

# Inner products of induced representations

April 3, 2006

Here is some motivation.

In a previous lecture, we found the character table for  $S_4$  by starting with the following characters (corresponding to partitions) and reducing it.

	1, 1, 1, 1	2, 1, 1	2, 2	3, 1	4
$\sigma_4$	1	1	1	1	1
$\sigma_{3,1}$	4	2	0	1	0
$\sigma_{2,2}$	6	2	2	0	0
$\sigma_{2,1,1}$	12	2	0	0	0
$\sigma_{1,1,1,1}$	24	0	0	0	0

We would like to know *why* this works, and in fact why this method works for any symmetric group. We need to show that

- each row contains a new irreducible representation, not contained in any rows above it, and
- this irreducible representation occurs only *once*.

---

First, let's recall what the definition of  $\sigma_\lambda$  was for  $\lambda$  a partition. If  $\lambda$  is a partition  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ , then  $\sigma_\lambda$  was the character of the permutation representation of  $S_n$  acting on the set of all ways to divide  $n$  into sets of size  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ .

This representation can be described another way. Let  $H_\lambda$  be the subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of permutations  $\sigma$  such  $\sigma$  preserves the sets  $\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda_1\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_1 + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}$ ,  $\dots$

For example, if  $n = 4$  and  $\lambda = (2, 2)$ , then  $H_{(2,2)}$  consists of the elements of  $S_4$  that switch the first 2 numbers amongst themselves and switch the last 2 numbers amongst themselves. There are 4 such elements.

$$e, (12), (34), (12)(34)$$

An element of  $H_\lambda$  is determined by

- a permutation of the first  $\lambda_1$  elements, which is an element of  $S_{\lambda_1}$ ,
- a permutation of the next  $\lambda_2$  elements, which is an element of  $S_{\lambda_2}$ ,

- a permutation of the next  $\lambda_3$  elements, which is an element of  $S_{\lambda_3}$ ,
- et cetera.

Therefore, as a group  $H_\lambda \cong S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_r}$ .

Then  $\sigma_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{H_\lambda}^{S_n} 1$ , the representation induced from the trivial character on  $H_\lambda$ .

Let's define another character  $\tau_\lambda$ . The symmetric group  $S_n$  has a *sign* representation  $\rho$ , which is irreducible of dimension 1. Define

$$\tau_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda \otimes \rho.$$

Since tensor product of representations turns into multiplication of characters, we can write down formulas for the character  $\tau_\lambda$  easily. Here they are for  $S_4$ .

	1, 1, 1, 1	2, 1, 1	2, 2	3, 1	4
$\tau_4$	1	-1	1	1	-1
$\tau_{3,1}$	4	-2	0	1	0
$\tau_{2,2}$	6	-2	2	0	0
$\tau_{2,1,1}$	12	-2	0	0	0
$\tau_{1,1,1,1}$	24	0	0	0	0

Another way to describe it (using the projection formula from 7.2, Remark 3) is as follows. Let  $\epsilon$  be the sign representation. Then  $\tau_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{H_\lambda}^{S_n} \epsilon$ , the representation induced from the sign representation on  $H_\lambda$ .

Now we can finally get to the whole point of this exercise. Let's write down a table that shows the inner products of the representations  $\sigma_\lambda$  with the  $\tau_\lambda$ . (Do some of these computations!)

$\langle -, - \rangle$	$\tau_{1,1,1,1}$	$\tau_{2,1,1}$	$\tau_{2,2}$	$\tau_{3,1}$	$\tau_4$
$\sigma_4$	1	0	0	0	0
$\sigma_{3,1}$	4	1	0	0	0
$\sigma_{2,2}$	6	2	1	0	0
$\sigma_{2,1,1}$	12	5	2	1	0
$\sigma_{1,1,1,1}$	24	12	6	4	1

What does this table tell us?

- $\sigma_4$  and  $\tau_{1,1,1,1}$  share exactly one irreducible representation in common.
- $\sigma_{3,1}$  and  $\tau_{2,1,1}$  share exactly one irreducible representation, and  $\tau_{2,1,1}$  shares *no* irreducible representations with  $\sigma_4$ .
- $\sigma_{2,2}$  and  $\tau_{2,2}$  share exactly one irreducible representation, and  $\tau_{2,2}$  shares *no* irreducible representations with  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma_{3,1}$ .
- et cetera.

So we find the following.

- There is an irreducible representation  $\chi_\lambda$  shared by  $\sigma_\lambda$  and  $\tau_{\lambda^t}$ , where  $\lambda^t$  is the conjugate partition.
- This is the *only* representation they share because the inner product is 1; in particular,  $\chi_\lambda$  occurs only once in each of them.
- Since  $\tau_{\lambda^t}$  has inner product zero with all of the previous characters, and  $\chi_\lambda$  occurs in  $\tau_{\lambda^t}$ ,  $\chi_\lambda$  does not occur in any of the previous characters.

This gives us exactly what we wanted.

We'll leave off here for now. What remains is that we need to show why the  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  characters have the inner products that they do.