 2^{m-1} \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \end{matrix}$$

and has every codeword other than

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{0} = [00 \dots 0] \\ \underline{1} = [11 \dots 1] \end{array} \right\} \text{ of weight exactly } 2^{m-1}.$$

**proof:** Prove it all by induction on  $m$ , with base case  $RM(1, 1) = (\mathbb{F}_2)^2$  easy to check.

**Inductive step:**

First check

$$RM(1, m) = \{ (v, v), (v, \underline{1}+v) : v \in RM(1, m-1) \}$$

is a **subspace** inside  $(\mathbb{F}_2)^{2^m}$ :

$$(v, v) + (w, w) = (v+w, v+w) \quad \text{if } v, w \in RM(1, m-1)$$

$$(v, v) + (v, \underline{1}+w) = (v+w, \underline{1}+(v+w))$$

$$(v, v) + (\underline{1}+w, \underline{1}+w) = (\underline{1}+v+w, \underline{1}+v+w)$$

↑ this lies in  $RM(1, m-1)$   
since  $v+w$  and  $\underline{1}$  are in there

(Checking closure under scaling and  $v \mapsto -v$  is automatic over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ !)



## REMARKS

(1) There is a more general family of higher-order Reed-Muller codes  $R(r, m)$  which are  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear  $[n, k, d]$ -codes

$$\text{with } n = 2^m$$

$$d = 2^{m-r}$$

$$k = 1 + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + \binom{m}{r}$$

$$r=1 \quad \leadsto \quad n = 2^m$$

$$\leadsto \quad d = 2^{m-1}$$

$$\leadsto \quad k = 1 + \binom{m}{1} = m+1$$

(2) Reed came up with a decoding algorithm faster than syndrome decoding for  $R(r, m)$ , called majority logic decoding - see Roman § 6.2.