

Q: Why do these symmetric matrices $\{v_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n}$ pairwise commute?

$$\prod_{k=1}^n n! \times n! = \prod_{k=1}^n S_n \times S_n, \quad S_n = \text{symmetric group on } \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$(v_k)_{v, u} := \text{noninv}_k(v \rightarrow u)$$

where $\text{noninv}_k(\omega) := \#\{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n : \omega_{i_1} < \dots < \omega_{i_k}\}$
 "k-noninversions of ω " = #inc. subsequences of length k in ω

= # patterns $12 \dots k$ inside ω
 = $\text{noninv}_k(\omega^{-1})$

THM (THM I.1.1 in Salvia-Welker-R. ~~AMS 228~~ Mem AMS 228)

$$v_i v_j = v_j v_i \quad \forall i, j$$

proof: An unenlightening induction on n \blacksquare

PROBLEM Give an insightful proof.

EXAMPLE: $n=3$

$$v_n = v_3 = \begin{matrix} & 123 & \dots & 321 \\ \begin{matrix} 123 \\ \vdots \\ 321 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \bigcirc \\ & 1 & \\ \bigcirc & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$v_1 = \begin{matrix} & 123 & 132 & & 321 \\ \begin{matrix} 123 \\ 132 \\ \vdots \\ 321 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & \dots & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 3 & \dots & & 3 \end{bmatrix} = n \cdot I_n!$$

$$v_2 = \begin{matrix} & 123 & 132 & 213 & 231 & 312 & 321 \\ \begin{matrix} 123 \\ 132 \\ 213 \\ 231 \\ 312 \\ 321 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

and column row sums constant, so commutes with v_1 .

RMKS: (1) v_k is really expressing

$$\mathbb{Z}S_n \xrightarrow{v_k} \mathbb{Z}S_n$$

group algebra $\left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} c_\sigma \sigma : c_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{noninv}_k(\sigma) \cdot \sigma$$

so its eigenspaces become S_n -representations.

(all ~~in~~ above reference)

② $v_k = b_k b_k^T$ (up to scaling)

where $\{b_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots,n}$ are Markov matrices for some

BHR random walks on S_n , and $\{b_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots,n}$ do commute
 Bidigare-Hemmen-Rodmore (for insightful reasons)

(when $k=2$, $b_2 =$ random-to-top shuffling
 $v_2 =$ random-to-random)

③ $\{v_k\}$ generalize from $S_n = W$ to finite reflection groups $(W$
 and operators v_J for parabolic subgroups $W_J = \langle J \rangle$
 (conj. classes of)

Q: When do v_J, v_J commute?

e.g. In type B_n , $\{v_k\}_{k=1,\dots,n}$ seem to have
 an analogous commuting family

④ Q: Is there a q -analogue of $\{v_k\}$, e.g. in $\mathcal{H}_n(q)$?
 Hecke algebra for S_n